



ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT



Pennsylvania Avenue At The White House

Federal Lands Highway




Prepared by the
U.S. Department of Transportation
Federal Highway Administration
Eastern Federal Lands Highway Division

May 2003

Cooperating Agencies

National Capital Planning Commission
Federal Highway Administration District of
Columbia Division
District of Columbia Department of Transportation
General Services Administration
United States Secret Service, Department of
Homeland Security
Advisory Council of Historic Preservation
United States Department of the Treasury
National Park Service



Summary
Concept Plan

Pennsylvania Avenue At The White House



CONTENTS

1	PURPOSE AND NEED	1
1.1	NEED FOR THE PROJECT	1
1.2	PURPOSE OF THE PROPOSED ACTION	3
1.3	PLANNING CONTEXT	3
1.4	PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND STUDY AREA	5
1.5	PURPOSE OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT	6
2	ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED	9
2.1	ALTERNATIVES	9
2.1.1	No Build Alternative	9
2.1.2	Build Alternative	9
2.1.3	Alternatives Previously Considered	16
2.2	CONSTRUCTION PHASE	28
2.2.1	Cost and Financial Characteristics	28
2.3	PUBLIC OUTREACH	28
2.3.1	Public Information Meetings	30
2.3.2	Presentations	31
2.4	STAKEHOLDER OUTREACH	32
2.5	COMPARATIVE AND MITIGATION MATRICES	33
3	AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT	41
3.1	EXISTING CONDITIONS	41



3.2	SITE CHARACTERISTICS	41
3.2.1	<i>Pennsylvania Avenue</i>	41
3.2.2	<i>Lafayette Park</i>	43
3.2.3	<i>H Street</i>	44
3.2.4	<i>Jackson Place</i>	45
3.2.5	<i>Madison Place</i>	45
3.3	HISTORIC RESOURCES IN THE PROJECT AREA	45
3.3.1	<i>Lafayette Park</i>	45
3.3.2	<i>Pennsylvania Avenue</i>	46
3.4	HISTORIC PROPERTIES IN THE PROJECT AREA	47
3.5	NATURAL RESOURCES IN THE PROJECT AREA	50
3.5.1	<i>Geology</i>	50
3.5.2	<i>Soils</i>	50
3.5.3	<i>Vegetation</i>	51
4	ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES	53
4.1	LAND USE AND ZONING IMPACTS	53
4.1.1	<i>Land Use</i>	53
4.1.2	<i>Relationship to Existing Zoning</i>	55
4.1.3	<i>Security</i>	55
4.2	SOCIO-ECONOMIC IMPACTS	59
4.2.1	<i>Impacts on Institutions and Businesses</i>	59
4.2.2	<i>Impacts on Visitor Use and Experience</i>	67
4.2.3	<i>Impacts on the News Media</i>	69
4.3	IMPACTS ON RECREATIONAL USERS AND PEDESTRIANS	72



4.4	<i>AIR QUALITY IMPACTS</i>	73
4.5	<i>NOISE IMPACTS</i>	74
4.6	<i>FARMLAND IMPACTS</i>	74
4.7	<i>RELOCATION IMPACTS</i>	74
4.8	<i>JOINT DEVELOPMENT IMPACTS</i>	74
4.9	<i>WATER QUALITY IMPACTS</i>	75
4.10	<i>PERMITS</i>	77
4.11	<i>WETLAND IMPACTS</i>	77
4.12	<i>FLOODPLAINS IMPACTS</i>	77
4.13	<i>WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS</i>	77
4.14	<i>COASTAL BARRIERS</i>	77
4.15	<i>COSTAL ZONE IMPACTS</i>	77
4.16	<i>THREATENED OR ENDANGERED SPECIES</i>	77
4.17	<i>HISTORIC PRESERVATION</i>	78
4.18	<i>ARCHEOLOGICAL PRESERVATION</i>	84
4.19	<i>ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE</i>	85
4.20	<i>HAZARDOUS WASTE SITES</i>	86
4.21	<i>VISUAL AND AESTHETIC QUALITIES</i>	86
4.22	<i>CONSTRUCTION IMPACTS</i>	91



4.23	TRANSPORTATION IMPACTS	106
	4.23.1 <i>Circulator Route</i>	112
4.24	UTILITIES	114
4.25	BIOTIC COMMUNITY	116
4.26	CONSISTENCY WITH LOCAL PLANS	129
4.27	IRREVERSIBLE AND IRRETRIEVABLE COMMITMENT OF RESOURCES	130
4.28	CUMULATIVE IMPACTS	131
5	LIST OF PREPARERS	134
5.1	FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION	134
5.2	NATIONAL CAPITAL PLANNING COMMISSION	134
5.3	MICHAEL VAN VALKENBURGH ASSOCIATES, INC	134
6	AGENCY COORDINATION AND DEVELOPMENT	136
6.1	AGENCY COORDINATION	136
6.2	ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT DISTRIBUTION LIST	138
6.3	SECTION 106 CONSULTATION PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT CONTACT LIST	148
6.4	AGENCY CORRESPONDENCE	154
7	COMMENTS AND CORRESPONDENCE	158
8	APPENDICES	204
	APPENDIX A: LIST OF TABLES	204
	APPENDIX B: LIST OF FIGURES	208
	APPENDIX C: LIST OF ACRONYMS	212
	APPENDIX D: CONCEPTUAL RENDERINGS AND DRAWINGS	214



PROJECT PURPOSE AND NEED

Pennsylvania Avenue At The White House

Federal Lands Highway





1 Purpose and Need

1.1 Need for the Project

Pennsylvania Avenue (the Avenue) in front of the White House is a very historic and symbolic place; home and office of the president and, by extension, of the American people. The Avenue's route, between 15th and 17th Streets, NW, was created by Thomas Jefferson to allow public access and use of the area now known as Lafayette Park. Pennsylvania Avenue is an important element in the White House setting, providing public access and generous open space and views. Its location establishes the orientation for other important buildings, monuments and public spaces in the Monumental Core.

The Avenue has been restricted for public vehicular traffic since May 1995, when the Secretary of the Treasury, following the recommendations of a panel charged with reviewing security at the White House, ordered the Avenue restricted to public vehicular traffic between 15th and 17th Streets, NW. Temporary barriers and control points were installed at the ends and along the east-west axis of the Avenue. Madison Place and Jackson Place were also restricted to public vehicular traffic. An environmental assessment (EA) was prepared by the Department of the Treasury for restricting public vehicular traffic on Pennsylvania Avenue between 15th and 17th Streets, NW. A Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) for this restriction of public vehicular traffic was issued by the Department of the Treasury in September 1997.

Pennsylvania Avenue looking West

Figure 1.1





In 2000 Congress authorized the National Capital Planning Commission (Commission) to examine proposals to remove the Avenue's public vehicular traffic restrictions. The Commission established the Interagency Security Task Force to study the issue. The Task Force called upon experts in security, transportation, historic preservation and urban design to analyze current and future security needs of the area, debate the appropriateness of its closure, study past proposals for removing restrictions or permanently closing the Avenue to public vehicular traffic, and review previous beautification proposals prepared for the Avenue

Many solutions that would allow for the removal of restrictions to public vehicular traffic on the Avenue were proposed, reviewed, and considered. In November 2001, the Commission adopted the report *Designing for Security in the Nation's Capital* that concluded that due to numerous and legitimate security concerns, the Avenue should remain restricted for public vehicular traffic, until changes in the security threat or improvements to security technology will permit the Avenue to be reopened. The Commission also called for immediate beautification of the Avenue to create a pedestrian precinct and a secure White House environment that is, in appearance and function, consistent with the values of our open, democratic society. The Commission adopted recommendations to create a landscaped civic space. This decision was reached only after considerable debate and consensus on a number of programmatic requirements, agreed to by parties involved in providing input to the Commission.

Some of the key stakeholders that had input into this decision included the United States Secret Service, the National Park Service, the District of Columbia Office of Planning, the District of Columbia Department of Transportation, the Mayor of the District of Columbia, the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, the Federal City Council and Congressional Members.

In 2002, Congress appropriated funding for the National Capital Planning Commission to develop the *National Capital Urban Design and Security Plan*, which included Pennsylvania Avenue in front of the White House. As the basis to proceed with Pennsylvania Avenue in front of the White House, the Commission assembled the design criteria from: (1) the programmatic requirements adopted in the November, 1, 2001 report; (2) the design criteria in the National Park Service's *Comprehensive Design Plan for the White House and President's Park* (2000); and (3) the results of previous design efforts that had included extensive input from both national experts and the general public. These criteria covered security, the pedestrian environment, visual quality, historic character, circulation, as well as additional design guidelines for the White House and President's Park contained in the *Comprehensive Design Plan*.

The Commission invited four of the country's leading landscape architecture and urban design firms to submit design ideas for creating a pedestrian-oriented landscaped civic space on the Avenue between 15th and 17th Streets, NW. The Commission used this process as a means to choose a designer, not a final design concept. Review and comments on the submitted design ideas were solicited from



the United States Secret Service, the Commission of Fine Arts, the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, the National Park Service, the District of Columbia Department of Planning and Department of Transportation and the Federal City Council. After a detailed review of the submitted ideas the Commission selected Michael Van Valkenburgh Associates as the firm to proceed to the next stage of design development for the security and landscape project for Pennsylvania Avenue between 15th and 17th Streets NW, and Jackson and Madison Places. The Commission acknowledged that design ideas as presented must be modified, refined and coordinated with a wide range of affected public and private parties and stakeholders. Decision making for modifications to the Avenue will be accomplished through public meetings held before the National Capital Planning Commission and Commission of Fine Arts, as well as the processes identified in the National Environmental Policy Act and the National Historic Preservation Act.

1.2 Purpose of the Purposed Action

This project creates a pedestrian-oriented space on the segment of Pennsylvania Avenue between 15th and 17th Streets, NW and improve the conditions at H Street and Jackson and Madison Places. The purpose of this project is to improve both the security and the current conditions, which restrict views and pedestrian movement, and mars the beauty and dignity of this important place in the Nation's Capital. These conditions were created as a result of hastily installed emergency security measures in 1995.

1.3 Planning Context

The Secretary of the Treasury ordered the closure of Pennsylvania Avenue on May 19, 1995, following the recommendation of the Blue Ribbon Panel charged with reviewing the security at the White House to prevent catastrophic damage of the mansion by a vehicle bomb. The security booths and vehicle barriers installed on

Jackson Place sidewalk looking south

Figure 1.2






the avenue and surrounding streets, which were never intended to be a permanent solution, detract from this powerful, historic, and symbolic place. In preparing the recommendations contained in its report, *Designing for Security in the Nation's Capitol*, the Interagency Security Task Force analyzed the current and future security needs of the area, past proposals for either reopening or permanently closing the street, and traffic alternatives to the continued closure of the street to normal vehicular traffic.

While pursuing every possible solution that would permit reopening the street, the Task Force, responding to overwhelming, and legitimate security concerns, ultimately concluded that the street must remain closed to normal city traffic at this time. However, the Commission and the Task Force have emphasized that any design for this section of Pennsylvania Avenue must be reversible, and that changes in the security threat or improvements to security technology could result in its future reopening. They further recommended the design and construction of a landscaped civic space that respects and enhances the historic setting and views of the White House.

Figure 1.3



Existing conditions and project location




1.4 Project Description and Study Area

The proposed project is located in President's Park on Pennsylvania Avenue in front of the White House, between 15th and 17th Streets, NW, and includes both Jackson and Madison Places, adjacent to Lafayette Park. The project area encompasses:

Pennsylvania Avenue: the north and south sidewalks, (the north sidewalk along Lafayette Park has been included in order to integrate and properly tie into the proposed improvements within the adjacent roadway).

Figure 1.4



Overall Site Plan

Madison Place: the east sidewalk, excluding the west sidewalk along Lafayette Park (except for those areas necessary to connect with the existing bollard line in Lafayette Park).



Jackson Place: the west sidewalk, excluding the east sidewalk along Lafayette Park (except for those areas necessary to connect with the existing bollard line in Lafayette Park).

1.5 Purpose of the Environmental Assessment

The National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) requires that federal decision making include a consideration of the potential adverse impacts of a project and its alternatives on the natural and human environments. If significant environmental impacts are anticipated, a plan for mitigating these impacts as part of the project construction must be proposed to receive federal funds. If it is not possible to avoid or mitigate the impacts, documentation must show that various alternatives were analyzed. The required level of documentation for NEPA compliance is separated into three classes of actions.

Class I is referred to as an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). An EIS describes in detail the effects of actions that would significantly impact the environment, such as construction of new highway facilities or construction of a new rail transit facility. Extensions of rail transit or the construction of separate roadways for buses or high-occupancy vehicles would also require EIS documentation.

Class II documentation is called a Categorical Exclusion (CE). Actions that would not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on the environment are documented in a CE. A list of specific categories of transportation projects that are CE's is set forth in 23 CFR 771.117(c)

Class III actions are referred to as Environmental Assessments (EA). An EA is prepared when the significance of the project's environmental impacts is not clearly established. If an action is not Class I or Class II, then it is Class III, by default. The EA would determine which environmental document is required for the project. If the EA concludes that there is a potential for significant impacts on the environment, then an EIS would be required to define these impacts in greater detail.


In cooperation with District of Columbia Department of Transportation; District of Columbia Office of Planning, National Capital Planning Commission; United States Secret Service, Department of Homeland Security; General Services Administration; United States Department of the Treasury; National Park Service, and the U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration District of Columbia's Division Office, the U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration's Eastern Federal Lands Highway Division has prepared this EA to analyze and document the environmental impacts of the proposed project. Following the preparation of the EA, a public meeting and hearing will be held to present the proposed project and give the public an opportunity to comment on the EA and its findings.



NOTE: Intersection at E Street and west South Executive Avenue has been changed. Intersection is now perpendicular to E Street, and barriers are no longer located in E Street just south of Sherman Park – typ.

Overview of Existing Conditions for President's Park

Figure 1.5




868-20079 • DSC • Sept. 98

Existing Conditions

Existing Conditions Map courtesy of the United States Department of the Interior – National Park Service



This page intentionally left blank.



ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED

Pennsylvania Avenue At The White House

Federal Lands Highway





2 Alternatives Considered

2.1 Alternatives

This chapter summarizes the alternatives considered for the security check-points and the pedestrian oriented, landscaped civic space on Pennsylvania Avenue between 15th and 17th Streets, NW, in front of the White House, Jackson and Madison Places, and H Street. The process used to evaluate the alternatives, and the summaries and conclusions of this evaluation process are outlined in this chapter. The chapter also describes the proposed action, known as the Build Alternative, a variation of the Build Alternative, identified as MVVA Alternative A, and the Alternative specified as the No-Build Alternative.

2.1.1 No-Build Alternative

This alternative would result in no improvements to Pennsylvania Avenue in the vicinity of the White House. Pennsylvania Avenue in front of the White House would remain in its existing condition of crudely barricaded security checkpoints. The existing security measures would remain. On Pennsylvania Avenue between 15th and 17th Streets, NW, which consists of large concrete planters on the streets and across the sidewalks, hydraulic plate barriers and security booths restrict vehicular traffic and pedestrian movement. The bollards, hydraulic barriers and security booths on Jackson and Madison Place at H Street would also remain.

2.1.2 Build Alternative

The concept design addresses security operations, landscape treatment, and pedestrian; and vehicular circulation. It also includes conceptual recommendations for lighting and paving materials.

In conjunction with the concept design, daily security operations will be relocated to Jackson and Madison Places, and the checkpoints on Pennsylvania Avenue will be limited to pre-screened or cleared motorcades, emergency equipment, and the Downtown Circulator. Security booths and a “sally port” (a secure area formed by two lines of bollards) are proposed to secure each of these four entry points.

The security booths will be positioned to allow vehicles to approach the booth on the driver’s side. Fixed bollards will be placed in the sidewalks, except where there is a need to allow for the passage of vehicles or maintenance equipment. Removable bollards will be used in locations where there will be an infrequent need to remove the barrier, such as for the Inaugural Parade. Retractable bollards will be used where frequent passage is required.




Jackson and Madison Places

The proposed security improvements at Jackson and Madison Places include:

- Relocation of the existing bollard line on Jackson Place, and creation of a “sally port” on both Jackson and Madison Places. While, the existing bollard line on Madison Place will remain in its present location, the existing bollard line on Jackson Place will be relocated north. In both cases, these barrier lines will consist of a combination of fixed and hydraulic-retractable bollards, and will be placed 88 feet south of the intersection of H Street, with a second bollard line installed 64 feet

Figure 2.1



further south to create a secure sally port. Vehicles will be inspected and cleared outside of the first bollard line prior to entering into the “sally port.” The sally port prevents tailgating and allows the guard to control entry.

Both the outer and inner bollard lines will extend from the row house facades across the sidewalk and street, and tie into the existing bollard line in Lafayette Park. Three of the existing short row of bollards at H Street will be retained; 24 feet will be provided to slow and channel vehicles entering and exiting the secure area. New bollards will be placed in front of the security booths to protect them from direct impact.



On Jackson Place, relocation of the bollard line and creation of the “sally port” will cause the benches on the perimeter sidewalk in Lafayette Park, located across from the White House Historical Association, to either be removed or relocated.

- *Replacement of security booths:* The existing temporary security booths installed in 1999 will be replaced with new architecturally appropriate booths and located just north of the outer bollard line. The security booths will sit on an extended sidewalk positioned to channel vehicles as they enter or exit the secure area, providing approximately 24 feet for two-way circulation.

Madison Place Security Detail




Figure 2.2



Pennsylvania Avenue at 15th and 17th Streets:

- **Relocation of the bollard lines and creation of a “sally port” at both 15th and 17th Streets:** The existing barrier line of planters and hydraulic plate barriers will be removed and a dual barrier line consisting of a combination of fixed, removable, and hydraulic retractable bollards will be installed. The existing barrier lines will be relocated outward toward the intersections, and a second, inner barrier line installed to form the “sally port.” The new outer bollard line will be located 28 feet from the face of the intersection to allow one car to cue before entering the “sally port.”
- **Relocation and replacement of the security booths:** The Pennsylvania Avenue entrances are proposed to serve vehicular traffic limited to emergency equipment, pre-screened vehicles and motorcades, and the Downtown Circulator. Therefore, the security booths will be located inside the “sally port,” and queuing requirements outside the “sally port” will be minimal. Redesigned to be architecturally appropriate, the security booths will be placed on the south side of Pennsylvania Avenue and positioned so that the vehicles approach the booths on the driver’s side.





17th Street

The existing barrier line at the 17th Street entrance will move slightly to the west to become the inner bollard line of the “sally port.”

A new outer bollard line will be located 84 feet west, 28 feet from the intersection of 17th Street, to form the “sally port.” It will extend from the Renwick fence to the EEOB fence across Pennsylvania Avenue. GSA is currently installing bollards along the curb of 17th Street in front of the EEOB.

The proposed concept design modifies the approved EEOB 17th Street bollard line and its interface with the proposed Pennsylvania Avenue bollard line to improve the functional aspects of this corner. This bollard line will intersect with the proposed Pennsylvania Avenue security improvements.

15th Street

The existing barrier line at the 15th Street entrance will move east to become the inner bollard line of the “sally port.” A new bollard line will be installed 94 feet east, 28 feet from the intersection of 15th Street to form the “sally port.” The inner bollard line will be positioned west of the entry to Riggs Bank, and the outer bollard line positioned east of the Bank of America steps to avoid interfering with these entrances. These bollard lines will extend across Pennsylvania Avenue to the Treasury fence.






Circulation and Urban Design

The proposed concept design creates a pedestrian precinct, accommodating the important functions that occur within the area and securing it for the protection of the President and the President's family and staff.

The proposed circulation components include:

- Using Jackson and Madison Places as the security checkpoint for daily operations, including deliveries and general authorized vehicles allowed entry to the precinct.
- Limiting the 15th and 17th Street security checkpoints to emergency vehicles, pre-screened vehicles, and motorcades, and the Downtown Circulator.

Figure 2.5



- Accommodating two-way vehicular circulation along all roadways, and through each security checkpoint.
- Accommodating multiple routes in and out of the precinct for presidential or head-of-state motorcades, emergency vehicles, and the Downtown Circulator.
- Improving pedestrian access to, from, and along Pennsylvania Avenue and Jackson and Madison Places.
- Maintaining at least 60 feet of clear distance between the north curb line and the overhang of the proposed security booth on Pennsylvania Avenue for the Inaugural Parade.



The proposed urban design components include:

- Maintaining the width of Pennsylvania Avenue.
- Positioning the security booths on the southern portion of the roadway with their slender facades on the east-west axis to minimize their visual impact.
- Incorporating removable bollards across Pennsylvania Avenue to allow for unencumbered access for the Inaugural Parade.
- Re-grading Pennsylvania Avenue to remove the crown of the existing right-of-way and to create a pedestrian space that will gently slope up to the White House from the park. This will require the removal and replacement of the sidewalk along the southern boundary of Lafayette Park. It is expected that the existing trees will be removed as a result of the sidewalk replacement and re-garding and replaced with healthy new tree species. The existing brick, granite curbs, benches, and light poles will be reinstalled.
 - Repaving the eastern and western ends of Pennsylvania Avenue with rectangular granite pavers. The granite will be placed with the long side parallel to the curb line to reinforce the axis of the Avenue and to accentuate these areas as entryways to the precinct.
 - Repaving the central portion of Pennsylvania Avenue and Jackson and Madison Places with a durable pavement that has a solid, stabilized crushed stone finish to unify this area with President's Park and to create a comfortable place for pedestrians to gather, stroll, and contemplate the significance of this important place.
 - Removing the large, concrete bollards located along the curb line on Pennsylvania Avenue in front of the White House.
 - Replacing and infilling trees along Pennsylvania Avenue as follows:
 - 1) A single row of street trees along the north curb of Pennsylvania Avenue.
 - 2) A double row of trees to form an allee along the south curb of Pennsylvania Avenue in front of Renwick Gallery, Blair House, EEOB, Treasury, Riggs Bank, and Bank of America.
 - 3) A single row of trees along the south curb of Pennsylvania Avenue in front of the White House, excluding the area within the 16th Street cross-axial view to maintain and enhance the views to the White House.
 - Using the design of the monumental White House fence and piers with their combination of iron and stonework to inspire the design of the security booths.



- Replacing or refurbishing the light poles along the length of Pennsylvania Avenue with the original Washington D.C. twin-headed lamp designed by Henry Bacon.
- Removing 40-angled parking spaces on Jackson Place to accommodate adequate circulation and loading functions, and to enhance the pedestrian experience and quality of President's Park.
- Removing or relocating bench seating from the inner edge of the sidewalk in Lafayette Park on Jackson Place to accommodate the "sally port."

2.1.3 Alternatives Previously Considered

Michael Van Valkenburgh Associates (MVVA)

MVVA 2002 Initial Concept Submission

Michael Van Valkenburgh Associates' initial scheme proposed two elements both located on the north side of the existing roadway that are no longer included in the current proposal: a dedicated lane for vehicles demarcated by a curb on either side and a row of trees mirroring the historic tree row at the south side of Lafayette Park. The intention of this scheme was to provide a secured set of travel lanes for a Circulator vehicle passing by in front of the White House.

The location of a two-way dedicated vehicle lane (24 ft. wide) in this initial scheme posed operational conflicts with several of the stakeholders on the north side of Pennsylvania Avenue, including the Renwick Gallery and Blair House. The proposed curb in the existing roadway also makes accommodating a straight 60-foot wide route required for the Inaugural Parade problematic. In general, limiting on-site vehicles to the dedicated lane proves insufficient in meeting many United States Secret Service operational needs within the precinct, such as those associated with Blair House motorcades that utilize the full width of the roadway.

The proposed row of trees set within the north side of the existing roadway was intended to further define the dedicated vehicle lane described above and therefore poses similar challenges to various operational needs within the precinct. The trees in this location would also further challenge the view lines needed for inaugural event seating. Additionally, the curb lane and the new tree row were considered an impact on the cross axis of the Avenue, an important historic resource.



Figure 2.6



Competition Plan- May 2002



Competition Detail Cross Section of Circulator Lane- May 2002


Figure 2.7



Figure 2.8



17th Street Threshold Looking East- May 2002



17th Street Threshold Existing Conditions

Figure 2.9

MVVA 2003 Concept Design

After a four-month long Verification Study, in which the design team met with the stakeholders to listen to their initial reactions to the proposal and operational needs accommodated by Pennsylvania Avenue, a design phase was initiated to resolve stakeholder conflicts and develop the initial ideas submission into working concept plan. As part of this process three alternatives previously considered were substituted for the respective elements in the current proposal.


(1) A single line of bollards was originally proposed to replace each of the existing security measures at the 15th and 17th entries to Pennsylvania Avenue. In working sessions with the United States Secret Service this alternative was eliminated, as it



lacked a chicane or sally port configuration evident in the other security points surrounding the White House, including the existing conditions at 15th and 17th Street, and therefore did not meet the same standard of defense against vehicular attacks.

MVVA Guard Booth Location Alternatives

Figure 2.10



(2) Several options for the locations of the security booths at the 15th and 17th Street entries to Pennsylvania Avenue were considered. In scheme A and B, the booths are located symmetrically to the historic axis between Lafayette Park and the White House, whereas scheme C and D are asymmetrical to this axis. A number of operational criteria were established by which to evaluate the options and were mapped on the matrix below.



Figure 2.11


Operational Criteria	A Booths located symmetrically on south side sidewalk	B Booths located symmetrically on south side of roadway	C Booths located asymmetrically, one on side sidewalk, one in the roadway	D Booths located asymmetrically in roadway, one north side and one south side
Standard vehicular approach from adjacent intersection				●
Understandable threshold for vehicle clearance		●		●
Vehicle approaches correct side of booth		Retractable bollards both sides of booth	●	●
Position best deters vehicle attacks from diagonal avenues	●	●	●	
Desireable visual access of security area		●		●
Straight path for parade, clear of obstacles	●	●	●	
Circulator route option between 15th and 17th works	●	●	●	
Stays outside of pedestrian right-of-way		●		●

Operational Criteria Matrix for Guard Booth Locations

(3) An alternative to the security measures currently proposed at Jackson and Madison Places involved leaving the current security devices in place while changing only the paving material in the roadway area. However, as the United States Secret Service desires to locate the majority of vehicular clearing operations from the 15th and 17th Street entries to the Jackson and Madison Place entries, the need to install improved security measures in these locations is necessary.



Figure 2.12



Jackson Place Existing Conditions

Other Conceptual Options for Improvements to Pennsylvania Avenue

In carrying out the Congressional directive, the Commission's Interagency Security Task Force also considered the previous planning and design efforts. These included concept plans prepared by John Carl Warnecke in the early 1960s, sketches by Franck Lohsen McCrery, Chan Krieger and Associates, Wolff Clements and Associates, prepared in 2001, as well as the design alternatives prepared in conjunction with the design initiative conducted by the National Park Service in 1995 and 1996. While the Task Force determined that these efforts should not be dismissed, the Task Force determined that additional ideas needed to be considered with respect to the programmatic criteria contained in the November 1, 2001 report *Designing for Security in the Nation's Capital*, and the criteria contained in the *Comprehensive Design Plan*. Based on this report, the additional concept design approaches included the following:



Balmori Associates

This plan involved a portion of Pennsylvania Avenue that was to be slightly graded to elevate an area adjacent to the White House. The design included a rhythmic grouping of urban furniture and selective lighting that magnifies an atmosphere of civility. Pennsylvania Avenue by the White House would have become a pedestrian boulevard slightly inflected and graded with changes in the elevation of the street area.

Balmori Concept Design Site Plan




Figure 2.13

Concept Elevation View



Figure 2.14



EDAW

The EDAW plan was a more formalized arrangement of design features to integrate Lafayette Park with the White House Grounds to create a single, public strolling space. This design, developed from an original sketch by Thomas Jefferson, creates universally accessible strolling, seating and sightseeing spaces along the Avenue. Double allees of great American elms lined the Avenue, and continuous benches, lighting, signage and paving patterns were proposed to enliven and furnish the scene.


EDAW Concept Design Site Plan



Figure 2.15

Concept Elevation View

Figure 2.16





Peter Walker and Associates

This plan proposed a large-scale granite plank paving framed by carved granite curbs and brick sidewalks. Two low circular fountains were proposed within the street to combine visually with the existing White House garden fountain. The plan sought to ensure that the White House Garden and Lafayette Park participated in the street renovation rather than border it. Ten circles composed of hedges, benches, and flowers were suggested to enliven the expanse of pavement in the street area.

Peter Walker and Associates Concept Design Site Plan





Figure 2.17



Peter Walker and Associates Concept Elevation View

Figure 2.18



Rendering of the White House From Lafayette Park




Figure 2.19

CONCLUSION

The Interagency Security Task Force, in its conclusions to maintaining Pennsylvania Avenue free of through traffic for the immediate future, identified the need that any proposal should exhibit a distinguished, pedestrian-oriented, public environment. Such an outcome should demonstrate, from a pedestrian perspective, a setting that is welcoming and better scaled for pedestrian movement and visitor enjoyment of the environs of President's Park. Moreover, other criteria set forth were that the successful proposal must be:



- 1) A setting in which landscape elements characterize the visitor's experience, a landscape congruent with the nearby grace of Lafayette Park and the White House grounds themselves.
- 2) A setting in which vistas and views along the axis of Pennsylvania Avenue and towards the White House would be reinforced by tree planting and similar landscape devices.
- 3) A setting in which strolling-promenade-like-along the Avenue becomes an enjoyable and memorable experience.
- 4) A setting in which the historic integrity of a street is maintained while changes in its use are acknowledged.
- 5) A setting in which the Inaugural Parade can follow its traditional route in front of the White House.
- 6) A setting in which a future transit Circulator can be accommodated without taking away from the generally pedestrian character of the Avenue.
- 7) A setting in which gatherings of school children or tourist groups at the gates to the White House would be naturally and generously accommodated rather than awkwardly constrained by a traffic artery.
- 8) A setting in which a host of pedestrian amenities, including handsome and well-designed lighting, paving, seating, and similar streetscape components would contribute to the overall ambiance of President's Park.
- 9) A setting in which security for the White House is achieved without the physical components of security systems that visually dominate the experience of the environment.

At its meeting of May 23, 2002, the Interagency Security Task Force considered the merits of the above mentioned design concept alternatives prepared by the various design teams who presented proposals to address the above objectives. At the end of the caucus, it was the overriding majority opinion of the task force members that the Van Valkenburgh design team met the majority of the objectives and demonstrated significant deference and flexibility for further incorporating project parameters for implementation of a completed conceptual design. That recommendation ultimately was carried forward to the National Capital Planning Commission. Members of the Security Task Force were comprised of representatives from the: Department of the Interior; the General Services Administration; the DC Mayor's Office; the District of Columbia Council's Office; and the National Capital Planning Commission. Key advisory groups expressing views at the meeting included the: United States Secret Service; Federal City Council; Commission of Fine Arts; DC Department of Transportation; and the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation



United States Department of the Interior – National Park Service

The United States Department of the Interior, National Park Service performed an Environmental Assessment for the Long-Term design of Pennsylvania Avenue between 15th and 17th Streets, NW, on the north side of the White House in May 1996. In the NPS EA (*Pennsylvania Avenue at the White House – President's Park*), five alternatives, including a preferred alternative, were addressed in that EA. They were based on more than 700 ideas, suggestions, and survey responses from the public, design professionals, and students, as well as on ideas generated at a design workshop.

NPS Preferred Alternative Concept

Under the preferred alternative Pennsylvania Avenue would have been realigned in a slight curve to the north to better connect Lafayette Park with the White House and to unify President's Park.

NPS Alternative A

Minor improvements would be made to Pennsylvania Avenue, but it would retain a street appearance. Paving accent bands inset into the existing asphalt would be used to improve the appearance of Pennsylvania Avenue and intersection crosswalks. Curbs would be maintained to define the avenue. Lafayette Park would retain its existing design; fountains and restrooms in the park would be repaired.

NPS Alternative B

Pennsylvania Avenue would be repaved to correct the separate and distinct areas of Lafayette Park and the White House. Paving patterns in brick and stone would define areas for pedestrians and official motorcades. Planting beds would be incorporated into the avenue to soften its appearance. Lafayette Park would be moderately rehabilitated; its basic design would be retained, but the ca. 1969 fountains would be removed and the restrooms relocated.

NPS Alternative C

Pennsylvania Avenue would be a pedestrian street. and consistent materials and design elements would be used in all areas to unify President's Park. Streets surrounding President's Park would be specially paved. Lafayette Park would be extensively rehabilitated to make it more functional for use by large numbers of visitors.

NPS Alternative D

Pennsylvania Avenue, Lafayette Park, and the north side of the White House would be redesigned as an integrated space to provide a new ceremonial/vehicular entrance to the White House. Pennsylvania Avenue would be a focal point in front



of the White House, and together with Lafayette Park would be designed to create a feeling of a town square. Consistent materials and elements would be used in all areas of President's Park.

CONCLUSION

The Alternatives put forth by the United States Department of the Interior – National Park Service, were considered; however, the Preferred Alternative was ultimately deemed unfeasible for implementation.

2.2 Construction Phase

Construction in the project area would take approximately eleven months. Construction staging would most likely occur in the existing roadway. The staging areas would be used for contractor field offices and for storing materials and equipment. The schemes included in the Build Alternative have been selected so that impacts to Lafayette Park would be minimized. A maintenance-of- traffic plan for the proposed construction and any potential closures would be completed, subject to approval by DDOT, the U.S. Secret Service and the National Park Service. This plan would identify a construction schedule that minimizes impacts and would also outline a plan for routing traffic during the construction phase.

2.2.1 Cost and Financial Characteristics

The preliminary estimated cost of the proposed landscape and security improvements of Pennsylvania Avenue in front of the White House includes base construction costs; contingencies; and construction management services; administrative and insurance cost. The preliminary total construction cost is estimated to be approximately \$15.4 million, excluding engineering design cost and utility relocation cost. The total capital cost will depend on the final concept design and utility cost.

2.3 Public Outreach

Public outreach has been initiated to engage the community in discussion regarding the proposed action throughout the planning and environmental assessment process. The purpose was to involve all members and interested parties in the proposed modifications to Pennsylvania Avenue between 15th and 17th Streets, NW. All community outreach efforts were intended to be inclusive, participatory and solution oriented. The purpose of public outreach was to inform the community about the possible beautification proposal for Pennsylvania Avenue. The proposal includes the security check-points and the landscaped civic space, creation of a pedestrian precinct and enhanced security. The intent was to address all issues, questions or concerns from the community. Communication has been established and maintained with the



community regarding the proposed action. The National Capital Planning Commission established this communication in the initial planning phase, and more recently, it has been carried out by the Federal Highway Administration's, Eastern Federal Lands Highway Division (EFLHD). In addition to the general public, several key stakeholder groups have been identified.

- Advisory Council on Historic Preservation
- Congressional Members
- Council on Environmental Quality
- DC Department of Transportation
- DC Fire and EMS Department
- DC Office of Planning
- Decatur House Museum
- Department of State
- Department of the Treasury
- Downtown Business Improvement District
- Executive Office of the President
- Federal City Council
- Federal Highway Administration
- General Services Administration
- The Mayor of the District of Columbia
- Metropolitan Police Department
- National Park Service
- Office of State Historic Preservation
- Inaugural Parade Coordinators
- Riggs Bank
- Smithsonian Institution
- United States Court of Appeals Federal
- United States Park Police
- United States Secret Service
- The White House
- White House Historical Association
- Architects and Historians:
 - Harold Adams, Chairman, RTKL Associates, Inc.
 - Richard Cote, Treasury Curator
 - Bobbie Greene, Director, Save American Treasures
 - Lonnie Hovey, Historic Preservation Office for The White House
 - Norman Koonce, Executive V.P./CEO, American Institute of Architects
 - Sara Leach, Senior Historian
 - Richard Longstreth, Professor, George Washington University
 - Jane Loeffler, Visiting Associate Professor, Univ. of Maryland
 - Richard Moe, President, National Trust for Historic Preservation
 - William Seale, White House Historian
 - Hugh Sidey, Chairman of the White House Historic
 - John Warnecke, Architect
 - George White, Vice Chairman, Leo A. Daly



2.3.1 Public Information Meetings

- On March 12, 2003, the National Capital Planning Commission reviewed the concept proposal, heard public testimony and approved the concept proposal, however, requested that additional design development to address comments, such as: develop a clear way-finding for vehicles and pedestrians; minimize conflict between vehicular and pedestrian circulation patterns; ensure that the new installations (security components and landscape improvements) will not visually impact the historic setting; and refine material selection and detail design to meet aesthetic objectives and structural specifications.
- On October 3, 2002, members of the National Capital Planning Commission approved, in a public meeting, the final draft of The National Capital Urban Design and Security Plan, a comprehensive report aimed at balancing the need for security with good urban design for the nation's capital. Prepared by the Commission's Interagency Security Task Force, the plan identifies permanent security and streetscape improvements for federal facilities and key areas in the nation's capital, including Pennsylvania Avenue in front of the White House. The public had the chance to offer its viewpoints during a 60-day public comment period held July 11 – September 9, 2002. The task force took all comments into consideration when finalizing the plan.
- On July 11, 2002, members of the National Capital Planning Commission approved the release for public comment of a comprehensive plan detailing urban design and security recommendations for Washington's Monumental Core, including Pennsylvania Avenue. The plan identified permanent security and streetscape improvements for federal facilities and key areas and streets in the Nation's Capital. A public comment evening was scheduled at 5:30 p.m. on September 4, 2002 at which time members of the public were able to give testimony and ask questions about the plan.
- On November 2, 2001, the Commission adopted the recommendations of the Interagency Security Task Force and released the report "Designing for Security in the Nation's Capital." The report acknowledged that as a result of the terrorist threats since the early 1990s, Pennsylvania Avenue in front of the White House should be closed to regular vehicular traffic until such time as detection technology improved, or the security threat changed. It also called for immediate action to beautify and improve the condition of this important civic space. It also set forth a number of programmatic criteria necessary to mitigate the impact of its closure.

Public outreach in conjunction with the NEPA/106 process formally began with a Public Scoping and Information Meeting held on January 15, 2002. The meeting took place at the offices of the National Capital Planning Commission located at



401 9th Street, NW in Washington, DC. The meeting took place between the hours of 5pm to 8pm.

Schedule

- Verification Study Oct. 02 – Jan. 03
- Public Scoping and Information Meeting January 15, 2003
- Stakeholder Meeting February 20, 2003
- Section 106 Consultation Meeting February 25, 2003
- National Capital Planning Commission March 12, 2003
- Commission of Fine Arts March 20, 2003
- Notice public information meeting for EA April 22, 2003

- 2nd Public Information meeting, present recommendations for temporary and permanent modifications to Pennsylvania Avenue May 5, 2003
- *Continued Section 106 Consultation
- **Commission of Fine Arts TBD
- Release Environmental Decision June 4, 2003
- *Continued Section 106 Consultation
- National Capital Planning Commission Preliminary Hearing June 5, 2003

- Begin final design July 2003
- *Continued Section 106 Consultation
- National Capital Planning Commission Final Hearing September 4, 2003

- Begin construction November 2003
- Complete major construction for 2005 Inaugural September 2004
- Complete final construction activities June 2005

*There will be continuing Section 106 consultation meetings – dates to be announced.

**To be determined – possible dates are May 15th or June 19th

2.3.2 Presentations

At each public meeting, Powerpoint presentations have been made and/or large display boards have been displayed that described the proposed action. The presentation for each meeting have been updated to reflect new information resulting from continued planning and engineering analysis. Each meeting consisted of an overview of the project background, the project proposal, and planning, design and review process. Comments made during the question-and-answer period were recorded either by a stenographer, or comments sheets handed-in by participants. Attendees were asked to sign in and names and addresses were added to the project mailing list.



Several media were used to create a broad awareness of the proposed action. These included:

- Letter/Correspondence
- Notice Mailings
- Brochures
- Newsletter
- Web Page
- Media Interviews (Newspaper, Television, Magazine, and Radio)
- Public Speaking Engagements

2.4 Stakeholder Outreach

Reconsideration and review of previous design proposals – Between March 2001 and September 2001, the Interagency Security Task Force consulted with security, urban design, transportation and historic preservation experts to evaluate and debate the appropriateness of the Avenue’s closure. The Security Task Force reached the difficult conclusion that Pennsylvania Avenue should remain closed until the security threat ended. This consensus decision by all Task Force members and key stakeholders, including the US Secret Service, the National Park Service, the Mayor of the District of Columbia, the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, the Federal City Council and Congresswoman Eleanor Holmes Norton, was reached only after considerable debate and agreement on a number of programmatic requirements. As part of this evaluation, the Security Task Force conducted a thorough review and deliberation of all previous design proposals prepared for the Avenue. The reviews also included the National Park Service’s Comprehensive Design Plan for President’s Park, and the design process used by the National Park Service in 1995 and 1996 for the Avenue. Both of these efforts included extensive input from both national experts and the general public.

Concept Generation for Design Approach – In February 2002, as part of the National Capital Urban Design and Security Plan, four landscape architects were asked to submit ideas on how to improve the Avenue in an effort to generate design idea approaches. Michael Van Valkenburgh Associates was chosen and the designer began to proceed with the design effort.

Verification Study – Between October 2002 and January 2003, Michael Van Valkenburgh Associates conducted a verification study that involved meeting with key stakeholders to determine the detailed operational and functional requirements for the project. The designer also met with a group of noted historians and architects to obtain input regarding historic resources and urban design.

On February 20, 2003, MVVA conducted a review session with stakeholders on the proposed concept design as described in this document. The purpose of the meeting was to obtain input and feedback regarding the proposal.



2.5 Comparative and Mitigation Matrices

Tables 2.1 – 2.4 are summary matrices of the estimated impacts of the No-Build and Build Alternatives. The impacts summarized below are discussed in further detail in Section 4 – *Environmental Consequences*.

Table 2.1 – Comparative Matrix

COMPARATIVE MATRIX			
Issue	No-Build Alternative	Build Alternative	
		Preferred Alternative	2002 MVVA Alternative
Land Use and Zoning			
<i>Land Use Impacts</i>	Land use will remain consistent	Action will function as an enhancement to current secure environment	Same as Preferred Alternative
<i>Relationship to Existing Zoning</i>	No change in zoning required	No impact	Same as Preferred Alternative
<i>Security</i>	No change in current security environment and components	Location of booths and security line configuration improved	Location of booths inadequate, security line configuration not optimal
Socio-Economic Impacts			
<i>On Institutions and Businesses</i>	No change from current environment	<p>There will be a loss of staff permit parking.</p> <p>Loading operations improved on Pennsylvania Avenue</p> <p>Jackson and Madison Place loading improved.</p> <p>Tree placement and spacing improved to respect views.</p> <p>Paving material improved, while retaining desired character.</p> <p>Additional circulator route options. Conflicts between route and security operations minimized.</p> <p>Bollard line moved to reduce direct impact on Riggs Bank.</p> <p>Single row of trees eliminates any additional impacts over existing conditions.</p>	<p>No loss of parking on Jackson Place</p> <p>Security line location hampered loading operations on Pennsylvania Avenue, Madison and Jackson Places.</p> <p>Tree spacing causes obstructed visibility.</p> <p>Concerned about loose granular paving materials.</p> <p>Significant impacts and conflicts between location of dedicated cart-way and security functions at Blair House.</p> <p>Awkward pedestrian movement due to bollard line in front of Riggs Bank</p> <p>Double row of trees adjacent to Park caused difficulty with views from Inaugural Parade viewing stands.</p>



Table 2.2 – Comparative Matrix

COMPARATIVE MATRIX			
Issue	No-Build Alternative	Build Alternative	
		Preferred Alternative	2002 MVVA Alternative
Socio-Economic Impacts			
<i>On Institutions and Businesses</i>		Some increase in the use of security checkpoint on Jackson and Madison Places. Location of pedestrian barriers at outer bollard line on Jackson Place will impact access to public use buildings.	Same as No-Build Alternative Same as No-Build Alternative
<i>Visitor Use and Experience</i>	No change	Improved sidewalk width in front of the White House for pedestrian use Some potential conflicts between queuing of vehicle and pedestrian crosswalk at 15 th and 17 th Streets – in limited circumstances. Paving material improved, while retaining desired character	Inadequate sidewalk width in front of the White House Same as No-Build Alternative Granular paving material may be problematic.
<i>News Media</i>	No change	Single row of trees in front of the White House eliminates additional impacts, existing conditions on north side. New trees on south side will cause a new impact; however, it will be no greater than that existing on the north side Approximately 64 linear feet of staging area on Madison Place will be lost.	Double tree line along Park blocks optimal views for media to broadcast the Inaugural Parade. Same as Preferred Alternative
Recreational Users and Pedestrians			
<i>Long Term Impacts</i>	No Change	Enhanced recreational and pedestrian usage	Same as Preferred Alternative
Air Quality			
<i>Long Term Impacts</i>	No change	No change	Same as Preferred Alternative
Noise			
<i>Long Term Impacts</i>	No change	No change	Same as Preferred Alternative
Farmland			
<i>N/A</i>			
Relocation			
<i>N/A</i>			
Joint Development			
<i>N/A</i>			



Table 2.3 – Comparative Matrix

COMPARATIVE MATRIX			
Issue	No-Build Alternative	Build Alternative	
		Preferred Alternative	2002 MVVA Alternative
Water Quality			
<i>N/A</i>			
Permits			
<i>Construction</i>	No change	DDOT Electrical Permit, Erosion/Sediment Control Permit, and Stormwater Management Permit	Same as Preferred Alternative
Wetlands			
<i>N/A</i>			
Floodplains			
<i>N/A</i>			
Wild and Scenic Rivers			
<i>N/A</i>			
Costal Barriers			
<i>N/A</i>			
Coastal Zone			
<i>N/A</i>			
Threatened or Endangered Species			
<i>N/A</i>			
Historic Preservation			
<i>Long Term Impacts</i>	No change	To be determined, see Table 4.2	
Archeological Preservation			
<i>Long Term Impacts</i>	No Change	To be determined	Same as Preferred Alternative
Environmental Justice			
<i>N/A</i>			
Hazardous Waste Sites			
<i>N/A</i>			
Visual and Aesthetic Qualities			
<i>Long Term Impacts</i>	No change	Tree placement and spacing improved to respect views. Pavement colors proposed to be complementary and of the same tone. Use of Park-like presidential bollard may not be appropriate at 15 th and 17 th Streets	Tree spacing obstructs views Color contrast of pavements problematic. Same as Preferred Alternative
Energy Requirements and Conservation			
<i>Long Term Impacts</i>	No change		
Construction (see Tables 4.22.1 – 4.22.11 for short -term construction effects in Item 4.22: Construction Impacts)			
<i>Long Term Impacts</i>	No change	No long term construction impacts are anticipated	Same as Preferred Alternative



Table 2.4 – Comparative Matrix

COMPARATIVE MATRIX			
Issue	No-Build Alternative	Build Alternative	
		Preferred Alternative	2002 MVVA Alternative
Transportation			
<i>Long/short Term Impacts</i>	No change	Maintenance of traffic. Tunnel feasibility study to be conducted. Design easily reversible to allow vehicle use. Increased flexibility with circulator.	Same as Preferred Alternative
<i>Circulator</i>	No change	Compatible with Downtown Circulator Plan	Same as Preferred Alternative
Utilities			
<i>Public Utilities</i>	No change	Utility relocation and realignment will be required	Same as Preferred Alternative
Biotic Community			
<i>Vegetation</i>	No change	Addition of new trees would serve to enhance the existing environment	Same as Preferred Alternative
<i>Wildlife</i>	No change	No change	Same as Preferred Alternative
Consistency With Local Plans			
<i>Long Term Impacts</i>	No change	Compatible with local plans	Same as Preferred Alternative
Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitment of Resources			
<i>Long Term Impacts</i>	No change	Resources would be consumed	Same as Preferred Alternative



Tables 2.5 – 2.7 are summary matrices of the mitigation measures proposed for the long-term construction impacts of the project on each environmental element. Additional details on the mitigation measures can be found in Section 4 – *Environmental Consequences*.

Table 2.5 – Mitigation Matrix

MITIGATION MATRIX		
Issue	Build Alternative	
	Preferred Alternative	2002 MVVA Alternative
Land Use and Zoning		
<i>Land Use Impacts</i>	No mitigation required.	Same
<i>Relationship to Existing Zoning</i>	No mitigation required	Same
<i>Security</i>	No mitigation required	Same
Socio-Economic Impacts		
<i>On Institutions and Businesses</i>	Commercial parking in vicinity will have to be utilized. Businesses and Institutions will need to coordinate with the USSS to accommodate trucks over 40 feet. Increase width of access through security line to improve circulation by-pass and loading for adjacent uses. Loss of parking will offset increased use as a security checkpoint USSS will need to coordinate with the US Park Police to ensure pedestrian barriers used during times of high alert will be placed at inner bollard line to maintain access to retail.	Same
<i>Visitor Use and Experience</i>	Slight increase in outer bollard line setback needed to minimize conflicts between pedestrian crosswalk and vehicle queuing.	Same
<i>News Media</i>	Increase width of access through security line to improve staging area, circulation, by-pass and emergency access.	Same
Recreational Users and Pedestrians		
<i>Long Term Impacts</i>	No mitigation required	Same
Air Quality		
<i>Long Term Impacts</i>	No mitigation required	Same
Noise		
<i>Long Term Impacts</i>	No mitigation required	Same
Farmland		
<i>N/A</i>	No mitigation required	Same
Relocation		
<i>N/A</i>	No mitigation required	Same
Joint Development		
<i>N/A</i>	No mitigation required	Same



Table 2.6 – Mitigation Matrix

MITIGATION MATRIX		
Issue	Build Alternative	
	Preferred Alternative	2002 MVVA Alternative
Water Quality		
<i>N/A</i>	No mitigation required	Same
Permits		
<i>N/A</i>	No mitigation required	Same
Wetlands		
<i>N/A</i>	No mitigation required	Same
Floodplains		
<i>N/A</i>	No mitigation required	Same
Wild and Scenic Rivers		
<i>N/A</i>	No mitigation required	Same
Costal Barriers		
<i>N/A</i>	No mitigation required	Same
Coastal Zone		
<i>N/A</i>	No mitigation required	Same
Threatened or Endangered Species		
<i>N/A</i>	No mitigation required	Same
Historic Preservation		
<i>Long Term Impacts</i>	To be determined; however, mitigation measures are to preserve historic vistas and views, and introduce trees to Pennsylvania Avenue. To improve impact of current security measures in front of historic buildings at all four corners of project area. See Section 4.17 and Table 4.2	Same
Archeological Preservation		
<i>Long Term Impacts</i>	None anticipated	Same
Environmental Justice		
<i>NA</i>	No mitigation required	Same
Hazardous Waste Sites		
<i>N/A</i>	No mitigation required	Same
Visual and Aesthetic Qualities		
<i>Long Term Impacts</i>	Reconsider bollard design	Same
Construction		
<i>Long Term Impacts</i>	No mitigation required	Same
Transportation		
<i>Long/short Term Impacts</i>	Maintenance-of-traffic plans	Same
<i>Circulator</i>	No mitigation required	Same



Table 2.7 – Mitigation Matrix

MITIGATION MATRIX		
Issue	Build Alternative	
	Preferred Alternative	2002 MVVA Alternative
Utilities		
<i>Public Utilities</i>	Short-term disruption of utilities, if they occur, would be minimized during construction. Specific measures will be considered, if unforeseen impacts occur. Utilities upgraded where necessary to reduce long-term maintenance. No mitigation required.	Same
Biotic Community		
<i>N/A</i>	No mitigation required. See Table 4.22.10	Same
Consistency With Local Plans		
<i>Long Term Impacts</i>	No mitigation required	Same
Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitment of Resources		
<i>Long Term Impacts</i>	No mitigation required, resources consumed are not considered scarce.	Same

This page intentionally left blank.



AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT

Pennsylvania Avenue At The White House

Federal Lands Highway





3 Affected Environment

The affected environment encompasses the northern most portion of President's Park, including Lafayette Park and Pennsylvania Avenue in front of the White House. A historic row of townhouses on Jackson and Madison Places, now used as offices and guest quarters for the Executive Office of the President, front the Park, and have maintained an intimate scale and residential character. Pennsylvania Avenue, an important cross-axis street within the City, is the address for the Office and home of the President. The relationship of the White House lawn to Lafayette Park creates an important place to view and experience a significant symbolic location in the Nation's Capital. Monumental buildings flank the White House grounds and Lafayette Park along Pennsylvania Avenue.

3.1 Existing Conditions

Pennsylvania Avenue, between 15th and 17th Streets, and Jackson and Madison Places have been limited to pedestrians, bicyclists, and authorized vehicles since interim security measures were installed in 1995. These measures include large concrete planters (located on the street and across the sidewalks), security booths, and hydraulic plate barriers. Concrete barriers, approximately 30" high, were placed around the north side of President's Park at Jackson and Madison Places, and subsequently in the year 2000 the concrete barriers were replaced by decorative bollards

The United States Secret Service (USSS) manages the secure entrances to this precinct. Currently, vehicles on official business are checked at entrances on 15th and 17th Streets, these entrances on Pennsylvania Avenue serve as the primary checkpoints and Jackson and Madison Places at H Street serve as the secondary security checkpoints.

Pennsylvania Avenue, between 15th and 17th Streets, is under the administrative jurisdiction of the District of Columbia, although title rests with the United States. Jackson Place, H Street, and Madison Place are also under the administrative jurisdiction of the District. Lafayette Park, including the sidewalks along Jackson Place, H Street, Madison Place, and Pennsylvania Avenue, is under the jurisdiction of the National Park Service. The White House grounds and the Pennsylvania Avenue sidewalk immediately north of the fence are also under the jurisdiction of the National Park Service.

3.2 Site Characteristics

3.2.1 Pennsylvania Avenue

Pennsylvania Avenue, which runs east/west between 15th and 17th Streets, is not continuous with the major diagonal avenue planned by Pierre L 'Enfant. Pennsylvania Avenue is an 84-foot-wide asphalt crowned roadway with a 33-foot



wide concrete sidewalk along the south side of the Avenue (White House side). On the north side of the Avenue, a 16-foot-wide concrete sidewalk is located in front of the Riggs Bank and Bank of America; an 18-foot-wide brick sidewalk is located in front of the Renwick Gallery and Blair House.

The whole avenue corridor is about 140' wide. West of the project area Pennsylvania Avenue is generally a 120' wide corridor for street, boulevard trees, and sidewalks; toward the Capitol to the east the right-of-way is 160 feet to 200 feet between building fronts.

Within the project area, granite curbs and brick gutters edge the avenue, with curb cuts at intersections and driveways. Sidewalks on the south side at both the east and west ends have been recently repaved using 2' x 2' granite aggregate pavers with a charcoal granite accent and edge strip. Both the Treasury Building and the Eisenhower Executive Office Building have fenced, sunken courtyards with carefully maintained lawns and vegetation. The sidewalk directly north of the White House is concrete, and includes portions that have deteriorated and have been replaced with differing materials. Large exposed aggregate concrete bollards separate the street from the sidewalk near the granite curb and accent strip. Chains were removed between the bollards since there is no longer a danger of pedestrians stepping into traffic.

Sidewalks on the north side of Pennsylvania Avenue in front of Blair House are constructed of brick pavers. The front gardens are well-maintained and are ornamentally fenced. In front of the Renwick Gallery the paving is brick with red sandstone in front of wide stone steps at the gallery entry; there are fenced, sunken window wells, a trash receptacle and two wood and iron benches. Sidewalks on the north side between 15th and Madison Place are concrete, with some street trees and lighting, but no entry gardens.

A single row of street trees lines each curb, except for the portion of Pennsylvania Avenue in front of the White House between East and West Executive Drives. Overall, the existing trees vary in age and size and, in places, are unevenly spaced. A row of Elm trees once lined the sidewalk in front of the White House; however, these trees succumbed to Dutch Elm Disease in the mid-twentieth century. Large concrete bollards replaced the former tree row in 1988.

Different styles of cast iron light poles are installed along the sidewalks on Pennsylvania Avenue, and some are used to post street and way-finding signage. Several benches are located on the south sidewalk at EEOB and Department of Treasury, and in front of the Renwick Gallery. Way-finding kiosks are located at the entry portals at 15th and 17th Streets.

While Pennsylvania Avenue is no longer open to public through-traffic, vehicle access is provided to the Renwick Gallery, Blair House, Riggs National Bank and Bank of America. Historical plaques along the avenue and around Lafayette Park vary in placement, shape, size, quantity and quality of information.



3.2.2 Lafayette Park

Lafayette Park was originally part of Federal Reservation 1, which encompassed all of the White House and President's Park. However, in 1894 it was officially separated and renumbered as Reservation 10. It is an 8-acre rectangular park extending from Madison Place on the east to Jackson Place on the west and from Pennsylvania Avenue on the south to H Street on the north. A Metro subway route passes under the park.

The park's most prominent corner features are the four monumental American Revolutionary statues commemorating four military figures who aided the young American Republic in its fight for independence: Maj. Gen. Marquis Gilbert de Lafayette; Gen. Thaddeus Kosciuszko; Maj. Gen. Wilhelm von Steuben; and Maj. Gen. Comte Jean de Rochambeau. All the statues are in circular planting beds. The center statue of Andrew Jackson, by sculptor Clarke Mills, is the oldest equestrian statue in the United States and was cast from Spanish cannon taken at Jacksonville. It is surrounded by four cannons, a cast-iron fence, and plantings.

Walks have been paved with brick pavers in several different patterns. Perimeter sidewalks are edged by rounded granite curbs that taper to the sidewalk elevation at entry areas. The south sidewalk is approximately 21-feet wide and the east and west sidewalks are approximately 17-feet wide.

Figure 3.1



**Statue of France's General Marquis Gilbert de Lafayette in Lafayette Park*

Vegetation in the park includes trees, turf, shrub beds, and formal flower beds with seasonal displays that are coordinated with flower plantings around the north lawn fountain at the White House. Large oval fountains, installed about 1969, are rapidly deteriorating. Black painted cast-iron benches without end armrests are offset along the walkways. Popular concrete chess tables are located by the west side of the park. Two styles of drinking fountains and several types of trash receptacles are also located along the walks. Most park light fixtures match other city park lighting, but not the lighting in President's Park, which uses two styles of the historic Washington, D.C. light standard.

**Source: Washington DC, List of Sites*



On the north edge of the park is a former watchman's lodge, which now provides two restrooms, a walled storage area, a storeroom, an office for U.S. Park Police, and a locker room for park maintenance.

The park is used for picnicking, chess, strolling, sunning, and other informal activities. At one time it contained a children's playground, and in the second half of the 19th century it contained wire enclosures with prairie dogs and deer.

Because of the park's proximity to and visibility from the White House, it has traditionally been the site for demonstrations protected under the First Amendment to the Constitution. The park's eastern end has utility connections that can be used by groups up to 3,000 if they first obtain a permit. The sidewalk north of the White House fence can also be used for moving demonstrations of up to 750 individuals.

3.2.3 H Street

H Street is a four-lane eastbound one-way street on the north side of Lafayette Park. Brick sidewalks on the park side are 20' wide but are currently bisected by temporary concrete barriers; trees, signs, and light posts are adjacent to the street. The sidewalks on the north side of H Street are concrete. While most of the street is signed "No Parking" (except for Sunday morning), tour buses often create a wall of idling vehicles the entire length of the park, blocking views, and adding noise and fumes. Vibrations from buses could be a contributing factor to structural damage in surrounding historic buildings. There are two metro bus stops between Jackson and Madison Places on H Street.

**St. John's Episcopal Church on H Street, NW*



Figure 3.2

**Source: Washington DC, List of Sites*



3.2.4 Jackson Place

Jackson Place, on the west side of Lafayette Park, is about 40 feet wide and is paved with asphalt. Sidewalks on each side of Jackson Place range between 17 and 20 feet in width. Jackson Place currently accommodates 40 angled parking spaces adjacent to the park. Black metal bollards are on the south end of the street, which is filled with permanent staff parking. The scale of buildings on the west side of the street is residential, with brick historic and 1960s infill townhouses. Behind the townhouses is a modern brick New Executive Office Building, with an adjacent courtyard. Front gardens are well kept. Simple appearing wood and metal wheelchair ramps provide access to the White House Conference Center. Street trees and lighting are unevenly spaced along Jackson Place.

3.2.5 Madison Place

Madison Place, on the east side of Lafayette Park, is also about 40 feet wide and paved in asphalt, with buildings along its east side. Sidewalks on each side range between 17 and 20 feet. The Treasury Annex building at the south end has a concrete sidewalk and sunken window wells behind stone walls and ornate metal grillwork. The smaller scale historic buildings on the north end have brick paved walks and front gardens with ornamental fencing. In between is the entrance to the National Courts Building, which has an interior courtyard open to the public; the sidewalks are brick, and flagpoles and modern globe lighting flank the entrance to the brick building, which is recessed behind the historic townhouses. All the brick paver walks have deteriorated to a hazardous condition. Street trees and lighting are unevenly spaced, and some street trees are dead. Currently a city bus transfer point is located on Madison Place, and a food vending cart is operated near the Treasury Annex. Temporary concrete barriers prevent public vehicular use of the street.

3.3 Historic Resources in the Project Area

3.3.1 Lafayette Park

What is now known as Lafayette Park was used as a construction staging area for the White House in the 1790's. President Jefferson separated what was to become the Park (then known as President's Square) from the White House grounds during his administration in order to create a public commons. During the War of 1812 it served as an encampment for soldiers protecting the White



House. After the war it once again served as the construction staging area for the rebuilding of the burned out White House. By 1820 a park plan was being developed for the square and residential property adjacent to the square were being built. The square was named for Lafayette in the year of his first visit to Washington (1824). Also in that year Pennsylvania Avenue in front of the White House was officially designated a public street.

The National Park Service took over management of the park from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers in 1933. In the 1930s the Works Progress Administration, under the direction of the Park Service, redeveloped the park, essentially creating its modern appearance. A plan initiated during the Kennedy administration upgraded the park with "minimal changes," brick paving, and new fountains, while creating much needed office space, resulting in the present appearance of the park and surrounding area.

3.3.2 Pennsylvania Avenue

L'Enfant designed Pennsylvania Avenue between the White House and the U.S. Capitol as a ceremonial way, a grand approach that would accommodate large processions. Both the 1791 L'Enfant plan and the 1792 refinement by Andrew Ellicott describe the breadth of the streets and identify three components of the grand avenues: walkways on each side, a boulevard on each side, and a central carriageway.

Although not a part of L'Enfant's original design, the extension of Pennsylvania Avenue north of the White House occurred informally at first, the south facade originally served as the front of the White House, with ceremonies ending at the southeast gate.

Over the years the north side of the White House, which is oriented more to the city of Washington, gradually became known as the front, and by 1824 a road was established in front of the White House.

It is unclear when inaugural parades first began to pass in front of the White House on the north side. By the last half of the 19th century the inaugural parade, including the construction of reviewing stands on Pennsylvania Avenue north of the White House, had become a tradition.



3.4 Historic Properties in the Project Area


During the 1960s and 1970s a concerted effort was undertaken to preserve the historic houses along Jackson and Madison Places, as well as the Renwick Gallery and the Old Executive Office Building, and to rehabilitate Lafayette Park, which had become a focal point for demonstrations.

Table 3.1: Potentially Affected Historic Structures, Sites and Districts in and near President's Park

The White House and President's Park	
Historic Structure, Site or District	Designation
Lafayette Park:	
Lafayette Square National Historic Landmark District	National Register of Historic Places – 1970 National Historic Landmark – 1970 District of Columbia Historic District – 1973
Von Steuben Statue	National Register of Historic Places – 1970, 1977
Rochambeau Statue	National Register of Historic Places – 1970, 1977
Kosciuszko Statue	National Register of Historic Places – 1970, 1977
Lafayette Statue	National Register of Historic Places – 1970, 1977
White House Complex:	
White House	National Historic Landmark – 1960
Treasury Building	National Historic Landmark – 1971
Eisenhower Executive Office Building	National Historic Landmark – 1971
Structures and Districts Adjacent to the White House and President's Park	
Historic Structure, Site or District	Designation
<u>See above Table</u>	
Lafayette Square National Historic Landmark District	
Decatur House	National Historic Landmark – 1960
St. John's Church	National Historic Landmark – 1960
Ashburton House	National Historic Landmark – 1973
Lee House/Blair House	National Historic Landmark – 1973
U.S. Chamber of Commerce Building Treasury Annex	National Register of Historic Places – 1970, 1992
Renwick Gallery	National Historic Landmark – 1971
White House Historical Society	
White House Conference Center	
Riggs Bank	
United States Court of Appeals	
Pennsylvania Avenue National Historic Site	National Register of Historic Places – 1966 National Historic Site – 1966 District of Columbia – 1973
Fifteenth Street Financial Historic District	District of Columbia – 1981 Eligible for National Register of Historic Places - 1984



Figure 3.3



Historic Districts



Historic Districts Map courtesy of the United States Department of the Interior – National Park Service



Figure 3.4



Memorials / Monuments



Memorials/Monuments Map courtesy of the United States Department of the Interior – National Park Service



3.5 Natural Resources in the Project Area

Historically, the Potomac River extended as far northeast as the southwest corner of President's Park. Tiber Creek, a perennial stream flowing southwest through the present downtown area, emptied into the Potomac at this point. With the growth and development of the city, portions of the river and adjacent tidal flats were filled to create the Ellipse and the National Mall. (Tiber Creek still flows beneath Constitution Avenue, but has been incorporated into the city's stormwater sewer system.)

3.5.1 Geology

The White House grounds and Lafayette Park are underlain by river terrace deposits generally composed of gravel, sand, and loam. In scattered areas the formation contains layers of fine-grained deposits of clay, silt and peat, and plant fossils 5 meters (16') thick. The river terrace formation is as much as 9 meters (30') thick.

Overburden is all surface earth material overlying hard bedrock, including soil, disturbed ground and artificial fill, alluvial and terrace deposits, colluvium, upland gravel, Coastal Plain strata, and saprolite on crystalline bedrock. Thickness and composition of overburden is an important factor in determining suitability of the area for various development activities. Areas with thin overburden are more suitable for development requiring strong bedrock for structural support, while areas of thick overburden are suitable for development activities such as utility alignments requiring deep burial. Overburden in President's Park is relatively thin, ranging from 15 to 30 meters (50-100') thick in most of the area.

Artificial fill occurs throughout the park in areas altered by man, and consists of unconsolidated material from nearby river dredgings and excavations. Areas of artificial fill are located in cut or disturbed ground, reclaimed areas, and sanitary landfills.

3.5.2 Soils

The Soil Conservation Service has identified the soils of Lafayette Park and the north lawn of the White House as Beltsville-Urban land complex, with 0 to 8% slopes. These soils formed in the parent material of a silty mantle probably deposited by the wind. They are moderately well-drained, nearly level to gently sloping on higher elevations of the Coastal Plain. The Soil Conservation Service has collected and analyzed data from soil borings in the Beltsville-Urban land complex to determine soil characteristics and behavior of soil for potential development uses:



Physical and Chemical Properties :

- Soil drainage: slow
- Permeability: slow
- Runoff: medium to rapid
- Erosion hazard: moderate to severe
- Available water capacity: moderate in relatively undisturbed areas; low to very low in highly urbanized areas
- pH: most un-limed areas very strongly acidic
- Flood frequency: none
- Shrink/swell potential: low

Site Development Limitations

- Shallow excavations: Severe due to wetness, cemented pan
- Dwellings without basements: severe due to frost action, wetness
- Dwellings without basements: severe due to wetness
- Roads and streets: severe due to frost action
- Recreational uses: fair to poor potential due to wetness and limited open space
- Landscape vegetation: fair potential

Some areas are relatively undisturbed; however, disturbed areas have had as much as two-thirds of the original profile removed by grading and cutting.

Urban land underlies areas covered by asphalt, concrete, buildings, and other impervious surfaces. In these areas most or all of the original profile has been cut away and covered by 20" or more of fill material. The fill is usually material from nearby areas of cut or graded Beltsville soils.

3.5.3 Vegetation

Vegetation in Lafayette Park, despite the urban stresses of pollution, soil compaction, litter, damage, and vandalism, is carefully maintained and healthy. The park contains 212 trees, representing 38 native and exotic species. Four tree species comprise over 60% of the trees in the park: native willow oaks and American elms and exotic ginkgos (all tall spreading trees) are planted in tree wells in the sidewalks surrounding the park, while the nonnative saucer magnolias (a bush-like tree), are found throughout the interior of the park. However, most tree species are represented by just a few specimens.

In the contextual planning and special streets areas, the opportunity to utilize various types of vegetation is significant. Depending on the species and the soil conditions (both the type of soil and its rain-saturation level), vegetation (trees and shrubs) can absorb a considerable amount of water, contributing to the



retention of surface water drainage at urban locations. Also, water-polluting nitrates, phosphorus, and potassium, which in many areas are spurring the development of total maximum daily loads (TMDLs) for receiving waters, are readily absorbed by trees, which utilize the substances as a supporting energy source.

Table 3.2

District of Columbia Vegetative Changes And Associated Benefits			
Item Analyzed	1973	1997	Loss/Gain 1973-1997
Acres with 50% or more tree cover	16,440 (37.9%)	5,871 (13.4%)	-64%
Acres with 20% - 49% tree cover	5,087 (11.6%)	6,510 (14.8%)	28%
Acres with less than tree cover	22,411 (51%)	31,557 (71.8%)	41%
Stormwater Management Value**	\$666 million	\$440 million	\$226 million
Air Pollution Removal Value (annually)	\$3 million	\$2 million	\$1 million

Source: *Urban Ecosystem Analysis (UEA) for the District of Columbia*, developed by American Forest – Washington DC

* Numbers may not add to 100% due to rounding

** Represents a one time construction savings, and does not include additional annual savings from avoided maintenance

IV

ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES

Pennsylvania Avenue At The White House

Federal Lands Highway





4 Environmental Consequences

4.1 Land and Zoning Impacts

4.1.1 Land Use

Existing Conditions

Referencing the proposed *Comprehensive Design Plan* for the White House and President's Park contained in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement developed by the United States Department of the Interior – National Park Service (October 1998), development trends for the study area include actions that would best meet the needs of the Executive Residence, the Office of the President, the multiple agencies involved in the stewardship or management roles within President's Park, and visitors. The historic elements and character of President's Park and the White House as a formal setting for State events would continue to be respected.

President's Park would become a pedestrian-oriented space, with eight entryways (two each on H Street, Pennsylvania Avenue, E Street, and Constitution Avenue) to signify for visitors a special place.

Complete information and orientation for visitors would be provided at entryways to the park, with continued informal recreational activities on the Ellipse.


For special events, such as the Inaugural Parade, permanent infrastructure would be provided, to reduce impacts associated with staging an event.

Land use in the study area is zoned as GOV (Government – President's Park), SP2 (Special Purpose 2 – Jackson and Madison Place, and H Street between Jackson and Madison Place) and C-4 (Commercial 4 – 15th and 17th Streets, between Pennsylvania Avenue and H Street).

There are no residential land uses in the study area. Zoning within the study area is largely consistent with the developed land uses (see Project Area Zoning Map – Figure 4.1)



Figure 4.1



Project Area Zoning



Project Area Zoning Map courtesy of the United States Department of the Interior – National Park Service



4.1.2 Relationship to Existing Zoning

Build Alternative

The proposed action is not only compatible with the uses in the immediate vicinity of President's Park and the White House, it will function as an enhancement to President's Park and is compatible with the Comprehensive Design Plan for President's Park.

No zoning variances would be required, and the proposed improvements would be compatible with the uses allowed in a GOV zone. Since this action serves as an enhancement to an existing primarily government use environment, there is no direct impact on its current use.

No-Build Alternative

There are no impacts to land use as a result of the No-Build Alternative.

4.1.3 Security

Existing Conditions

Security measures on Pennsylvania Avenue between 15th and 17th Streets consists of large concrete planters, security booths, jersey barriers, hydraulic plate barriers, and bollards. Presidential Bollards, security booths, and hydraulic barriers exist on Jackson and Madison Places at H Street. Entry portals and their security checkpoints are located on Pennsylvania Avenue at 15th and 17th Streets, and on H Street at Jackson and Madison Places.

Build Alternative

On Jackson and Madison Places, the existing temporary security booths installed in 1999 will be replaced with new architecturally appropriate booths and located just north of the outer bollard line. The security booths will sit on an extended sidewalk positioned to channel vehicles as they enter or exit the secure area, providing approximately 24' for two-way circulation.


On Pennsylvania Avenue, the temporary security improvements will be replaced with bollards, and architecturally appropriate security booths with a sally port.

The security booths will be positioned to allow vehicles to approach the booth on the driver's side. Fixed bollards will be placed in the sidewalks, except where there is a need to allow for the passage of vehicles or maintenance equipment. Removable bollards will be used in locations where there will be an infrequent need to remove the barrier, such as for the Inaugural Parade. Retractable bollards will be used where frequent passage is required, and security enhanced overall.




In conjunction with the concept design, daily operations will be relocated to Jackson and Madison Places, and the checkpoints on Pennsylvania Avenue will be limited to pre-screened or cleared motorcades, emergency equipment and the downtown circulator. Security booths and a sally port (a secure area formed by two lines of bollards) are proposed to secure each of these four entry points.

Figure 4.2



Detail Plan of security threshold at 17th Street and Pennsylvania Avenue


Figure 4.3




Detailed Section of security threshold at 15th Street entry



Figure 4.4



Detail Plan of Security Threshold at 15th Street and Pennsylvania Avenue



Detail Plan of Security Threshold at Jackson Place and H Street

Figure 4.5



Detail Plan of Security Threshold at Madison Place and H Street





Figure 4.6



Retractable bollards would be utilized at specific points in the security line to permit passage of vehicles on a day-to-day basis. Removable bollards would also be utilized in the roadway but would be designed without extensive mechanical Systems as they need only be moved once every four years for the Inaugural Parade.

Figure 4.7



No-Build Alternative

There are no impacts to security as a result of the No-Build Alternative.

4.2 Social-Economic Impacts

4.2.1 Impacts on Institutions and Businesses

Existing Conditions

Institutions and businesses in the project area.

- Decatur House
- Council on Environmental Quality
- Office of National AIDS Policy
- Eisenhower Executive Office Building
- White House Historical Association
- White House Conference Center
- Renwick Gallery
- Blair-Lee House
- Riggs Bank
- Treasury Building
- Treasury Annex
- United States Court of Appeals
- White House North Grounds

Decatur House is a historic house museum with gift shop located one block north of the White House on Lafayette Square in Washington, DC. The museum offers guided tours of this c.1818 mansion, as well as changing exhibits in their gallery space. The visitor entrance is located at 1610 H Street, NW. Decatur House is one of the oldest surviving homes in Washington, DC, and one of only three remaining residential buildings in the country designed by Benjamin Henry Latrobe, the “Father of American Architecture”. The home was completed in 1818 for naval hero Stephen Decatur and his wife Susan. It subsequently became one of the Capital’s most desirable addresses and home of many of the nation’s most prominent figures.



Figure 4.8



Decatur House*

The *Council on Environmental Quality* coordinates federal environmental efforts and works closely with agencies and other White House offices in the development of environmental policies and initiatives. The Council's Chair, who is appointed by the President with the advice and consent of the Senate, serves as the principal environmental policy adviser to the President. In addition, CEQ reports annually to the President on the state of the environment; oversees federal agency implementation of the environmental impact assessment process; and acts as a referee when agencies disagree over the adequacy of such assessments. The Council on Environmental Quality is located at 722 Jackson Place, N.W.

Figure 4.9



View looking north on Jackson Place*

The *Office of National AIDS Policy* works closely with community-based and national organizations to discuss the Federal response to the AIDS epidemic, and to reflect their concerns in the development of Administration policies. Among its responsibilities, ONAP works closely with the Presidential Advisory Council on HIV/AIDS, which provides essential input into their efforts. ONAP also created and facilitates an Interdepartmental Task Force on HIV/AIDS. This Task Force serves to foster communication and coordination among those Federal agencies involved in HIV/AIDS policy and initiatives. The ONAP is located at 736 Jackson Place.

* Source: Washington DC, List of Sites



The *Old (Eisenhower) Executive Office Building* was built between 1871 and 1888 for the State, War, and Navy Departments. Architect Alfred Mullett designed the French Second Empire Building which took 17 years to complete. The South Wing of the Old Executive Office Building was the first wing to be completed. The Department of State occupied this building from 1874 until 1947. The State Department Library was completed in 1876, and William McPherson of Boston was the decorator. The room was used as the State Department's library until 1947 when the State Department vacated the building. The Library was a popular tour stop in the late 19th century. It was here in the Old State, War and Navy Building, which is now the EEOB, that America's role as a world super power was born. The building is located at 17th and Pennsylvania Avenue.

Figure 4.10



*Eisenhower Executive Office Building**

The *White House Historical Association* is located at 750 Jackson Place. The Association was founded in 1961 as a charitable non-profit institution for the purpose of enhancing the understanding, appreciation and enjoyment of the White House, and is located at 740 Jackson Place. The White House Historical Association's retail facility is accessed from Jackson Place and remains open to the public when portions of Lafayette Park are closed due to Head of State visits at Blair House. The Association's retail program is a vital part of their operation and it's responsibility to fund the maintenance and refurbishing of the public rooms of the White House. Delivery trucks access this facility from H Street, turning onto Jackson Place.

The *White House Conference Center*, located at 726 Jackson Place, is a facility used to host various conferences sponsored by the White House.

The *Renwick Gallery* is part of the Smithsonian American Art Museum. The Gallery collects, exhibits, studies, and preserves American crafts from the nineteenth to twenty-first centuries. The Renwick Gallery is located on Pennsylvania Avenue at 17th Street NW, steps away from the White House in the heart of historic federal Washington. Housed in a historic architectural landmark, the Renwick features one-of-a-kind pieces created from clay, fiber, glass, metal, and wood.

* Source: Washington DC, List of Sites



Figure 4.11 Source: Washington DC, List of Sites



Renwick Gallery

The *Blair-Lee House*, built in 1824 for Dr. Joseph Lovell, first Surgeon General of the United States who organized the Army Corps of Engineers, this National Historic Landmark serves as the official guest house of the President of the United States. In 1836, Francis Preston Blair, Sr., a member of Andrew Jackson's "Kitchen Cabinet" and co-publisher of the *Globe*, the influential mouthpiece of the administration, purchased the Blair House. After the Civil War, Blair influence began to fade, but the prominence of the family continued to be recognized in Washington society. The house once again took on national recognition when, in 1942, it became the official residence of visiting dignitaries and served as a temporary home for President Harry S. Truman during the remodeling of the White House. The Blair-Lee House is located at 1651 Pennsylvania Ave., NW. It is not open to the public.

Figure 4.12



Blair-Lee House Source: Washington DC, List of Sites

The entrance to the *Corcoran Branch of Riggs Bank* is located on the closed portion of Pennsylvania Avenue at 15th Street. The bank is located between Madison Place and 15th Streets on Pennsylvania Avenue. Currently, bank- patrons and commercial vehicles (i.e., armored vehicles making cash deliveries) can access Riggs Bank's entrance from 15th Street. A jersey barrier, which restricts vehicular traffic to the closed portion of Pennsylvania Avenue, is located to the west of Riggs Bank in the area of the Treasury Annex.



The present *Treasury Building* was built over a period of 33 years between 1836 and 1869. The east and center wings, designed by Robert Mills, architect of the Washington Monument and the Patent Office Building, comprise the first part of the building constructed between 1836 to 1842. The most architecturally impressive feature of the Mills design is the east colonnade running the length of the building. Each of the 30 columns is 36 feet tall and is carved out of a single piece of granite. The interior design of the east and center wings is classically austere, in keeping with the Greek Revival style. The Treasury Building is the oldest departmental building in Washington and has had a great impact on the design of other governmental buildings. At the time of its completion, it was one of the largest office buildings in the world. It served as a barracks for soldiers during the Civil War and as the temporary White House for President Andrew Johnson following the assassination of President Lincoln in 1865. The Treasury Building is unquestionably a monument of continuing architectural and historical significance. In acknowledgment of the building's significance, Treasury was declared a National Historic Landmark in 1972. The Treasury Building is located at 15th and H Sts.

Figure 4.13



*The Treasury Building**

Unlike the Treasury Building, the *Treasury Annex* is not symmetrical and is square in plan. In addition, the evolution of the construction materials and technologies used in the Treasury extensions meant that its structure, steel beams and girders, could span ever-greater distances. The lack of design constraints and the improved construction technologies produced large, open spaces whose possibilities were immense. Almost any permutation of the spaces in the Annex was possible -- offices and workrooms could be, and were, shifted and changed as needed. With the Annex, the Treasury finally possessed the spatial flexibility that it had needed for so long. The Treasury Annex is located on Madison Place between H Street and Pennsylvania Avenue.

* Source: Washington DC, List of Sites



Figure 4.14 Source: US Treasury, Office of Curator



The Treasury Annex

The *U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit* is located at 717 Madison Pl., NW

Planning for a garden at the *White House* began with President Washington, who expressed a desire to plant a botanical garden. Washington purchased the land for what is now the South lawn from a tobacco planter named Davy Burns, while the *North Grounds* originally belonged to the Pierce family. As the first President to occupy the White House, John Adams ordered the first planting of a garden. Thomas Jefferson then undertook a complete redesign of the garden. He started the tradition of planting trees when he planted hundreds of seedling trees, although none of Jefferson's trees is believed to have survived to the present day. It was his idea to plant groves of trees, he picked the location for the flower garden, and fences and walls were eventually built where he had specified. In addition, Jefferson built an arc of triumph flanked by two weeping willow trees on the southeast corner of the grounds that are no longer standing.

Figure 4.15



*North Portico of The White H ouse**

* Source: Washington DC, List of Sites



Build Alternative

Security bollards located at the entry portals of Pennsylvania Avenue at 15th and 17th Streets, and H Street at Jackson and Madison Places will greatly assist in improved pedestrian access to the project area, the need to maneuver around the existing large planters and other security barriers would be eliminated within the secured zone. However, the location of the pedestrian barriers at the outer bollard line on Jackson and Madison Places will impact access to public usage buildings.

Changes proposed for the project area should not have an adverse impact on pedestrian access to the institutions, agencies and businesses located within the project area; however, the USSS may block pedestrian access to the restricted area when the highest level of security is in effect. The existing bollard location on Jackson Place is south of the WHHA entry; therefore, they are not impacted during high security periods. The new bollard location is proposed north of the WHHA; therefore, during periods of high security, mitigation will be needed to ensure unobstructed pedestrian access to the WHHA entrance. Bollard placement in front of Riggs Bank and the Treasury Building's main entrance at Pennsylvania Avenue and 15th Streets will be resolved in the final design to minimize potential conflict with pedestrian access and visual impact on historic resources.

Staff permit parking would be removed from Jackson Place; however, access to buildings on Pennsylvania Avenue, Jackson Place and Madison Place would be improved, though still somewhat constrained. Deliveries, service, and maintenance access would be improved as a result of two-lane access and the circulator route. While the loss of leased or private parking spaces in the project area could result in minor inconveniences to the businesses and institutions, there would be continued pedestrian access to public usage of the Renwick Gallery, Decatur House, and the White House Historical Association.

Customer access to Riggs Bank would be fully served by all entries at Pennsylvania Avenue in the planned Alternative, while the delivery access would need to be only minimally altered to schedule the deliveries through the use of the Madison Place security gate. The bollard line, as originally proposed, has been moved to reduce direct impact on the bank. The proposed action would not compromise views of the buildings façade.

The current placement and spacing of trees in front of the Renwick Gallery and Riggs Bank is important to the symmetry of the design and establishment of the grandness, formality and dignity desired for this important civic space. Careful placement and spacing of the proposed high branching new tree species will be an improvement over the location of existing trees. The proposed trees will be placed to minimize the impacts to the views of the Riggs Bank's main entries. In addition, since the building height will exceed the heights of the planned tree row, the prominence of the buildings and their façades will remain completely unaffected.



No-Build Alternative

The No-Build Alternative would have no impact on businesses or institutions.

Figure 4.16



Concept View of Riggs Bank from Entry Portal at Pennsylvania Avenue and 15th Streets

MITIGATION

Previous environmental review of replacement parking in the project area determined that twelve major commercial parking garages in the vicinity provide off-street parking. These facilities have available monthly leasing of parking spaces, in addition to weekly and daily parking operations for use by both Federal and private organizations. With respect to vehicle use, the loss of parking will offset increased use as a security checkpoint.

Although 65-foot delivery trucks can be accommodated, businesses and institutions will need to coordinate with the USSS to accommodate trucks over 40 feet. The access width through the security checkpoints will increase to improve circulation by-pass and loading for adjacent users. USSS will need to coordinate with the US Park Police to ensure pedestrian barriers used during times of high alert will be placed at the inner bollard line in order to ensure free and unencumbered pedestrian movement to public uses on Jackson and Madison Places and Pennsylvania Avenue.



4.2.2 Impacts on Visitor Use and Experience

Existing Conditions

Security components such as bollards, security booths and concrete planters are ubiquitous throughout the study area and located at all key entry points. These components give obvious indications that visitors are in a restricted security zone.




Figure 4.17

While the entire Avenue can accommodate free movement of pedestrians, the openness of the area in front of the White House, between Jackson and Madison Places, is compromised by the presence of concrete bollards.



Build Alternative

The overall visitor experience will be enhanced as a result of the proposed action in the study area. The experience will be greatly improved by the utilization of new paving materials, tree planting, and pedestrian streetscape amenities intended to enhance the pedestrian experience in the study area. Consistency with the grace of Lafayette Park and the White House, and respect for the open character of the Avenue and historic L'Enfant views of the White House will be maintained. The proposed action reinforces the White House as the focus of President's Park. While the Avenue will not appear as an open street for automobiles, the views that signify the importance and significance of the place will be maintained.

Large rectangular granite pavers are proposed at the entry portals on Pennsylvania Avenue at 15th and 17th Streets. The pavers will reflect the grand scale and urbanity of the adjacent buildings and accentuate the entryways to the special precinct. The sense of entry is also reinforced by street tree planting on both sides of the Avenue, including the allees on the south side. This composition improves the pedestrian scale and emphasizes the transition to the heart of the space, the expansive open area in front of the White House. The use of large granite pavers for the 33' foot wide sidewalk in front of the White House reinforces continuity along the Avenue, and creates a dignified and elegant edge to the existing fence and front lawn of the White House.

The heart of the space is reinforced through the use of perceptually softer, more natural paving material. The central portion of Pennsylvania Avenue, and Jackson and Madison Places are spatially connected through the use of a stabilized, crushed stone pavement, which strengthens the relationship of the street to President's Park. This pavement material not only provides a transition from the adjacent urban context to a more park-like atmosphere, it also signals the pedestrian to slow down, stroll and reflect on the significance of the place.

The placement of new trees and replacement of all existing trees on Pennsylvania Avenue will reinforce the visual axis along the length of the avenue. New trees that form the allees on the south side of the avenue, at the 15th and 17th entry points, will improve the pedestrian scale. The absence of trees directly in front of the White House will create a void and enhance the historic vista from 16th Street that was established in the L'Enfant Plan, emphasizing the sightlines toward the White House and its grounds.

No-Build Alternative

The No-Build Alternative would have no impact on visitor use and experience

Mitigation

A slight increase in the outer bollard line setback is needed to minimize encroachment of vehicles into pedestrian crosswalks.



4.2.3 Impacts On The News Media

Existing Conditions

Broadcasters, including the network pool, use Madison Place every four years for Inaugural coverage. They park their production trucks and workspace trailers on Madison Place in the west curb lane and the center lane.

The west curb lane of Madison Place is kept clear for emergency vehicles; however, the east side of Madison Place has multi-story buildings, including the U. S. Courthouse and the Treasury Annex. Due to the requirement to maintain the life safety lane on the west curb, a substantial “swing-area” is required to allow fire trucks and emergency vehicles to maneuver past the security booth. This limits the amount of space that broadcasters have on the west side of Madison Place. Normally, the broadcast media uses all available space on the west side of Madison Place.

Figure 4.18




Illustration of primary and secondary emergency routes on Jackson and Madison Places



Build Alternative

The proposed security improvements at Jackson and Madison Places include the relocation of the existing bollard line on Jackson Place, and creation of a sally port on both Jackson and Madison Places. This will cause the media to lose 64 feet of staging area. While, the existing bollard line on Madison Place will remain in its present location, the existing bollard line on Jackson Place will be relocated north. In both cases, these barrier lines will consist of a combination of fixed and hydraulic-retractable bollards, and will be placed 88 feet south of the intersection of H Street, with a second bollard line installed 64 feet further south to create a secure sally port. Vehicles will be inspected and cleared outside of the first bollard line prior to entering into the sally port. The sally port prevents tailgating and allows the guard to control entry. The single row of trees in front of the White House eliminates additional impacts caused by the double tree row initially proposed along the north side of the Avenue. The current proposal to plant trees along the south side will require the bleachers on this side of the Avenue to be constructed around the tree planting.

Proposed Security Improvements at Madison Place




Figure 4.19



Both the outer and inner bollard lines will extend from the row house facades across the sidewalk and street, and tie into the existing bollard line in Lafayette Park. Three of the existing short row of bollards at H Street will be retained; 24 feet will be provided to slow and channel vehicles entering and exiting the secure area. New bollards will be placed in front of the security booths to protect them from direct impact.

On Jackson Place, relocation of the bollard line and creation of the sally port will cause the benches on the perimeter sidewalk in Lafayette Park, located across from the White House Historical Association, to either be removed or relocated.

Replacement of security booths. The existing temporary security booths installed in 1999 will be replaced with new architecturally appropriate booths and located just north of the outer bollard line. The security booths will sit on an extended sidewalk positioned to channel vehicles as they enter or exit the secure area, providing approximately 24 feet for two-way circulation.

View of Jackson Place from H Street



Figure 4.20

No-Build Alternative

The No-Build Alternative would have no impact on the broadcast news media.



Mitigation

Increase width of access through the security line to improve staging area, circulation, by-pass and emergency access. Other measures to address the staging area for the broadcast media relative to the need to maintain a safety lane for fire/emergency vehicles during Inaugural news coverage will be determined in final design.

4.3 Impacts On Recreational Users and Pedestrians

The overall experience of recreational users and pedestrians will be greatly enhanced as a result of the proposed action. The proposed concept design creates a pedestrian precinct which also accommodates recreational users for the important functions that occur within the area.

Build Alternative

The proposed action satisfies (existing) security requirements and improves the aesthetic quality of this public space with new landscaping, paving materials, and streetscape amenities, such as benches, lighting, and way-finding signage. Vehicular traffic will continue to be restricted, with the exception of the proposed Circulator Route, that allows for the operation of a secure transit vehicle, the Downtown Circulator.

Public gatherings are accommodated along the Avenue and in front of the White House. While the entire Avenue can accommodate free movement of pedestrians, and recreational users, the openness of the area in front of the White House, between Jackson and Madison Places, creates a grand and dignified memorial place to view the office and home of the President, and conduct public activities.

The proposed action proposes regrading Pennsylvania Avenue to remove the crown of the existing right-of-way and to create a pedestrian space that will gently slope up to the White House from the park. This may require minor regrading of the sidewalk along the southern boundary of Lafayette Park. The trees will be removed and replaced and the existing brick, granite curbs, benches, and light poles will be reinstalled. The eastern and western ends of Pennsylvania Avenue will be repaved with rectangular granite pavers. The granite will be placed with the long side parallel to the curb line to reinforce the axis of the Avenue and to accentuate these areas as entryways to the precinct.

The proposed action will ensure that historic and significant views and settings in this precinct are protected and enhanced to the maximum extent possible while incorporating a secure and beautiful landscaped civic space on Pennsylvania Avenue in front of the White House.



Changes proposed for the project area should not have an adverse impact on pedestrian access to the institutions, agencies and businesses located within the project area; however, the USSS may block pedestrian access to the restricted area when the highest level of security is in effect. Forty angled parking spaces will be removed on Jackson Place to accommodate adequate circulation and loading functions, and to enhance the pedestrian experience and quality of President's Park.

All the existing trees along Pennsylvania Avenue will be replaced.

No-build Alternative

The No-Build Alternative will have no impact on pedestrian or recreational users.

Mitigation

The proposed Circulator Route design will need to include appropriate delineation or operational requirements for pedestrian and recreational user safety.

4.4 Air Quality Impacts

Existing Conditions

The pollutants of concern in maintaining air quality standards in the District of Columbia are CO and ozone with its precursors (NO_x and VOC). These pollutants are associated with vehicle emissions and therefore, higher concentrations tend to result from peak traffic periods. CO concentrations may increase or decrease with changes in the street network or traffic conditions. However, with the elimination of parking spaces along Jackson Place, and no additions to the parking supply in the proposed action, vehicle emission levels in the metropolitan area are not expected to increase or to be impacted as a result of implementing any of the alternatives under consideration in this document.

Due to the urban setting of the project area, effects on historic structures and buildings from exhaust fumes and airborne particulates would continue to be a problem in all areas

Build Alternative

No substantial impact to air quality is anticipated as a result of implementing the proposed action.

No-Build Alternative

The No-Build Alternative would have no impact on air quality.



4.5 Noise Impacts

Existing Conditions

The primary source of noise in the project area is traffic along the corridors of H Street to the north, 17th Street to the West and 15th Street to the east.

Construction noise in the study area is regulated by the D.C. Noise Control Act and GSA regulations. The D.C. Noise Control Act specifies that from 7 a.m. to 7 p.m., Monday through Saturday, noise levels from construction, excluding pile drivers, should not exceed 80 dB(A) for a one-hour period. The District will not issue a permit for construction until there is assurance in writing that the noise from planned construction will comply with these requirements.

Build Alternative

Noise in the project area would temporarily increase during construction; however, it is not expected to exceed the 80 dB(A) level threshold of the D.C. Noise Control Act.

No-Build Alternative

Noise levels associated with roadway traffic would not change under the No-Build Alternative; therefore, noise impacts are not expected to occur.

4.6 Farmland Impacts

There are no farmlands in the project area; therefore, this topic has been dismissed.

4.7 Relocation Impacts

There are no displaced households in the project area; therefore, this topic has been dismissed.

4.8 Joint Development Impacts

There are no joint development measures in the project area; therefore, this topic has been dismissed.



4.9 Water Quality Impacts

Proper planning for street and sidewalk surfacing is a simple but effective method to control pollution. There are a number of actions that can be implemented to control the impacts of this type of maintenance operation. First, paving operations using concrete, asphalt, or other sealers should be performed only in dry weather situations to prevent contamination of runoff. Second, use of proper staging techniques to reduce the spillage of paving materials during the repair of construction cuts and installation of new pavement is important. This can include covering storm drain inlets and manholes during paving operations, using erosion and sediment control measures to decrease runoff from repair sites, and utilizing pollution prevention materials such as drip pans and absorbent material for all paving machines to limit leaks and spills of paving materials and fluids.

Cleaning practices can also help diminish impacts to stormwater runoff from streets and traffic areas. Sweeping and vacuuming of heavily traveled roadways to remove sediment and debris can reduce the amount of pollutants in runoff. Regular cleaning of runoff control structures such as catch basins can help reduce sediment loads into runoff that will end up in local waterways.

Maintenance practices for street-side vegetation also are a determining factor in the storm water quality of road runoff. Restrictions on the use of herbicides and pesticides on streetscape vegetation and training, to ensure that employees understand the proper handling and application of pesticides and other chemicals, can help prevent contamination of runoff. Selection of street vegetation with higher salt tolerances will also help to maintain vegetated open spaces that filter out runoff along streets. Generally speaking, limitations to instituting pollution prevention practices for street maintenance involve the cost for additional limited equipment and training. Since maintenance of streets and bridges is already required in municipal operations, staffing is usually in place and alteration of current practices should not require additional staffing or administrative labor.

There is limited data available on the actual effectiveness of street and sidewalk maintenance practices at removing pollutants from stormwater runoff. The table below examines the effectiveness and cost of some of the operation and maintenance practices recommended for stormwater pollution control.



Table 4.1

Street and Sidewalk Maintenance Management Practices – Cost and Effectiveness (United States Environmental Protection Agency, 1993)			
Practice	Effectiveness (% Removed)		Cost
Maintaining Street Vegetation	Sediment – 90% average Phosphorus and Nitrogen – 40% average COD, Pb, and Zn – 50% average TSS – 60% average		Natural succession allowed to occur Average: \$100/acre/year Reported Range: \$50 - \$200/acre/year
Street Sweeping	Smooth Street Frequent Cleaning: TSS – 20% COD – 5% Pb – 25%	Smooth Street Infrequent Cleaning: TSS – Not applicable COD – Not applicable Pb – 5%	Average: \$20/curb/mile Reported Range: \$10 - \$30/curb mile
Litter Control	Not applicable		All are accepted as economical practices to control or prevent storm water impacts
General Maintenance	Not applicable		
Minimizing Deicer Application	Not applicable		

While data may be limited on cost and effectiveness, preventative maintenance and strategic planning are time-proven and cost saving methods to limit contamination of stormwater runoff. It can be assumed that the management practices recommended will have a positive effect on stormwater quality by working to reduce pollutant loads and the quantity of runoff.

Build Alternative

There should be no noticeable permanent change in the volume of stormwater runoff over the short or long term. Appropriate stormwater management practices and erosion control measures would be implemented in the design and construction of both surface and underground structures

There would be little to no affect on groundwater quantity and quality as a result of the proposed action.

No-Build Alternative

The No-Build Alternative would have no impact on groundwater.



4.10 Permits

Build Alternative

During the design and construction permitting process, FHWA's EFLHD will contact the Office of the District of Columbia to determine application procedures for the applicable permits.

No-Build Alternative

No permit applications will be required.

4.11 Wetlands Impacts

There are no wetlands in the project area; therefore, this topic has been dismissed

4.12 Floodplains Impacts

There are no floodplains in the project area, both President's Park and the White House are outside of the 100 and 500-year floodplain.

4.13 Wild and Scenic Rivers

There are no wild or scenic rivers in the project area; therefore, this topic has been dismissed

4.14 Coastal Barriers

There are no coastal barriers in the project area; therefore, this topic has been dismissed.

4.15 Coastal Zone Impacts

There are no coastal zones in the project area; therefore, this topic has been dismissed.

4.16 Threatened or Endangered Species

The Endangered Species Act of 1973 requires all federal agencies to consult with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service to ensure that any action authorized, funded, or



carried out by the agency does not jeopardize the continued existence of listed species or critical habitat. There are no known federally listed threatened or endangered species in the study area; therefore, this topic has been dismissed.

4.17 Historic Preservation

Existing Conditions

In consultation with the DC State Historic Preservation Office and The Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, the FHWA has determined that this project will have an *adverse effect* on the historic resources in the area, given the proposed installation of bollards and guard booths in the rights-of-way and the resulting change to the character of the open space and the views. Other effects may result from the specific placement of the bollard lines against building facades or historic fences or railings, as well as from alterations to the site through the change or differentiation of materials.

It is anticipated that these adverse effects can be mitigated through the further study of the history and existing character of the site and the resulting refinement of the proposal as design development proceeds. The placement of the bollard lines will require further study, as will the placement of the proposed street trees. The color, scale, and the pattern of differentiation of the new paving materials are also significant issues deserving of further study and consultation.

Pennsylvania Avenue at the White House is one of the most historic and symbolically sensitive places in the nation. The White House at 1600 Pennsylvania Avenue lies within President's Park, a special precinct of the Nation's Capital. Generous public spaces and views, historic buildings and landscapes, and associations to historical events and people characterize this precinct and tell its history. These settings, buildings, and associations have great significance for the American people.

Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (16 USC 470), as amended, establishes the obligations of the federal government regarding activities proposed for or affecting properties on or eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places. Federal agencies are required to take into account the potential effects of their activities on protected resources and to allow the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation and appropriate state authorities an opportunity to comment. An action is determined to have "no effect," an "adverse effect," or an effect that is "not adverse" on cultural resources. Toward that end, FHWA'S Eastern Federal Lands Highway, in cooperation with NCPHC, is working with the State Historic Preservation Officer for the District of Columbia and the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation to meet the requirements of Section 106.



Figure 4.21



The first installation of Penetration Macadam in the United States was on Pennsylvania Avenue


Figure 4.22



Penetration Macadam is an early form of modern-day blacktop in which the paving is built-up by layering crushed stone with applications of tar.



Figure 4.23



The advantage of this more labor-intensive macadam over today's conventional asphalt material is the crushed stone is not premixed with the tar.

Figure 4.24



By not applying the last layer of tar and allowing the top-most layer of crushed stone to be exposed this type of roadway surface can have a more expressive material quality.

Build Alternative

The concept design retains the width and the curbs of the Pennsylvania Avenue cartway, and therefore the integrity of the dimensions of the historic street, which has been designated as part of the historic street plan of Washington, DC (known as the L'Enfant Plan). This two-block length of Pennsylvania Avenue did not exist on paper in L'Enfant's Plan, but it existed informally in physical fact beginning in the first years of the occupation of the White House and the street was formally named in 1824.



Pennsylvania Avenue in the two blocks in front of the White House has existed at its current width (or very close to it) since the formal adoption of the street in that year. In addition, the boundaries of Lafayette Park were also established in 1824, the year that the Marquis de Lafayette first visited Washington and addressed the public from the square. The square was fenced in the 1850s and the original fence line was confirmed in 1999 when the fence footings were uncovered. Similarly, the boundary of the north lawn of the White House has been established since 1820 when the White House fence was installed (the current fence is a replica of the original fence).

The reintroduction of the street trees on the south sidewalk of Pennsylvania Avenue is a welcome reinforcement to the character of the avenue as a city street. While the installation of security barriers in the cartway undoubtedly changes the character of the street right-of-way, the proposal to install the street trees and the Washington light standard underscores the Task Force’s and Commission’s charge to the designer to retain the character—the memory—of the street while adapting it for new purposes and to ensure that the two-block length remains physically, visually, and symbolically linked to the rest of the city’s street plan.

Jackson and Madison Places have been previously altered for security purposes with the installation of the Northside Barrier project. The concept design introduces an additional bollard line that will detract from the visual relationship between the historic buildings and the streets and park. However, the historic width of the streets and the sidewalks is retained, so that the buildings will continue to address the public space as they have for almost two hundred years.

Table 4.2

ACTIONS REQUIRING FURTHER REVIEW BY THE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICER AND ADVISORY COUNCIL ON HISTORIC PRESERVATION	ACTIONS NOT REQUIRING FURTHER REVIEW
<i>Pennsylvania Avenue</i>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Planting of replacement boulevard tree species • Design and Install gatehouses and bollards • Selection and refinement of paving materials 	To be determined
<i>Pennsylvania Avenue at 15th and 17th Streets</i>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Relocate the bollard line to minimize impact on historic resources • Creation of sally port at both 15th and 17th Streets • Relocate and replace security booths 	To be determined
<i>Madison Place or Jackson Place</i>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Relocate existing bollard line and security booth on Jackson Place to reduce impact on Park • Create sally port on both Jackson Place and Madison Place • Select street paving materials at both locations • (Re)Place security booths 	To be determined
<i>Lafayette Park</i>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Install infrastructure for inaugural parades 	To be determined

**Section 107 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended, excludes the White House, Supreme Court" and U.S. Capitol from compliance requirements outlined in section 106 of the act.*



The design of the security guard booths will be improved. The proposed various conceptual designs of the security booths that were developed early in the design process (as shown in the illustration below on the right), have been eliminated from the proposal. The consultant is continuing to develop the proposed security booth design. However, there will be the continued adverse impacts of the juxtaposition of security elements to historic facades and fences, the change in materials (granite in streets and sidewalks, a change in the character of the space brought about by the alteration of some views resulting from the addition of security measures and non-historic elements. Security booths and bollards will continue to be in the right-of-way.

The removal of parking at Jackson Place will assist in improving the viewshed, as well as an improvement of the viewshed overall due to the added enhancements and improved uniform treatment of all elements within the project area.

Figure 4.25



The design of the security booths should draw inspiration from the architecture of the White House fence – a masterful marriage of materials that reflects the distinctive regional juxtaposition of the Nation’s history; the cast iron tradition of the South and the austere stonework of the north. Certain principles should also be applied to the design of the security booths in order to compliment the ambitions of the landscape design. As much as possible, the booths should be visually incorporated as an element of the streetscape of the south side of Pennsylvania Avenue. The booths should also have a slim profile in the east-west axis of Pennsylvania Avenue, and lastly, the booths should compliment the White House fence.




Figure 4.26



Figure 4.27

Figure 4.28



Currently, a mix of cast iron light poles are spread over the length of Pennsylvania Avenue and edging Lafayette Park. The lamp-head pictured on the upper left is the original twin lamp designed specifically for Washington, DC by Henry Bacon, a New York architect and member of the Commission of Fine Arts (1923). This proposal seeks to refurbish or rebuild these lamp fixtures for Pennsylvania Avenue.



No-Build Alternative

There are no further Historical Preservation impacts as a result of the No-Build Alternative.

Conclusion

Any potential adverse effects to the historical integrity of the project area may be minimized through further study and consultation, in addition to corresponding design refinement as the site plans advance.

The best possible placement of bollards and security booths relative to facades, fences, statues, and other historical elements, will be determined for security reasons, in part, but may also be adjusted for the best visual results. The visual effects and linear footage are also considerations for minimization in areas where bollard lines are pre-existing (Jackson and Madison Places).

Tree placement, and species will be determined following further study of views and vistas. Material selection such as color, scale and finish, will be highly important to provide a sense of harmonious continuity among the newly proposed and old materials. Preservation of historic character of buildings is important; however, the streetscape ensemble is also an important consideration.

4.18 Archeological Preservation

Existing Condition

Even though the White House and its grounds are exempted under section 107 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, several formal reports have been prepared over the years (Knox 1969; Humphrey and Chambers 1977, 1984; Marshall 1975; Young 1977; Sinnott 1979; NPS, Pousson 1981; Moore and Chase 1992; and NPS, Pousson and Hoepfner 1995). Except for the 1995 overview by Pousson and Hoepfner, all have been specifically focused, and none has made conclusive findings.

Prehistorically, the site overlooked an estuarine environment, making it a prime location for habitation. Evidence of such occupation consists of prehistoric stone points, along with other flakes and artifacts, discovered in 1975 in the vicinity of the outdoor swimming pool and a stone biface and point discovered on the Ellipse in 1976.

Historic archeological information is more conclusive. The farmlands and settlements preceding the establishment of the federal city are well documented.



The Pearce (later the Burnes) farm, whose lands are now a part of the President's Park, included an apple orchard and family cemetery in the vicinity of present-day Lafayette Park. The pre-Revolutionary War town of Hamburg was platted to the southwest of the property.

After the burning of the White House in 1814, some of the rubble from the interior of the building was apparently dumped on the grounds, and some material was uncovered in 1975 in conjunction with the swimming pool excavation. Material was also likely left from encampments during the Civil War and from various construction projects, including the building and removal of several ancillary structures (such as stables, vaults; cisterns, greenhouses, and privies). The southernmost grounds of the White House and the Ellipse are mainly fill; the Ellipse operated as a public dump for many years, as officials attempted to raise the terrain's grade. During nearly every major war some sort of temporary installation has been built on or adjacent to the property. Other remains have also been discovered, such as the 19th century foundations that were uncovered during the construction of the visitor entrance building on East Executive Avenue in the 1980s or the items uncovered during the installation of safety bollards south of the White House in 1990.

Build Alternative

No archeological resources of significance have been identified within Lafayette Park to date. However, because the possibility of such resources exists, when actions described within this document require ground disturbing activities, an archeologist from the National Park Service will evaluate the activity beforehand to determine the best means of ensuring that no archeological resources of significance are lost or destroyed as a result. Actions in the project area are limited to streets and sidewalks to an area already disturbed by former street and tree installation.

No-Build Alternative

There are no impacts to archeological resources as the result of the No-Build Alternative.

4.19 Environmental Justice

Environmental justice is the term applied to a range of issues related to human health and the environment, particularly for minority and low-income populations. The overall extent of Executive Order 12898, issued February 11, 1994, is to prevent projects and programs from placing disproportionately high impacts on minority and low-income communities. There are no impacts to low-income or minority communities in the study area; therefore, this topic has been dismissed.



4.20 Hazardous Waste Site

There are no hazardous waste sites in the project area; therefore, this topic has been dismissed.

4.21 Visual and Aesthetic Qualities

Existing Conditions

Visually the study area consists of Pennsylvania Avenue in front of the White House, Lafayette Park, Madison Place, and Jackson Place. Buildings range in style from Georgian to Classical Revival and Second Empire. Roadway materials are typical to city streets throughout the District of Columbia, which are asphalt cement, with sidewalk materials comprised of concrete and brick. Lafayette Park is an urban green space with a variety of trees and vegetative growth. The study area is the northern tract of historic President's Park, with views that reflect the history of the area and the historic plan of Washington, DC.

Due to security concerns, those historic views have been encumbered with large concrete planters, barricades, security booths and bollards that restrict vehicular traffic. These security components have been placed in a haphazard fashion throughout the study area, visually spoiling the historic aesthetic.

Build Alternative

The visual and aesthetic quality of the project area will be improved through new landscaping, streetscape amenities, and paving materials; however, guard booths and bollards would appear in the street right-of-way.

Designs and detailing would be traditional, timeless, simple, and stately, using durable high-quality materials in a consistent and coordinated fashion. Paving materials, signs, lighting, and site furnishings would meet the design guidelines for President's Park promulgated by the National Park Service in the Comprehensive Design Plan.

The new security features are more aesthetically pleasing than the existing features.



Figure 4.29



Proposed streetscape improvements in front of the White House, looking east. Replanting the tree row in front of the White House creates a more comfortable and dignified place for viewing the White House grounds. The granite slab paving from the thresholds would be extended to form the sidewalk in front of the White House and would replace the existing concrete paving and bollards.

Figure 4.30



Proposed paving materials would consist of asphalt roadway pavements at adjacent intersections (far left), large granite slab paving at pedestrian thresholds (middle), and macadam with stabilized crushed stone in front of the White House and on Jackson and Madison Places (far right).



Figure 4.31



View of proposed pedestrian threshold from the 17th Street crosswalk, looking east towards the White House. The continuous tree row planting at each sidewalk and the attenuation of the paving dimension highlight the spatial corridor.

Figure 4.32



Proposed threshold alley at Eisenhower Office Building, looking east towards the White House. A double row of trees on the south side of the Pennsylvania Avenue thresholds create an invitational space with a strong perspectival draw towards the sidewalk in front of the White House. This will also be a space in which pedestrian amenities such as signage, lighting, and seating will be accommodated.



A drive by view of the north side of the White House would be maintained from H Street. A consistent lighting plan would be developed to established the importance of the area, emphasize focal points, identify buildings or site elements, and enhance safety.

A welcoming atmosphere could be provided by creating public entryways outside a security perimeter. Public access would be improved by removing the existing concrete bollards on the north side of the White House, possibly along with the gates at East Executive Park. Bollards, and vehicle barriers on Pennsylvania Avenue would all be movable to maintain a 60' width for inaugural parades. The security booths are located so that a minimum of 60-feet of clear distance is provided north of the booths to accommodate the Inaugural Parade.

Park areas could be made safer by pruning vegetation, in addition to providing more lighting. Elements to ensure safety would be reassuringly simple, at an appropriate scale, and designed to be compatible with historic elements on the site. Temporary security measures will be accommodated in a dignified manner and will be coordinated with permanent design elements.

Figure 4.33



View from a car approaching the 17th Street threshold, looking east towards the White House. The bollards closest to the south sidewalk at the outside barrier line retract to allow a vehicle to enter the area between the bollard lines for screening or containment. As proposed, the entrances at 15th and 17th Street would not be used for day-to-day vehicular access; however, still provide a generous space between the bollard lines for operational flexibility in the White House security as well as adjacent uses.



Visual entry at the portals of 17th Street and Pennsylvania Ave, and 15th Street and Pennsylvania Avenue would be enhanced by large granite slab paving. This paving material would announce a sense of entry into a special place. The three paving materials shown in Figure 4.30 would also serve as a distinguishing element. Three distinctive paving materials would serve as a unifying feature and strengthen the tie with President's Park, as well as create a different sense of space for the pedestrian experience.

The contrasting materials will also serve to distinguish between pedestrian space and the vehicle circulator routes.


No-Build Alternative

The No-Build Alternative would have no impact on visual and aesthetic qualities.



4.22 Construction Impacts

Figure 4.34



Beyond replacing the temporary security elements with more permanent and designed elements, the roadway itself needs to be addressed both in terms of the roadway cross-slopes and the existing bollard line in front of the White House curb.

Existing Conditions

Construction activities associated with the security operations; landscape treatment; pedestrian access; and vehicle circulator route would be controlled by the U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration's Eastern Federal Lands Highway Division's Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) contract specifications, Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), AASHTO Standards and all other applicable local, State and Federal standards. These




specifications would include provisions to protect adjacent communities from impacts anticipated during construction.

Build Alternative

The construction impacts of the Build Alternative on each component of the human and natural environment in this document are discussed in Table 4.22.1 through Table 4.22.11, in this section. Also see Transportation Impacts: Construction Effects and Table 4.22.10

Figure 4.35



No-Build Alternative

The No-Build Alternative would not cause any construction impacts.



Table 4.22.1 – Construction Effects Discussion and Mitigations

Section Number	Section Title	Construction Effects					Mitigation
		Maintenance Of Traffic	Erosion Control	Landscaping	Street Lighting	Special Details	
Land Use and Zoning							
4.1.1	Land Use	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	<p>Tree replacement, planting and infilling on Pennsylvania Avenue in front of Lafayette Park, the White House and at 15th and 17th Streets.</p> <p>Removing or relocating bench seating from inner edge of sidewalk in Lafayette Park on Jackson Place.</p> <p>Replacing or refurbishing light poles along Pennsylvania Avenue with original Washington, DC twin headed lamp luminaires</p>	<p>Adequate erosion control plan and protection of storm water inlets. NPS arborist to monitor tree removal, infilling and root shaving where required. Obtaining required permits. Coordination with NPS. Entry to Lafayette Park from entrance portals to project area to remain accessible to pedestrians.</p> <p>Adequate protection of trees and vegetation impacted by bench removal and relocation. Coordinate with NPS and DDOT Tree Division. Replacement or repair of impacted sidewalk to match existing. Coordination with NPS. Benches will also function as vehicle prohibiters; therefore, adequate crash testing required prior to installation.</p> <p>Coordination with Pepco and DDOT Street Lighting Division Securing of required permits. As-Built plans provided to appropriate agencies. Adequate protection and containment system if sand blasting of old paint on light poles is required on-site.</p>
4.1.2	Relationship to Existing Zoning	No	No	No	No	N/A	None required
4.1.3	Security	Yes	Yes	No	No	Replacement of security booths at proposed locations. Placement of bollards and creation of “sally port” at proposed locations.	Securing adequate construction permits from DDOT. Coordination with U.S. Secret Service and NPS.



Table 4.22.2 – Construction Effects Discussion and Mitigations

Section Number	Section Title	Construction Effects					Mitigation
		Maintenance Of Traffic	Erosion Control	Landscaping	Street Lighting	Special Details	
Land Use and Zoning (Cont'd)							
4.1.3	Security	Yes	Yes	No	No	<p>Construction of new security booths requires some excavation for foundations, telecommunications and utility connections. Removal and demolition of existing security booth facilities</p> <p>Bollard line extension and placement requires some excavation for retractable, movable and fixed bollard foundations. There will be some excavation of sidewalks adjacent to Lafayette Park at Jackson and Madison Places and some minor encroachment beyond the sidewalk into Lafayette Park "proper". Placement at 15th and 17th Streets may require a lane closure for excavation</p>	<p>Adequate construction permits required from DDOT. Coordinate with "Miss Utility", Secret Service, and NPS prior to excavation. Maintenance-of-traffic plans will be executed where necessary to minimize traffic congestion. Provide As-Built drawings to appropriate agencies where required. Adequate disposal of debris. .</p> <p>Coordinate with "Miss Utility", Secret Service, DDOT and NPS where required. Obtain adequate construction permits. Crash test movable and retractable bollards prior to installation. Maintenance-of-traffic plans will be in effect where required. Test pits if necessary. Adequate signage will be present warning of on-going construction activity to pedestrian traffic.</p> <p>Any potential minor encroachment on NPS facilities will be coordinating as necessary and appropriate. Affected businesses, agencies and institutions in the vicinity of Jackson and Madison Places will be given adequate notice of start of construction activities, and arrangements will be made to minimally disrupt the daily routine of regular business activities.</p>



Table 4.22.3 – Construction Effects Discussion and Mitigations

Section Number	Section Title	Construction Effects					Mitigation
		Maintenance Of Traffic	Erosion Control	Landscaping	Street Lighting	Special Details	
Socio-Economic Impacts							
4.2.1	Impacts on Institutions and Businesses	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	<p>Removal of striped parking delineation at Jackson Place.</p> <p>Tree removal and replacement</p> <p>Generally (Typ.)</p> <p>Refurbishing and replacement of light poles and luminaires</p>	<p>Delineated striped parking spaces will be removed along with parking meters, activities to be coordinated with affected businesses, agencies and institutions, in addition to any necessary surface patching and repair.</p> <p>Timing of removal and replacement of trees will be coordinated with affected institutions, businesses and agencies. Arrangements will be made in order that routine business activities will be minimally disrupted.</p> <p>Maintenance-of-traffic plans will be executed as proposed, where required.</p> <p>Erosion control measures will be in effect and approved by DC DCRA and NPS in accordance with the requirements of jurisdictional responsibilities.</p> <p>Construction schedule of activities will be coordinated with affected businesses, agencies and institutions.</p> <p>Activities associated with refurbishing lighting will be coordinated with adjacent businesses. If on-site sand-blasting of poles is required, prior to paint application, appropriate containment systems will be utilized.</p>



Table 4.22.4 – Construction Effects Discussion and Mitigations

Section Number	Section Title	Construction Effects					Mitigation
		Maintenance Of Traffic	Erosion Control	Landscaping	Lighting	Special Details	
Socio-Economic Impacts (Cont'd)							
4.2.1	<i>Impacts on Institutions and Businesses</i>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	<p>Incorporating bollard extension. Placing of fixed, removable and retractable bollards.</p> <p>Positioning and construction of security booths on Pennsylvania Avenue, Jackson and Madison Places.</p> <p>Regrading of Pennsylvania Avenue.</p> <p>Placement of proposed new surface materials at 15th and 17th Street entrances, on Pennsylvania Avenue, and along Jackson and Madison Places.</p>	<p>GENERAL</p> <p>Construction activities to be coordinated with affected businesses, agencies and institutions to minimize disruption to routine business activities. Temporary measures to be instituted doing construction activities allowing pedestrian entry to all affected businesses, agencies and institutions in the project area.</p>



Table 4.22.5 – Construction Effects Discussion and Mitigation

Section Number	Section Title	Construction Effects					Mitigation
		Maintenance Of Traffic	Erosion Control	Landscaping	Street Lighting	Special Details	
Socio-Economics Impacts (Con't)							
4.2.2	Impacts on Visitor Use and Experience	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	<p>Removal of striped parking delineation at Jackson Place.</p> <p>Tree replacement and infilling.</p> <p>Refurbishing and replacement of light poles and luminaries</p> <p>Incorporating bollard extension. Placing of fixed, removable and retractable bollards.</p> <p>Positioning and construction of security booths on Pennsylvania Avenue, Jackson and Madison Places.</p> <p>Regrading of Pennsylvania Avenue.</p> <p>Placement of proposed new surface materials at 15th and 17th Street entrances, on Pennsylvania Avenue, and along Jackson</p> <p>Removing or relocating bench seating.</p>	<p><u>GENERAL</u> (Typ.)</p> <p>None required other than typical construction warning signs and precautions to alert pedestrians of construction activities.</p> <p>Access to all institutions, businesses, NPS facilities, and agencies will be maintained throughout the construction period. However, the perception of on-going construction activities may serve to deter some potential visitors.</p>



Table 4.22.6 – Construction Effects Discussion and Mitigations

Section Number	Section Title	Construction Effects					Mitigation
		Maintenance Of Traffic	Erosion Control	Landscaping	Street Lighting	Special Details	
Socio-Economic Impacts (Con't)							
4.2.3	Impacts on The News Media	No	No	No	No	<p>Incorporating bollard extension. Placing of fixed, removable and retractable bollards.</p> <p>Positioning and construction of security booths on Pennsylvania Avenue, Jackson and Madison Places.</p> <p>Regrading of Pennsylvania Avenue.</p> <p>Placement of proposed new surface materials at 15th and 17th Street entrances, on Pennsylvania Avenue, and along Jackson and Madison Places.</p> <p>Removal of striped parking delineation at Jackson Place.</p> <p>Tree replacement and infilling.</p> <p>Refurbishing and replacement of light poles and luminaries</p>	<p>Construction impacts to the broadcast news media would only occur if construction activities extend to the 2005 Presidential Inauguration activities.</p> <p>Construction activities are scheduled to ceased 3 months prior to Inauguration Day activities; therefore, no mitigations are required.</p>



Table 4.22.7 Construction Effects Discussion and Mitigations

Section Number	Section Title	Construction Effects					Mitigation
		Maintenance Of Traffic	Erosion Control	Landscaping	Street Lighting	Special Details	
Impacts on Recreational Users and Pedestrians							
4.3	Long Term Impacts	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	<p>Incorporating bollard extension. Placing of fixed, removable and retractable bollards.</p> <p>Positioning and construction of security booths on Pennsylvania Avenue, Jackson and Madison Places.</p> <p>Regrading of Pennsylvania Avenue.</p> <p>Placement of proposed new surface materials at 15th and 17th Street entrances, on Pennsylvania Avenue, and along Jackson and Madison Places.</p> <p>Removal of striped parking delineation at Jackson Place.</p> <p>Tree replacement and infilling.</p> <p>Refurbishing and replacement of light poles and luminaries</p>	<p><u>GENERAL</u> (Typ.)</p> <p>None required other than typical construction warning signs and precautions to alert pedestrians and recreational users of construction activities.</p> <p>Access to all institutions, businesses, NPS facilities, and agencies will be maintained throughout the construction period. However, the perception of on-going construction activities may serve to deter some potential pedestrians and recreational users, and prove non-beneficial depending on specific activities on-going in a particular location.</p>



Table 4.22.8 – Construction Effects Discussion and Mitigations

Section Number	Section Title	Construction Effects					Mitigation
		Maintenance Of Traffic	Erosion Control	Landscaping	Street Lighting	Special Details	
Air Quality Impacts							
4.4	Long Term Impacts	No	No	No	No	No	Air quality impacts associated with construction activities in the project area will minimally contribute to existing air quality. No mitigations are required for the long-term.
Noise Impacts							
4.5	Long Term Impacts	No	No	No	No	Yes	Noise impacts associated with the proposed special details will not exceed pre-determined noise levels established by the DC Noise Control Act. Therefore, no mitigations are required.
Farmland Impacts							
4.6							N/A
Relocation Impacts							
4.7							N/A
Joint Development Impacts							
4.8							N/A
Water Quality Impacts							
4.9	Long Term Impacts	No	No	No	No	Yes	Precautions will entail: Paving operations using concrete, asphalt, or other sealers will be performed only in dry weather situations to prevent contamination of runoff. Use of proper staging techniques to reduce the spillage of paving materials during the installation of new pavement. Storm drain inlets and manholes will be covered using erosion and sediment control measures to decrease runoff from repair sites.



Table 4.22.9 – Construction Effects Discussion and Mitigations

Section Number	Section Title	Construction Effects					Mitigation
		Maintenance Of Traffic	Erosion Control	Landscaping	Street Lighting	Special Details	
Permits							
4.10	Construction	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Appropriate permits will be obtained from appropriate agencies.
Wetland Impacts							
4.11							N/A
Floodplains Impacts							
4.12							N/A
Wild and Scenic Rivers							
4.13							N/A
Coastal Barriers							
4.14							N/A
Coastal Zone Impacts							
4.15							N/A
Threatened or Endangered Species							
4.16							N/A
Historic Preservation							
4.17	Long Term Impacts	No	No	No	No	No	Routine construction activities associated with the concept design in the project area will not have an impact on long term historic preservation; however, the affect of the elements proposed in the concept design have been determined to have some adverse impact that has to be determined under further consideration. See Section Item – 4.17 for discussion.
Archeological Preservation							
4.18	Long Term Impacts	No	No	Some Potential	No	Some Potential	Construction activities involving excavation to be coordinated with NPS or other appropriate professional Archeologist.
Environmental Justice							
4.19							N/A
Hazardous Waste							
4.20	Long Term Impacts	No	No	No	No	Potential	Fossil fuels, lubricants and other materials used during construction will be contained and disposed of as appropriate.



Table 4.22.10 – Construction Effects Discussion and Mitigations

Section Number	Section Title	Construction Effects					Mitigation
		Maintenance Of Traffic	Erosion Control	Landscaping	Street Lighting	Special Details	
Visual and Aesthetic Qualities							
4.21	Long Term Impacts	No	No	No	No	No	They will be no long term effect due to construction in the project area on visual and aesthetic qualities
Construction Impacts							
4.22	Long Term Impacts	No	No	No	No	No	There are no long term impacts as a result of construction activities in the project area. Any potential impacts to archeological resources will be mitigated as indicated.
Transportation							
4.23	Long/Short Term Impacts	Yes	No	No	No	No	Maintenance-of-traffic plans will be implemented.
Utilities							
4.24	Public Utilities	Yes	Potential	No	Yes	Yes	Coordination with “Miss Utility” and appropriate utilities impacted by construction so service to all customers in area is uninterrupted Appropriate utility permits will be obtained.
Biotic Community							
4.25	Vegetation	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Erosion control measures will be implemented. Coordination with NPS horticulturalist prior to disturbance or potential disturbance of protected species. Measures will be taken to ensure limited pollutant contamination, due to exhaust from construction equipment, be confined to acceptable levels. Removal and replacement of all existing trees to be coordinated with NPS arborist and DDOT Tree Division. Specifications for structural soil, as submitted by NPS, to be incorporated into project specification. Time of tree replanting to be coordinated with NPS.



Table 4.22.11 – Construction Effects Discussion and Mitigations

Section Number	Section Title	Construction Effects					Mitigation
		Maintenance Of Traffic	Erosion Control	Landscaping	Street Lighting	Special Details	
Biotic Community (Con't)							
4.25	Wildlife	No	No	Yes	No	No	Since construction activity will occur in areas adjacent to Lafayette Park, there should be no danger imposed to wildlife within Lafayette Park. No mitigation required.
Consistency With Local Plan							
4.26	Long Term Impacts	No	No	Yes	No	No	No mitigation required
Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitment of Resources							
4.2.2	Impacts on Visitor Use and Experience	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Fossil fuels and other materials use in construction will be expended during construction of project; however, these materials are not considered scarce; therefore, no mitigation is required.

This page intentionally left blank.



4.23 Transportation Impacts

Primary vehicle routes in the study area are H Street to the north, 17th Street on the west, and 15th Street on the east. The proposed circulator route would be considered a restricted secondary vehicle route in the study area, relative to the limited and secure access.

The impact of traffic analysis, in this environmental assessment on the study area, is for a conceptual plan. It is unlikely that the final design of the conceptual plan will cause any additional impacts within the traffic study area.

A summary of traffic volumes for the primary vehicle routes can be found in the Average Weekday Traffic Volumes Map (Figure 4.1). Levels of service for the primary vehicle routes can be found in the Levels of Services Maps (Figures 4.2, 4.3 and 4.4)

Build Alternative

Access to Jackson and Madison Place – would essentially remain as presently configured. H Street, 17th Street, and 15th Street, typically have two weekday peak traffic periods: 7:30 – 9:30 am and 4:30 – 6:30 pm. Traffic on the primary streets in the study area can be classified as:

- Commuting or local traffic passing through the area;
- Traffic parking within the study area;
- Drivers searching for on-street parking


In the morning peak period, H Street operates slightly under capacity, based on a level of service (LOS) analysis conducted by FHWA in 1997. During the afternoon peak period H Street operates either at or over capacity. Generally, one of the operational characteristics of H Street, is that traffic moves at a slower speed than general traffic, with vehicles often stopping in the travel lanes as people take photographs.

17th Street and 15th Street operate over capacity in the morning peak period, and generally operate under capacity in the afternoon peak periods.

To date, with the current traffic volumes and level of service, there have been no bottlenecks at the entrances of Jackson and Madison Places on H Street. With the elimination of parking spaces on Jackson Place, the restricted traffic volume capacity has been increased to two lanes to access the project area from the primary streets. The circulator would be used by the public for access to the area using this vehicular travel mode. Consequently, in comparison to existing conditions, there should be minimal effects to any future level of service because no substantial increase in traffic volume would occur, and more travel lanes at the area of the entry points would be provided.



Figure 4.36



Average Weekday Traffic Volumes



*1997 AVT Volumes Map courtesy of the United States Department of the Interior – National Park Service



In addition, since vehicle access to Jackson Place, Madison Places and Pennsylvania Avenue between 15th and 17th Streets, NW, from the primary streets, is controlled by the security checkpoints; the proposed action should have no impact. The concept design is easily reversible to allow vehicle use, and there is increased flexibility with the circulator.

Parking

The forty parking spaces that currently exist along Jackson Place would be relocated off-site or eliminated. See Section 4.2.1.

Pedestrian Traffic

See Section 4.2.1 for discussion of the effect of the Build Alternative on Pedestrian traffic.

Construction Effects

Construction of the proposed pedestrian and security enhancements is scheduled to occur over an approximate eleven month period. Daily activities related to construction are likely to be on a 12-hour basis in anticipation of the 2005 Inauguration activities.

Construction activities in the project area would contribute to traffic on the local street network as a result of construction workers arriving at and departing from the site, and trucks transporting construction equipment and supplies to the site. During the approximate eleven-month construction period, approximately 40 workers would be needed onsite each day. During construction at the site, approximately 50 trucks per day would access the site. In peak construction periods, this number could increase to up to 80 trucks per day.

Haul routes for trucks arriving and departing the construction site are dependent on shipping origin, truck contents and/or equipment. All trucks would access the construction site area using Secret Service approved routes.


Construction activities could require lane closures on H Street, 15th Street and 17th Street for a few weeks.

MITIGATION

Maintenance-of-traffic plans would be prepared for work on each affected street, subject to approval by DDOT and the Secret Service. Lane closures would be coordinated to minimize disruption and short-term street closures would be scheduled for non-rush hours periods when traffic volumes are lower.



Figure 4.37



Source: U.S. Department of Treasury 1997; Federal Highway Administration 1997
 LEVEL OF SERVICE BY TRAFFIC FLOW DIRECTION (1995 data)




Street Levels of Service AM Peak Hour



*1997 SLOS AM Peak Hour Map courtesy of the United States Department of the Interior – National Park Service



Figure 4.38




Source: U.S. Department of Treasury 1997; Federal Highway Administration 1997
 LEVEL OF SERVICE BY TRAFFIC FLOW DIRECTION (1995 data)
 Under capacity (LOS A-D)
 - - - - - At capacity (LOS E)
 _____ Over capacity (LOS F)

Street Levels of Service Midday

*1997 SLOS Midday Map courtesy of the United States Department of the Interior – National Park Service



Figure 4.39



*1997 SLOS PM Peak Hour Map courtesy of the United States Department of the Interior – National Park Service



No-Build Alternative

There would be no impacts beyond the existing impacts to transportation.

4.23.1 Circulator Route

Multiple routes for the proposed Downtown Circulator are accommodated without detracting from the generally pedestrian character of Pennsylvania Avenue. The Circulator would traverse between 15th and 17th Street either via a direct route along Pennsylvania Avenue, or a less direct route south on Jackson Place, east along Pennsylvania Avenue, and north on Madison Place. The Circulator, a supplement to the downtown transit, is an important component to re-establish limited cross town access since Pennsylvania Avenue is considered a contributing element of the historic street plan of Washington and a very important link in the established grid of the District of Columbia's transportation network.

Illustration of proposed primary and secondary circulation entrances




Figure 4.40

Build Alternative

The proposed circulator components for the proposed action include using



Jackson and Madison Places as the security checkpoint for daily operations. Daily operations include, deliveries and general authorization of vehicle entry to the precinct.

The 15th and 17th Street security checkpoints would be limited to emergency, and pre-screened vehicles, motorcades and the Downtown Circulator. Two-way vehicle circulation would be accommodated along all roadways and through each security checkpoint within the study area.

Illustration showing one-way circulator routes on H Street and north side of Pennsylvania Avenue




Figure 4.41

Allowances have been made for multiple routes in and out of the precinct for presidential or head-of-state motorcades, emergency vehicles, and the Downtown Circulator.

Along both Jackson and Madison Place, there should be sufficient distance (88' from H Street entrance to Security Gatehouse) to accommodate 4 vehicles queuing up to gain entry, with an additional 64' between the initial set of retractable bollards to the final set of retractable bollards which allows unrestricted vehicular entry to the restricted area. In addition, two-way traffic and vehicle turn-around can easily be accommodated, if necessary, within the 40' curb-to-curb distance.

No-Build Alternative

The No-Build Alternative would not cause any transportation impacts



4.24 Utilities

Existing Condition

There are numerous utilities of various types and sizes within the study area. Underground utilities include: water; storm; sanitary; steam; gas; electricity; telephone, media conduits and telegraph lines. These facilities are concentrated generally in public street rights-of-way, although several utilities also cross President's Park. The Utilities map for President's Park shows the most significant utilities, in terms of size and potential conflicts, although it does not show all electric, gas, telephone, and telegraph facilities within the area.

Build Alternative

Several combined storm/sanitary sewers in the area could be impacted to varying degrees by proposed projects. Even though these facilities are of adequate capacity, the D.C. Public Works Department has a general policy that when these combined facilities are disrupted, the replacement services are to be separated within the project area. This would probably require a structure at either end to transition from separate to combined facilities.

Pennsylvania Avenue is a major utility corridor for electric, gas, telephone and water services; 15th Street is also a major sewer corridor. Utilities would be upgraded where necessary and feasible, to reduce long-term maintenance.

Onsite utility surveys would be conducted during design development to identify specific utility locations. Relocation plans, capacity issues, and costs would be discussed with utility owners and the District of Columbia.


Public Utilities

Electric lines that could be affected include 24-conduit and 19-conduit duct-banks along the center of Pennsylvania Avenue and a 2-conduit duct bank along 15th Street in the area of the visitor center tunnel. Several smaller electric ducts and conduits extend throughout the area.

Potentially impacted gaslines include a 20" diameter line along the south side of Pennsylvania and a 12" diameter line along 15th Street. Telephone ductbanks include a 16- conduit facility along the north side of Pennsylvania Avenue. These public utilities may be governed under the provisions of franchise agreements with the District of Columbia, which may require the specific utility owner to relocate their facilities; however, because of the accelerated schedule of the proposed action, cost for utility relocation are assigned to the construction project inclusive of sewer and water. Early discussions with each utility company would be needed to program relocations and to determine funding arrangements.



Figure 4.42



Utilities



Utilities Map courtesy of the United States Department of the Interior – National Park Service



Waterlines: A 12" diameter waterline runs along both Pennsylvania Avenue and 15th Street; additional coordination would be required to determine if domestic and fire service could be handled if this line was interrupted during construction. After construction the waterline could most likely be replaced above or along- side the new underground structures. Costs associated with water system modifications, under the jurisdiction of D.C. Public Works Department would be assigned to the Pennsylvania Avenue At The White House construction project.

Sewers: No major impacts to the sewer system are anticipated. However, any potential costs associated with sewer system modifications, under the jurisdiction of D.C. Public Works Department, would be assigned to the Pennsylvania Avenue At The White House construction project.

No-Build Alternative

The No-Build Alternative would not impact any utilities or require relocation.

Conclusion

Electric, gas, telephone, and water lines under Pennsylvania Avenue would be bored beneath or relocated as necessary during construction associated with The White House At Pennsylvania Avenue construction project. There are no major impacts anticipated related to utility relocations beyond those normally incurred doing routine construction projects. Arrangements will be made to coordinate all construction activities with any impacted utility to assure continued uninterrupted service to customers in the project area.

4.25 Biotic Community

Existing Conditions

Vegetation: Vegetation and landforms in this area have been heavily manipulated to establish and define an appropriate setting for the White House. Plantings have been selected for aesthetics, climate control, and privacy, and landforms have been altered to create building sites, street alignments, and parklike settings. The lawns, plantings, large canopied trees, and curvilinear walks and drives are a marked contrast to the adjacent paved streets, sidewalks, and clustered buildings.

The predominant vegetation in President's Park consists of designated plantings of mixed deciduous shade and canopy trees, deciduous ornamental trees, foundation plantings of evergreen and deciduous shrubs, and ground covers, including grass lawn. Also, the walls, fences, gates, and staffed guard houses separate the White House and its grounds, physically and visually, from the neighborhood.



However, the public open spaces abutting the White House grounds, including Lafayette Park, tend to expand its parklike setting.

Despite the urban stresses of pollution, soil compaction, litter, damage, and vandalism, vegetation in Lafayette Park is carefully maintained and healthy. The park contains 212 trees, representing 38 native and exotic species. Four tree species comprise over 60% of the trees in the park: native willow oaks and American elms and exotic ginkgoes (all tall spreading trees) are planted in tree wells in the sidewalks surrounding the park, while the nonnative saucer magnolias (a bush-like tree), are found throughout the interior of the park. However, most tree species are represented by just a few specimens.

Wildlife: In President's Park habitat exists for species that typically occupy urban areas, including squirrels, pigeons, gulls, sparrows, starlings, and Norway rats. Other species occasionally observed are peregrine falcons and migratory birds.

Pigeons and gulls in Lafayette Park crowd walkways and deposit droppings on benches, statuary, walkways and plant materials. Sparrow numbers may be increasing and could be inhibiting the growth of newly planted beech trees. No management programs are currently in place for these bird species, although feeding birds is discouraged because squirrels and rats forage on this food source.

Lafayette Park once had the highest density per acre for squirrels in the world. In the past, gray squirrels have damaged a significant number of trees and flowering plants in Lafayette Park. The park supported as many as 150 to 200 squirrels per acre prior to a relocation program in 1985, while habitat modification decreased the number of available den sites.

Past management activities also included monitoring the size and condition of the squirrel population and educating the public on the deleterious effects of feeding them. The squirrel population has stabilized at 35 to 40 animals per acre. As a result, the park staff does not need to relocate squirrels.

Build Alternative

New trees would replace the existing trees along the north and south side of Pennsylvania Avenue in front of the buildings at the entry portals. The proposal calls for new trees that are appropriate both historically, and symbolically and which will grow to the proper dimensions, not obstructing views of the Inaugural Parade, businesses or institutions adjacent to Pennsylvania Avenue.

The list of tree species proposed for the project area will be coordinated with the NPS, prior to site placement.



The *American elm*, is a major feature on the grounds of the White House and Lafayette Park. The species would be a cohesive feature, providing the stature and shade that this expansive area requires. The tall, classic, vase shape is ideal for viewing inaugural parades.

Figure 4.43



American Elm
Ulmus americana

Description

American elm flowers in very early spring, sometimes on warm days in winter. Pollination is by wind, though insects, especially bees, are casual visitors and may be important pollinators. Seeds are dispersed by wind or water in late spring, among the earliest seeds dispersed. Germination occurs immediately on moist mineral soil, and seeds do not enter the seed bank. Growth is fairly rapid.



The *Common Hackberry* is pictured below.

Figure 4.44



Common Hackberry
Celtis x magnifica


Description:

Hackberry regenerates from seeds and stump sprouts. It flowers in early spring, and bears seeds which are dispersed in late summer or winter. The fruits are attractive to birds, which disperse them considerable distances. Seeds enter the seed bank, and can persist for many years. Germination occurs in spring when soil moisture is adequate and there is ample sunlight.



The *Willow Oak* is under consideration and pictured below.

Figure 4.45



Willow Oak
Quercus phellos

Description

- a large, deciduous tree
- 60 to 80' tall
- as wide or wider, than tall
- pyramidal when young
- rounded, broad-rounded or upright rounded when mature
- branching is irregular and wide-spreading; interesting



The following trees are also under consideration; however, they each present various unique challenges*.

Zelkova (*Zelkova serrata*, “Green Vase” or “Halka”) – no picture available:
Considered a good tree; however, it is non-native and somewhat overused.

Figure 4.46



Thornless Honeylocust*
Gleditsia triacanthos

Description

- a medium to large deciduous tree
- 50' to 60' tall
- spread is usually equal to height
- branching is upright-spreading to arching or more or less horizontal
- some trees become nearly flat-topped
- rather loose and open
- casts only light shade
- develops a short main trunk

*Disease (canker) problems; short lived; intolerant of excessive subsoil moisture (poor drainage), will not reach desired height.



Figure 4.47



Kentucky Coffeetree
Gymnocladus dioica (male)

Description

Kentucky coffeetree is a dioecious species, which flowers in early summer, and bears large legumes which release seeds throughout winter. Dispersal of the heavy seeds is apparently by gravity alone, as their appear to be no animal vectors of seeds at present; this limits the rate of spread or migration of Kentucky coffeetree. Seeds exhibit seed coat and true dormancy, and may remain in the seed bank for years. Kentucky coffeetree also reproduces from roots sprouts, and male clones sometimes produce "fairy rings," a circle of trees of similar size. The genus name, meaning "naked branches," refers to the very short growing season of Kentucky coffeetree, which is among the last trees to break bud in spring, and among the first to lose its leaves in the fall. Kentucky coffeetree grows slowly, and lives for up to 200 years. Typical 60'x1'; Champion 112'x4.5'.

*Not a proven in pavement/grate tree; relatively slow grower



Figure 4.48



Swamp White Oak

Quercus bicolor


Description

- a medium-sized, deciduous tree
- upright oval crown, open
- 50 to 60' tall
- 50' to 60' wide
- coarse texture
- moderate growth rate

*Not a proven in pavement/grate tree; Messy; Requires “acid” soil. Low tolerance to salts and pollution; slow grower.



Figure 4.49



Sycamore

Platanus x acerifolia

Description

Sycamore is monoecious with imperfect flowers appearing in April with the leaves. Seeds are borne in a multiple fruit (a syncarp of achenes) which ripens in October, the seeds floating on the wind as the fruit breaks up through the winter. Seeds germinate the following spring on wet soil. Growth is exceptionally rapid, among the fastest of any tree. One-year-old seedlings may reach 10', and sprouts may reach 25'. *Sycamore* regenerates from stump and occasionally root sprouts. The root systems are superficial. *Sycamore* reaches enormous size, and has the greatest diameter of any temperate hardwood tree (typical size 80'x8'; Champion 129'x22.6'). Despite this size, it is not a long-lived tree, probably not exceeding 250 years. The great size is a tribute to *sycamore's* exceptionally rapid growth.

*Messy



Figure 4.50



Common Horsechestnut

Aesculus hippocastanum


Description

- a large, deciduous flowering tree
- texture is medium to coarse
- commonly 50' to 75' tall, but 100' specimens are possible
- upright-oval to rounded form
- almost all specimens I observed were taller than they were broad
- lower branches hang down with branch tips turning upward

*Messy; Leaf Blotch



Figure 4.51



Yellow Buckeye

Aesculus flava (octandra)


Description

Yellow buckeye is one of the first species to leaf out in spring. It flowers in early summer, bearing large, showy flowers. Buckeyes are polygamomonoecious: flowers within an inflorescence are either hermaphroditic (male and female) or male. Though each inflorescence bears many flowers, only 1-5 develop into fruits. Buckeye fruits ripen in mid fall and the seeds fall to the ground, to be dispersed by small mammals. Seeds germinate the following spring, or may enter the seed bank for a few years. Growth is moderately slow, but trees rarely live longer than about 200 years.

*National Park Service has no urban/street tree experience with this tree.



Figure 4.52



Littleleaf Linden

Tilia cordata


Description

- a deciduous medium to large tree
- typically 50' to 60' tall
- can reach 80' to 90' tall
- formal pyramidal or conical shape in youth
- ovate to gum-drop shaped when mature
- dense and compact branching
- branches are upright and spreading

*Intolerant of excessive subsurface moisture (poor drainage)



Figure 4.53



Crimean Linden

Tilia x euchlora

Description

- deciduous, simple leaves a deciduous medium to large tree
- 40 to 60' tall
- 20' to 30' wide
- branches to the ground
- medium texture
- moderate growth rate
- alternate leaf arrangement

* Poor urban tolerance; cranker. *Tilia Americana* "Redmond" would be suggested as a substitute.



No-Build Alternative

There would be no impacts to the Biotic Community as a result of the No-Build Alternative.

4.26 Consistency With Local Plans

Existing Conditions

The National Park Service, in cooperation with many agencies and entities, including the National Capital Planning Commission, developed the Comprehensive Design Plan (CDP) for the White House and President's Park. Approved by the Commission in May 2000, the CDP addresses the physical and functional needs of the White House, the Executive Office of the President, and the president and his family for the next twenty years. Incorporated in the proposed solutions are measures to improve the historic and visual character of President's Park. The CDP addresses resource conservation and protection, White House support services visitor services, special events, transportation and parking, and site management and operations. The CDP did not address the future plans for Pennsylvania Avenue itself, but did provide for security barriers along H Street at the north of the site; the Northside Barrier project was implemented before the adoption of the CDP.

The CDP recommended the removal of parking on Jackson Place, as well as surface parking throughout the Park to improve its appearance, function, and security. In the context of the current proposal, the removal of parking spaces and vehicles would allow for adequate area for traffic flow, and loading and deliveries. The CDP calls for the provision of 1,140 parking spaces below grade in several locations below grade within President's Park.

Build Alternative

There are two elements of the National Capital Planning Commission's Comprehensive Plan that most directly apply to the Pennsylvania Avenue Security and Landscape Design Project; these are the Parks, Open Space and Natural Features Element and the Preservation and Historic Features Element. The overall concept design proposal complies with the Parks, Open Space and Natural Features Element. The concept design has met the projects objectives to satisfy both security and circulation requirements and to beautify and improve the quality of the public space. This has been achieved while respecting the historic integrity and the L'Enfant and McMillan Plans. The landscape components enhance the public buildings and civic space, and provide a flexible space for public use and gatherings. Impacts associated with security requirements have been minimized to the degree possible so that they do not detract from the historical association of the site.



The element goal states that cultural and open space resources should be conserved by protecting and enhancing the network of open spaces and parks, which are the legacies of the L'Enfant Plan and the McMillan Plan and serve as places for documenting our natural heritage. President's Park, including Lafayette Park, qualifies as a Monumental and Decorative Park and as a Historic Park in the Open Space System section of the Comprehensive Plan. The following policies address the context of Monumental and Decorative areas:

- Policy 1 states that Monumental and Decorative Parks provide the setting to enhance public buildings, that their fundamental integrity should be protected, that they should serve outdoor and cultural activities.
- Policy 3 states that Decorative Parks associated with the L'Enfant city should be protected and enhanced generally as green landscaped areas, providing an oasis for pedestrians and settings for monuments, memorials and civic art.
- Policy 5 states that Lafayette Park should continue to provide their primary functions as decorative landscaped areas and settings adding visual amenity to the city.

The following policies address the context of historic parks in the Historic Parks sub section of the Open Space System section:

- Policy 1 states that Historic Parks should be established and preserved as important legacies of national historic and architectural significance. Special efforts should be taken to provide for the interpretation of their history, and they should be properly maintained to provide for controlled use that respects their historical integrity. Ancillary areas and uses such as access, visitor and multi-purpose areas should not detract from the historical association of the site.

No-Build Alternative

The No-Build Alternative is consistent with The Comprehensive Plan

4.27 Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitment of Resources

Construction of Pennsylvania Avenue to implement the creation of a pedestrian space could result in the irretrievable loss of some healthy and mature trees along the north curb in front of Lafayette Park, in addition to some trees along the south curb Pennsylvania Avenue. New mature tree species will be planted along both the south and north curbs of Pennsylvania Avenue to replace any trees loss during construction; however, it is expected that it may take several years for these trees to match the stature of existing trees.



Land used for the proposed action would be irreversibly committed; however, the enhancements to Pennsylvania Avenue are reversible, if it is deemed that the security threat changes or technologies improve to allow high volumes of traffic, Pennsylvania Avenue could be reversed back to a 84-foot wide thoroughfare with relative ease.

Fossil fuels (oil, gasoline), labor, construction materials, and natural resources used in the fabrication of construction materials for the proposed action would not be retrievable; however, these materials are not scarce, and their use would not affect their current availability or supply. Any construction will also require a substantial one-time expenditure of Federal funds that are not retrievable.

The commitment of these resources is based on the concept that those constituents that use facilities within the study area will benefit by the improved landscape quality and enhanced security measures that are anticipated to outweigh the commitment of these resources.

4.28 Cumulative Impacts

Cumulative impacts are those impacts on the environment that result from the incremental effect of the project when considered with interrelated past, present, and reasonably foreseeable future projects. The proposed action is an outcome to a report recommended by the Interagency Security Task Force, entitled "*Designing for Security in the Nation's Capitol*".

Build Alternative

The Build Alternative would allow for the coordination of plans for the proposed action to the modification of Pennsylvania Avenue between 15th and 17th Streets, N.W., with the overall goals of *The National Capitol Urban Design and Security Plan*. The total cumulative impacts associated with the proposed action are anticipated to be relatively minor considering the extent of enhancements to an existing facility. However, impacts associated with historical and cultural resources may be considered adverse; therefore, they require further review by the Historic Preservation Officer or the Advisory Council On Historic Preservation.

The alternative would not prohibit any future plans for the reversibility of Pennsylvania Avenue back to an 84-foot wide traffic thoroughfare.



Impacts, during the period of construction, associated with the removal of trees or other vegetation and water quality would not be significant. The project area would remain essentially as presently existing, only in an enhanced state. The proposed action will not preclude or adversely affect planned improvements for the White House complex recommended in *The White House & President's Park – Comprehensive Design Plan* completed by the National Park Service in 1999. The actions proposed for the modification to Pennsylvania Avenue, between 15th and 17th Streets, NW, coincide and are compatible with the long-term plans proposed in the 1999 White House plan.

No-Build Alternative

There would be no cumulative effects in the No-Build Alternative.

This page intentionally left blank.

V

LIST OF PREPARERS

Pennsylvania Avenue At The White House

Federal Lands Highway





5 List of Preparers

The following individuals contributed to the development of this document:

5.1 Federal Highway Administration

Jack Van Dop, Environmental Compliance Specialist, Eastern Federal Lands Highway

Michael W. Hicks, Urban/Environmental Engineer, District of Columbia Division

David Alvarez, Highway Engineer, Eastern Federal Lands Highway

Kurt Dowden, PS&E Project Manager, Eastern Federal Lands Highway

5.2 National Capital Planning Commission

Elizabeth D. Miller, Project Manager, Senior Urban Planner/Designer

Eugene Keller, Community Planner/Environmental Review Officer

Nancy Witherell, Historic Preservation Officer

William Dowd, Director, Plan and Project Implementation

5.3 Michael Van Valkenburgh Associates, Inc.

Gullivar Shepard, Senior Associate

Nate Trevethan, Landscape Architect

This page intentionally left blank.

VI

AGENCY COORDINATION AND DEVELOPEMENT

Pennsylvania Avenue At The White House

Federal Lands Highway





6 Agency Coordination and Development

6.1 Agency Coordination

The preparation of the Modifications of Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, D.C. Environmental Assessment required coordination with various agencies. Table 6.1 list the agencies contacted along with the contacted personnel and their addresses

Table 6.1 – Agency Contact Information

Agency	Contact	Address	Email Address
District of Columbia Department of Transportation	John Deatrick	2000 14 th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20003	John.deatrick@dc.gov
District of Columbia Office of Planning	Lara Belkind	801 North Capitol Street, NW, Room 4000 Washington DC 20002	Lara.belkind@dc.gov
National Park Service	Sally Blumenthal	1100 Ohio Drive, SW, Washington, DC 20242	Sally_blumenthal@nps.gov
National Capital Planning Commission	Elizabeth Miller	401 9 th Street, NW, North Lobby, Suite 500 Washington, DC 20576	elizabeth.miller@ncpc.gov
United States Secret Service	Robert Baer	843 Brightseat Road TSD P&D Landover MD 20705	rbaer@uss.s.treas.gov
Advisory Council on Historic Preservation	Martha Catlin	1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Old Post Office Building Washington, DC 20004	mcatlin@achp.gov
Department of the Treasury	Richard Cote	1500 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20220	
United States Secret Service	Joe DiPietro	843 Brightseat Road TSD P&D Landover MD 20705	jdipietro@uss.s.treas.gov
United States Secret Service	Tom Dougherty	950 H Street, NW, Washington, DC 20223	
DC Department of Transportation	Ken Laden	2000 14 th Street, NW, 6 th Floor, Washington, DC 20009	Ken.laden@dc.gov
Office of State Historic Preservation	David Maloney	801 North Capitol Street, NE, 3 rd Floor, Washington DC 20002	David.Maloney@dc.gov
Federal Highway Administration	Gary L. Henderson	1990 K Street, NW, Suite 510, Washington, DC 20006	gary.henderson@fhwa.dot.gov



Agency	Contact	Address	Email Address
General Services Administration	Lawrence A. Melton	725 17th Street, NW, Room 3026, Washington, DC 20503	
General Services Administration	Michael S. McGill	7 th & D Street, SW, Room 7080, Washington, DC 20407	
National Park Service	John G. Parson	1100 Ohio Drive, SW, Room 220, Washington, DC 20242	
DC Department of Transportation	Heather Brophy	2000 14 th Street, NW Washington, DC 20009	Heather.brophy@dc.gov
General Services Administration	Stephen Pearson	725 17th Street, NW, Room 3026, Washington, DC 20503	
Michael Van Valkenburgh Associates, Inc	Gullivar Shepard	18 East 17th Street, 6th Floor, New York, NY 10003	gshepard@mvvainc.com
National Capitol Planning Commission	Eugene Keller	401 9 th Street, NW Suite 500 – North Lobby Washington, DC 20576	eugene.keller@ncpc.gov
National Park Service	Ann Smith	1100 Ohio Drive, SW, Room 220, Washington, DC 20242	Ann_Bowman_Smith@nps.gov
National Park Service	Michael Summerlin	1100 Ohio Drive, SW, Room 220, Washington, DC 20242	Michael_summerlin@nps.gov
National Capitol Planning Commission	William G. Dowd	401 9 th Street, NW Suite 500 – North Lobby Washington, DC 20576	William.dowd@ncpc.gov
DC Department of Transportation	Dan Tangherlini	2000 14 th Street, NW Washington, DC 20009	Dan.tangherlini@dc.gov
Federal Highway Administration	Arthur E. Hamilton	HFL-1, Room 6311 400 7 th Street, SW Washington, DC 20590	arthur.hamilton@fhwa.dot.gov
National Capital Planning Commission	Nancy Witherell	401 9 th Street, NW, Suite 500 – North Lobby, Washington, DC 20576	Nancy.witherell@ncpc.gov
Federal Highway Administration	Edward Sheldahl	1990 K Street, NW, Suite 510, Washington, DC 20006	edward.sheldahl@fhwa.dot.gov

6.2 Environmental Assessment Distribution List

Mr. Harold L. Adams, FAIA
Chairman
RTKL Associates, Inc.
901 South Bond Street
Baltimore MD 21321-3305

Ms. Kristina Alg
Architect
Commission of Fine Arts
National Building Museum
441 F Street, NW, Suite 312
Washington DC 20001-2195

Mr. Bob Baer
Security Engineer
US Secret Service
843 Brightseat Road
TSD P&D
Landover MD 20705

Mr. George Bailey
Division Chief Diplomatic
Department of State
2401 E Street, NW
Washington DC 20520

Ms. Lara Belkind
Room 4000
Washington DC 20002

Ms. Sally Blumethal
National Park Service
1100 Ohio Drive, SW
Washington DC 20242

Ms. Pamela L. Blyth
Special Assistant to the Chief, US Park Police for
Organizational Development
US Park Police
1100 Ohio Drive, SW
Washington DC 20024

Mr. Dale Bosley
Operations
US Court of Appeals Federal Circuit
Madison Place
H Street, NW
Washington DC 20439

Mr. Richard Bradley
Executive Director
Downtown BID
1250 H Street, NW
Suite 850
Washington DC 20005

Mr. Hiram K. Brewton
Downtown BID
1250 H Street, NW
Suite 1000
Washington DC 20009

Ms. Heather Brophy
Transportation Planner
DC Department of Transportation
2000 14th Street, NW
Washington DC 20009

Jaime J. Cagigas
Treasury - Enforcement
T & VC Enforcement
1500 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington DC 20220

Mr. Joseph Cahill
Executive Vice President and General Counsel
Riggs Bank
800 17th Street, NW
B-7001
Washington DC 20006

Ms. Martha Catlin
Advisory Council on Historic Preservation
1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Suite 803, Old Post Office Building
Washington DC 20004

Mr. Richard Cote
Curator, Treasury
Department of the Treasury
1500 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington DC 20220

Mr. John Deatrick
Deputy Director, Chief Engineer
DC Department of Transportation
2000 14th Street, NW
Fifth Floor
Washington DC 20003

Mr. Joe DiPietro
Deputy Special Agent in Charge
US Secret Service
843 Brightseat Road
TSD S&T
Landover MD 20785

Mr. Peter Doherty
Pool Producer
ABC News
1717 DeSales Street, NW
Washington DC 20036

Mr. Tom Dougherty
Senior Counsel
United States Secret Service
950 H Street, NW
Washington DC 20223

Mr. William G. Dowd
Director Plan, Project and Implementation Division
National Capital Planning Commission
401 9th Street, NW
Suite 500 – North Lobby
Washington DC 20576

Mr. Gary Fitzgerald
Lieutenant
Metropolitan Policy Department
2301 L Street, NW
Washington DC 20037

Captain Richard D. Fleming
D. C. Fire and EMS Department
441 4th Street, NW, Suite 370
Washington DC 20001

Mr. John M. Fowler
Executive Director
Advisory Council on Historic Preservation
1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Room 809
Washington DC 20004

Mr. Terrence Golden
Chairman
Federal City Council
1155 15th Street, NW, Suite 601
Washington DC 20005-2706

Ms. Bobbie Greene
Director, Save American Treasures
National Trust for Historic Preservation
1785 Massachusetts Avenue, NW
Washington DC 20036

Mr. Thomas L. Groppe
Director of Ceremonies & Special Events
Military District of Washington
Ft. McNair
4th & P Street, SW
Washington DC 20319

Mr. Paul Haggerty
Agent
United States Secret Service
Eisenhower Executive Office Building
Room 552
Washington DC

Mr. Arthur E. Hamilton
Program Manager
Federal Highway Administration Federal Lands
HFL-1, Room 6311
400 7th Street, SW
Washington DC 20590

Mr. Mark Hendrix
Executive Vice President and Chief Marketing
Riggs Bank
800 17th Street, NW
Washington DC 20006

Ms. Jan Horbaly
Circuit Executive, Clerk of Court
United States Court of Appeals for the Federal
Washington DC 20439

Mr. Neil Horseman
President
White House Historical Association
P.O. Box 27624
Washington DC 20038-7624

Mr. Lonnie J. Hovey
Director of Preservation
The White House
Executive Office of The President
Office of Administration
Washington DC 20502

Mr. Joseph Kaye
Special Agent
U.S. Secret Service
950 H Street, NW
Washington DC 20223

Mr. Don L. Klima
Director, Eastern Office of Project Review
Advisory Council on Historic Preservation
The Old Post Office Building
1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, #809
Washington DC 20004

Mr. Norman L. Koonce, FAIA
Executive Vice President/CEO
The American Institute of Architects
1735 New York Avenue, NW
Washington DC 20006

Mr. Gary L. Henderson
Division Administrator
Federal Highway Administrator
Washington, DC Division
1990 K Street, NW, Suite 510
Washington, DC 20006

Mr. Ken Laden
Associate Director
D.C. Department of Transportation
Transportation Policy and Planning
2000 14th Street, NW, 6th Floor
Washington DC 20009

Mr. Sal Lauro
Captain
US Park Police
1100 Ohio Drive, SW
Washington DC 20024

Ms. Sara Amy Leach
Senior Historian
Department of Veterans Affairs
National Cemetery Administration
810 Vermont Avenue
Washington DC 20420

Mr. Andy Lee
Chief Construction
OPM DC Government
2000 14th Street, NW
5th Floor
Washington DC 20009

Mr. Frederick J. Lindstrom
Architect
Commission of Fine Arts
National Building Museum
441 F Street NW Suite 312
Washington DC 20001-2195

Mr. Jane C. Loeffler
Visiting Associate Professor
UMD
2607 36th Place, NW
Washington DC 20007

Mr. Richard Longstreth
Professor
George Washington University
Washington DC 20052

Ms. Cindi Malinick
Executive Director
Decatur House Museum
748 Jackson Place, NW
Washington DC 20006

Mr. David Maloney
Historical Architect, Acting Program Manager
Office of State Historic Preservation
801 North Capitol Street, NE, 3rd Floor
Washington DC 20002

Captain Larry McCoy
Metropolitan Police Department
2301 L Street, NW
Washington DC 20037

Mr. Lawrence A. Melton
Director, White House Center
General Services Administration
725 17th Street, NW
Room 3026
Washington DC 20503

Mr. Michael Melton
Administrative Officer
White House Historical Association
P.O. Box 27624
Washington DC 20038-7624

Ms. Elizabeth Miller
Project Manager
National Capital Planning Commission
401 9th Street, NW
Suite 500 - North Lobby
Washington DC 20576

Mr. Richard Moe
President
National Trust for Historic Preservation
1785 Massachusetts Avenue, NW
Washington DC 20036

Mr. Albert Palumbo
Associate Principal
ARUP
155 Avenue of the Americas
New York NY 10013

Ms. Jane Passman
Senior Facilities Planner
Smithsonian Institution
Renwick Gallery
750 9th Street, NW, Suite 5200
Washington DC 20001

Mr. Cyril Paumier
Downtown Partnership Advisor
Downtown Business Improvement District
1250 H Street, NW
Washington DC 20005

Mr. Stephen Pearson
Deputy Director Operations
General Services Administration
725 17th Street, NW
Room 3026
Washington DC 20503

Mr. David Perry
Federal City Council
1155 15th Street, NW
Suite 601
Washington DC 20005

Mr. Harry G. Robinson, III
Chair
Commission of Fine Arts
441 F Street, NW, Suite 312
Washington DC 20001

Ms. Pamela Scott
Architectural Historian
6615 5th Street, NW
Washington DC 20012

Mr. William Seale
White House Historian
805 Prince Street
Alexandria Va 22314

Mr. Gullivar Shepard
Associate
Michael Van Valkenburgh Associates, Inc.
18 East 17th Street
6th Floor
New York NY 10003

Mr. Hugh Sidey
Chairman of the White House Historic Association
10825 Stanmore Drive
Potomac MD 20854

Mr. Peter Skalaban
Spriggs & Hollingsworth
1350 I Street, NW
Washington DC 20005

Mrs. Ann Smith
Director, White House Liaison
National Park Service
1100 Ohio Drive, SW
Room 344
Washington DC 20242

Mr. Michael Summerlin
Assistant Director for Design & Construction
National Park Service
1100 Ohio Drive, SW
Washington DC 20242

Mr. John Talkington
Program Manager
Smithsonian Institution
The Castle
750 9th Street, NW, Suite 5200
Washington DC 20001

Mr. Dan Tangherlini
Director
District Division of Transportation
2000 14th Street, NW
6th Floor
Washington DC 20009

Ms. Sarah Tapper
Curator
Decatur House Museum
748 Jackson Place, NW
Washington DC 20006

Mr. Jeffery G. Thompson
Director for Security
The White House
Executive Office of the President
Office of Administration
Washington DC

Mr. George Toop
Architect
National Capital Planning Commission
401 9th Street, NW
Suite 500 - North Lobby
Washington DC 20576

Mr. Nathan Trevethan
Project Manager
Michael Van Valkenburgh Associates, Inc.
18 East 17th Street
6th Floor
New York NY 10003

Ms. Denise Turner
Public Space Manager
District Department of Transportation
941 North Capitol Street, NW
2nd Floor
Washington DC 20002

Mr. Jack Van Dop
Environmental Specialist
Federal Highway Administration
21400 Ridgetop Circle
Sterling VA 20166

Mr. Michael Van Valkenburgh
Principal-in-Charge
Michael Van Valkenburgh Associates, Inc.
18 East 17th Street
6th Floor
New York NY 10003

Mr. John Carl Warnecke
300 Broadway Street
Suite 16
San Francisco CA 94133

Mr. Rod Waters
Security Manager Blair House
Department of State
1651 Pennsylvania Avenue
Washington DC 20520

Mr. Edward Sheldahl
Field Operations Engineer
Federal Highway Administration
1990 K Street, NW, Suite 510
Washington, DC 20006

Ms. Amy Weinstein
Principal
Weinstein Associates Architects
2311 Connecticut Avenue, NW
Suite 2B
Washington DC 20008

Mr. George M. White
Vice Chairman
Leo A. Daly
3 Chalfont Court
Bethesda MD 20816

Mr. Bruce Whitmarsh
Director Buildings and Grounds
Decatur House Museum
748 Jackson Place, NW
Washington DC 20006

Ms. Nancy Witherell
Historic Preservation Officer
National Capital Planning Commission
401 9th Street, NW
Suite 500 - North Lobby
Washington DC 20576

Mr. Michael Hicks
Urban/Environmental Engineer
Federal Highway Administration
1990 K Street, NW, Suite 510
Washington, DC 20006

Mr. John Zelenik
Smithsonian American Art Museum
750 9th Street, NW
Suite 3700
Washington DC 20001

This page intentionally left blank.

6.3 Section 106 Consultation Public Involvement – Contact List

Name	Organization	Address	Email Address	Source	February 26, 2003 Section 106 Consult. Mtg.			
					Emailed	FedEx	Faxed	Attended
H. Adams			hadams@rtkl.com	N. Witherell	2/24/03			No
David Alvarez	FHWA - EFLHD	21400 Ridgetop Circle, Sterling, VA. 20166	david.alvarez@fhwa.dot.gov	FHWA Staff	2/21/03			Yes
Robert Baer	Treasury, TSD – S&T	843 Brightseat Road, TSD P&D Landover MD 20705	rbaer@uss.treas.gov	N. Witherell	2/21/03			Yes
Sally Blumenthal	National Park Service	1100 Ohio Drive, SW, Washington, DC 20242	sally_blumenthal@nps.gov	N. Witherell	2/21/03			Yes
Ann Bowman	National Park Service	1100 Ohio Drive, SW, Washington, DC 20242	ann_bowman_smith@nps.gov	N. Witherell	2/21/03			No
Martha Catlin	Advisory Council on Historic Preservation	1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Suite 803, Old Post Office Building, Washington DC 20004	mcatlin@achp.gov	N. Witherell	2/21/03			Yes
Richard Cote	Treasury Department Curator	1500 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington DC 20220	richard.cote@do.treas.gov	N. Witherell	2/21/03			Yes
CY			cy@downtowndc.org	N. Witherell	2/24/03			No

6.3 Section 106 Consultation Public Involvement – Contact List

Name	Organization	Address	Email Address	Source	February 26, 2003 Section 106 Consult. Mtg.			
					Emailed	FedEx	Faxed	Attended
John Deatrick	DDOT Deputy Director, Chief Engineer,	2000 14th Street, NW, Fifth Floor, Washington DC 20003	john.deatrick@dc.gov	Comment Form	2/21/03			No
Joe Dipeitro	Deputy Special Agent in Charge, US Secret Service	843 Brightseat Road TSD S&T, Landover MD 20785	jdipietro@uss.s.treas.gov	N. Witherell	2/21/03			Yes
Cynthia R. Field	Smithsonian Archeological, Historic Preservation		fieldcy@soe.si.edu	N. Witherell	2/24/03			Yes
Patsy Fletcher		P.O. Box 34002, Washington, DC 20043	patsymfletcher@aol.com	Comment Form	2/21/03			Yes
Bobbie Greene	Director, Save American Treasures, National Trust for Historic Preservation	1785 Massachusetts Avenue, NW, Washington DC 20036	bobbie_greene@nthp.org	N. Witherell	2/24/03			Yes
Paul Haggerty	United States Secret Service	Eisenhower Executive Office Building, Room 552, Pennsylvania Avenue @ 17 th Street, Washington DC	phaggerty@uss.s.treas.gov					Yes
William Henderson		17 Randolph, Washington, DC 20001	wmhender@verizon.net	Comment Form	2/21/03			No

6.3 Section 106 Consultation Public Involvement – Contact List

Name	Organization	Address	Email Address	Source	February 26, 2003 Section 106 Consult. Mtg.			
					Emailed	FedEx	Faxed	Attended
Mark Hendrix	Executive Vice President and Chief Marketing, Riggs Bank	800 17th Street, NW, Washington DC 20006	mark_hendrix@riggsbank.com	Comment Form	2/21/03	2/21/03		No
Robert L. Hershey	D.C. Society of Professional Engineers	1255 New Hampshire Avenue, #1033, Washington, DC 20036	hershey@cpcug.org	Comment Form	2/21/03			No
Michael Hicks	FHWA, District of Columbia Division/EFLHD	1990 K Street, NW, Suite 510, Washington, DC 20006	michael.hicks@fhwa.dot.gov	FHWA Staff	2/21/03			Yes
Sharron Hines	Treasury, TSD – S&T	843 Brightseat Road, Landover MD 20785	skhines@uss.treas.gov	Comment Form		2/21/03		Yes
Lonnie J. Hovey	Director of Preservation, The White House,	Executive Office of The President, Office of Administration, Washington DC 20502	lonnie_hovey@oa.eop.gov	Nancy Witherell	2/24/03			Yes
Gene Keller	National Capital Planning Commission	409 9 th Street, NW, North Lobby, Suite 500, Washington, DC 20576	gene.keller@ncpc.gov	NCPC Staff	2/21/03			Yes
Sara Leach	Senior Historian, Department of Veterans Affairs	National Cemetery Administration, 810 Vermont Avenue, Washington DC 20420	sara.leach@mail.va.gov	Nancy Witherell	2/21/03			No

6.3 Section 106 Consultation Public Involvement – Contact List

Name	Organization	Address	Email Address	Source	February 26, 2003 Section 106 Consult. Mtg.			
					Emailed	FedEx	Faxed	Attended
Jane C. Loeffler	Visiting Associate Professor, UMD	2607 36th Place, NW Washington DC 20007	loeffler@umd.edu	N. Witherell	2/24/03			Yes
David Maloney	Historical Architect, Acting Program Manager	Office of State Historic Preservation, 801 North Capitol Street, NE, 3rd Floor, Washington DC 20002	david.maloney@dc.gov	N. Witherell	2/21/03			Yes
Michael S. McGill	Senior Project Manager, General Services Administration	7th & D Streets, SW, Room 7080, Washington DC 20407	michael.mcgill@gsa.gov	N. Witherell	2/21/03			No
Elizabeth (Betsy) Merritt	National Trust for Historic Preservation	1785 Massachusetts Avenue, Washington, DC 20036	betsy_merritt@nthp.org	N. Witherell	2/24/03			Yes
Dorothy Miller		2440 Virginia Avenue, NW, #D206, Washington, DC 20037		N. Witherell			2/21/03	No
Elizabeth Miller	Project Manager, National Capital Planning Commission	401 9th Street, NW, Suite 500 - North Lobby, Washington DC 20576	elizabeth.miller@ncpc.gov	NCPC Staff	2/21/03			Yes
Andrea Mones			andrea.mones@gsa.gov	N. Witherell	2/21/03			No
Robert Nieweg			Robert.nieweg@nthp.org	N. Witherell	2/24/03			No
George Oberlander	Committee of 100 on the Federal City and NCSOM	1222 G Street, NW, Washington, DC	goberland@erols.com	Comment Form	2/21/03			Yes

6.3 Section 106 Consultation Public Involvement – Contact List

Name	Organization	Address	Email Address	Source	February 26, 2003 Section 106 Consult. Mtg.			
					Emailed	FedEx	Faxed	Attended
Jane Passman	Senior Facilities Planner, Smithsonian Institution	Renwick Gallery, 750 9th Street, NW, Suite 5200, Washington DC 20001	passim@si.edu	Smithsonian	2/23/03			Yes
David Perry	Federal City Council	1155 15th Street, NW, Suite 601, Washington DC 20005	dperry@federalcitycouncil.org	N. Witherell	2/24/03			No
Richard Longstreth	Professor, George Washington University	Washington DC 20052	rwl@gwu.edu	N. Witherell	2/24/03			No
Pamela Scott	Architectural Historian	6615 5th Street, NW, Washington DC 20012	pjscott@erols.com	N. Witherell	2/21/03			No
William Seale	White House Historian	805 Prince Street, Alexandria Va 22314		N. Witherell		2/21/03		No
Gullivar Shepard	Associate, Michael Van Valkenburgh Associates, Inc.	18 East 17th Street, 6th Floor, New York NY 10003	gshepard@mrvainc.com	N. Witherell	2/21/03			No
Hugh Sidey	Chairman of the White House Historic Association	10825 Stanmore Drive, 555 12 th , Street, NW, Washington, DC 20004		N. Witherell		2/21/03		No

6.3 Section 106 Consultation Public Involvement – Contact List

Name	Organization	Address	Email Address	Source	February 26, 2003 Section 106 Consult. Mtg.			
					Emailed	FedEx	Faxed	Attended
Michael Summerlin	Assistant Director for Design & Construction, National Park Service	1100 Ohio Drive, SW, Washington DC 20242	Michael_summerlin@nps.gov	N. Witherell	2/21/03			Yes
Jack Van Dop	FHWA/Eastern Federal Lands Highway Division	21400 Ridgetop Circle, Sterling VA 20166	jack.van.dop@fhwa.dot.gov	FHWA Staff	2/21/03			Yes
John Carl Warnecke		300 Broadway Street, Suite 16, San Francisco CA 94133		N. Witherell		2/21/03		No
George M. White	Vice Chairman, Leo A. Daly	3 Chalfont Court, Bethesda MD 20816	gwhite@3di.com	N. Witherell	2/24/03			No
Thomas Whitley		4600 Connecticut Avenue, #819, Washington, DC 20008	tomwhitley@aol.com	Comment Form	2/21/03			No
Nancy Witherell	Historic Preservation Officer, National Capital Planning Commission	401 9th Street, NW, Suite 500 - North Lobby, Washington DC 20576	Nancy.witherell@ncpc.gov	NCPC Staff	2/21/03			Yes

VI

AGENCY COORDINATION AND DEVELOPEMENT

Pennsylvania Avenue At The White House

Federal Lands Highway





6.4 Agency Correspondence

The following is a list of the correspondences carried out with the various agencies for preparing the Pennsylvania Avenue At The White House Environmental Assessment

- 1) To: Mr. John Nau, Chairman
Advisory Council on Historic Preservation

From: Melisa Ridenour, Division Engineer
FHWA, Eastern Federal Lands Highway Division

Subject: Request to the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation to
Become Consulting Party for Project As Part of Section 106
Requirements

Date: February 12, 2003

- 2) To: Ms. Lisa Burcham, Director
Historic Preservation Office, District of Columbia

From: Alan T. Teikari, Planning and Programming Engineer
FHWA, Eastern Federal Lands Highway Division

Subject: Request for Initiation of Consultation Regarding Compliance
With Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act

Date: February 12, 2003

This page intentionally left blank.



April 11, 2003

Honorable Norman Y. Mineta
Secretary of Transportation
U.S. Department of Transportation
Washington, DC 20590

Dear Mr. Secretary,

The Eastern Federal Lands Highway Division of Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) has invited the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (ACHP) to participate in consultation for the proposed security and landscape improvements on Pennsylvania Avenue at the White House, pursuant to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act and its implementing regulations, "Protection of Historic Properties" (36 CFR Part 800). We are notifying you that the ACHP is accepting the invitation of FHWA and will consult with FHWA, the National Capital Planning Commission, the District of Columbia State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO), several affected Federal agencies, and the interested public to consider how best to avoid, minimize, or mitigate potential adverse effects of this undertaking. The purpose of the consultation process is to help resolve any adverse effects of the project, to include introduction of new elements to the historic Avenue in front of the White House. The project would potentially affect a number of properties listed on the National Register of Historic Places, including the Eisenhower Executive Office Building, the Pennsylvania Avenue Historic District, and the 15th Street Financial Historic District. The national significance of several of the properties has been recognized through designation as National Historic Landmarks, including Lafayette Square Historic District, Decatur House, Blair House, St. John's Church, the Treasury Building, and the Renwick Gallery.

In reaching this decision, the Council determined that Appendix A, *Criteria for Council Involvement in Reviewing Individual Section 106 Cases*, of the regulations was met due to the importance of both the historic properties and the Pennsylvania Avenue security project itself. We are providing this notice as required by 36 CFR §800.6(a)(1)(iii).

Sincerely,

John M. Fowler
Executive Director

Enclosure

ADVISORY COUNCIL ON HISTORIC PRESERVATION

1100 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Suite 809 • Washington, DC 20004
Phone: 202-606-8503 • Fax: 202-606-8647 • achp@achp.gov • www.achp.gov



April 11, 2003

Alan T. Teikari
Planning and Program Engineer
Federal Highway Administration
Eastern Federal Lands Highway Division
Loudoun Tech Center
21400 Ridgetop Circle
Sterling, VA 20166

REF: *Proposed Security and Landscape Improvements
Pennsylvania Avenue at the White House
District of Columbia*


Dear Mr. Teikari:

Thank you for your recent notification and invitation to the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (ACHP) to participate in consultation for the referenced project. In accordance with 36 CFR §800.6(a)(1) of the ACHP's regulations, "Protection of Historic Properties," the ACHP has concluded that Appendix A, *Criteria for Council Involvement in Reviewing Individual Section 106 Cases*, of the regulations apply to this undertaking. We, therefore, will participate in this consultation.

Based on our review of the Environmental Assessment, Criterion (1) is met because of the extent and significance of the affected historic properties. In addition, Criterion (2) is met because of the complexity of operational and security demands that must be balanced with historic preservation concerns. We have provided written notification (copy enclosed) of the ACHP's decision to enter the consultation process on this project to Secretary of Transportation Norman Y. Mineta, as required by 36 CFR §800.6(a)(1)(iii).

We look forward to consulting with Federal Highway Administration, the National Capital Planning Commission, the District of Columbia State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO), affected Federal agencies, and the interested public to consider how best to resolve adverse effects of this undertaking. If you have any questions or wish to discuss this matter further, please contact Martha Catlin at (202) 606-8529, or via e-mail at mcatlin@achp.gov.

Sincerely,


Dan L. Klima
Director
Office of Federal Agency Programs

Enclosure

ADVISORY COUNCIL ON HISTORIC PRESERVATION
1100 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Suite 809 • Washington, DC 20004
Phone: 202-606-8503 • Fax: 202-606-8647 • achp@achp.gov • www.achp.gov

VII

COMMENTS AND CORRESPONDENCE

Pennsylvania Avenue At The White House

Federal Lands Highway



TEL.: (202) 659-9529
FAX: (202) 429-1835

DR. ROBERT L. HERSHEY, P.E.
1255 NEW HAMPSHIRE AVE., #1033
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20036-2328

E-MAIL: hershey@cpug.org
<http://cpug.org/user/hershey>

November 18, 2001

Ms. Elizabeth Miller
National Capital Planning Commission
401 9th Street NW, Suite 500
Washington, DC 20576

Dear Ms. Miller:

Subject: Comment for Inclusion in the NCPC Follow-Up Report

I believe that the NCPC recommendation for the continued interim closure of Pennsylvania Avenue is incorrect. On the basis of objective engineering analysis, the closure of Pennsylvania Avenue was unnecessary for the protection of White House occupants. My analysis shows that, protection could be augmented by the use of laminated tempered glass in the White House windows, if this has not already been done. There is no need to wait for new technological developments. Pennsylvania Avenue should be reopened immediately.

I am a consulting engineer in the District of Columbia. The performance of glass under dynamic loading was the subject of my doctoral dissertation in engineering. I have served as Secretary of the D.C. Board of Registration for Professional Engineers. The District of Columbia Society of Professional Engineers, of which I am President-Elect, has endorsed reopening Pennsylvania Avenue.

I testified in favor of reopening Pennsylvania Avenue at the NCPC hearing of March 23, 2001. I previously submitted analyses supporting reopening in a letter to Mr. William G. Dowd, P.E., Director of the NCPC Office of Plans Review. I had three letters to the editor published in the Washington Post and an op-ed published in the Washington Times.

My engineering analysis has shown that it is safe to reopen Pennsylvania Avenue, if there are laminated tempered glass windows in the White House. A Security Glazing Design Guide has been published by Monsanto which includes blast test data for laminated tempered glass using their Saflex plastic interlayer. It clearly shows that a 30" X 30" window of 1/2-inch thick laminated tempered glass would withstand a car bomb of 500 lb of TNT at 350 ft. This window would also withstand a 3,000 lb truck bomb at the same distance. Laminated tempered glass (bulletproof glass) is a mature, well-tested engineering material, long used in tellers' cages. It is also used to protect the Liberty Bell in Philadelphia. The only drawback of laminated tempered glass is its higher cost, which is fully justified in this application.

These windows would be supported by the very solid White House structure which was recently described in the PBS broadcast of "Echoes from the White House." This video, done in cooperation with the White House Historical Society described the rebuilding of the structure in the 1948-1952 period, when Harry Truman was President. "After three years of major construction, the fourth White House, the modern White House we see today, had come to be. Girded with concrete and steel, 660 tons of it, it was a White House built for the ages.' Only an earthquake,' Truman said, 'or an atomic bomb could wreck the old building now.'"

In any design against a blast threat, the windows are generally the weakest link. With laminated tempered glass windows, they would be comparable to the rest of the structure, especially if they were 1 1/8-inch thick (as I have suggested earlier) or 2-inch thick (as was reported to perform well under the attack on the Pentagon). If there are any areas of the White House structure are deemed to need additional hardening, this should be done before continued closure of Pennsylvania Avenue is even considered. The possibility of building hardening was given insufficient attention in the NCPD report. In terms of historic preservation, we need a practical assessment of building preservation methods and costs. Much more than the structural authenticity of a building, the most important thing to preserve is the American citizen's right to travel through the nation's capital without hindrance.

It is incorrect to claim that the White House is like Oklahoma City, since the stress on the glass is orders of magnitude less. Oklahoma City had thousands of pounds of TNT equivalent at 10 feet, detonated head-on against a curtain wall of ordinary 1/4 inch window glass. Window glass breaks into shards which act as shrapnel (in the unlikely event that laminated tempered glass breaks, it tends to stay attached to the polymer interlayer as relatively harmless cubical pieces). My calculations show that practical laminated glass windows would not break in this application. The White House is a low rise steel-reinforced structure with a setback of 350 feet from Pennsylvania Avenue. Laminated tempered glass windows would solidify the only part of the building that could be considered at all susceptible to a blast at this distance. Limiting traffic to automobiles would limit the equivalent TNT carrying capacity to about 500 lb, which would not be a real threat. Besides, the main area of concern is the Oval Office and that is on the E Street side, shielded by the entire mass of the building.

The following items are enclosed. (The first six had previously been submitted when I testified before NCPD on March 23.):

1. Letter to the Alliance to Reopen Pennsylvania Avenue from Howard C. Gibbs, P.E., President of the D.C. Society of Professional Engineers (DCSPE). Mr. Gibbs is currently in his second term as President of DCSPE. I am currently President-Elect and expect to begin my second term as President next July.

2. My Letter to the Editor that was printed in the Washington Post of March 30, 1996.

3. My Letter to the Editor that was printed in the Washington Post of June 19, 1997.
4. My Letter to the Editor that was printed in the Washington Post of July 10, 2000.
5. My Op-Ed that was printed in the Washington Times of August 10, 2000.
6. Printout of the calculation from Monsanto's Security Glazing Evaluation computer program which shows that a large window 1 1/8 inch thick, of laminated tempered glass with a Saflex interlayer, would remain intact when subjected to a blast from 500 lb of TNT at a distance of 150 feet (the longest distance that Monsanto's computer program handles). The window in the calculation is 38 inches by 76 inches, much larger than any replacement window that would be required in the White House. The loading in this calculation is much more severe than any that could be expected from a potential threat, since the White House windows are smaller and the distance is 350 feet instead of 150 feet.
7. Calculation of laminated glass safety using Monsanto's performance curves based on actual field tests of 1/2 inch thick laminated tempered glass 30 inches by 30 inches. This is the thickest laminated tempered glass that Monsanto blast tested. The graph shows that the glass stays intact when subjected to a blast from 500 lb of TNT at 350 feet. It would even survive 3,000 lb. Obviously, 1 1/8-inch or 2-inch laminated tempered glass would be much stronger than this. Windows with a smaller area would be stronger still.

As shown above, the White House should be safe, since the windows are its weakest point. If the windows stay intact for 1 1/8 inch laminated tempered glass at 150 feet, and they stay intact for 1/2 inch laminated tempered glass at 350 feet, they obviously stay intact for 1 1/8 inch laminated tempered glass at 350 feet with a large margin of safety.

A laminated tempered glass barrier inside the White House fence, as proposed by Arthur Cotton Moore, would give redundant protection, which is not strictly necessary. This would be a "belt-and-suspenders" approach. Simple measures, such as keeping trucks off Pennsylvania Avenue would also help.

Clearly, from a technical standpoint, Pennsylvania Avenue could be reopened in the near term without risk to the White House occupants from a potential car bomb. NCPC should modify its report to recommend immediate reopening.

Very truly yours,



Dr. Robert L. Hershey, P.E.



**District of Columbia Society
of Professional Engineers**

A state society of the National Society of Professional Engineers

June 21, 2002

Mr. John Cogbill, Chairman
National Capital Planning Commission
401 9th Street NW, Suite 500
Washington, DC 20576

Dear Mr. Cogbill:

Subject: Comment for Distribution with NCPD's July 11 Report on the
Draft Security and Urban Design Plan

I request that this letter and my November 18 letter to NCPD be sent out to accompany your July 11 Report. As you recall NCPD omitted my letter from the transcript of the December 5 hearing. When I met with you on February 6, you said this omission would be remedied.

As you are aware, I believe that the NCPD recommendation for the continued interim closure of Pennsylvania Avenue is incorrect. On the basis of objective engineering analysis, the closure of Pennsylvania Avenue was unnecessary for the protection of White House occupants, assuming there is laminated tempered glass in the White House windows. Any action that make the closure appear more permanent, such as replacing part of the street with gravel is a step in the wrong direction.

I am a consulting engineer in the District of Columbia. The performance of glass under dynamic loading was the subject of my doctoral dissertation in engineering. I testified in favor of reopening Pennsylvania Avenue at the NCPD hearings of March 23, 2001 and December 5, 2001. I previously submitted analyses supporting reopening in a letter to Mr. William G. Dowd, P.E., Director of the NCPD Office of Plans Review. I had three letters to the editor on this subject published in the Washington Post and an op-ed published in the Washington Times.

My engineering analysis has shown that it is safe to reopen Pennsylvania Avenue. Based on my analysis of blast test data from a laminated tempered glass manufacturer, I concluded that appropriate windows would withstand a car bomb of 500 lb of TNT at 350 feet or even a 3,000 lb truck bomb at the same distance. Building for continued closure of Pennsylvania Avenue is not warranted.

Very truly yours,

Dr. Robert L. Hershey, P.E.
President, D.C. Society of
Professional Engineers



**District of Columbia Society
of Professional Engineers**

A state society of the National Society of Professional Engineers

August 30, 2002

Ms. Elizabeth Miller
National Capital Planning Commission
401 9th Street NW, Suite 500
Washington, DC 20576

Dear Ms. Miller:

I oppose the NCPC plan for breaking up Pennsylvania Avenue in front of the White House and putting in gravel. Pennsylvania Avenue should instead be reopened immediately for automobiles. I plan to testify to this effect at the September 4 public comment session.

As you are aware from my previous testimony before NCPC, my engineering analysis has shown that there is no danger to White House occupants from a car bomb blast on Pennsylvania Avenue. The White House is a fortress of steel reinforced concrete built around a nest of heavy I-beams. With laminated tempered glass windows the structure should be fully capable of protecting the people inside. Closing the street was unnecessary in the first place. Putting in gravel simply destroys a perfectly good pavement and delays the reopening, all at great expense. The continued closure is doubly unnecessary in light of the recent banning of truck traffic from adjacent streets.

This letter is the cover sheet for my written submission for the September 4 hearing record. My submission also includes material which NCPC already has:

1. Letter to Chairman Cogbill of June 21, 2002
2. Op-Ed from the June 7, 2002 Washington Post
3. Photo of the White House I-Beam Structure from the April 7, 2002 Post
4. News Brief from the Spring 2002 District of Columbia Professional Engineer
5. November 18, 2001 letter to NCPC with engineering analysis (12 pages).

To discuss this, you can reach me at (202) 659-9529 or hershey@cpcug.org.

Very truly yours,

Dr. Robert L. Hershey, P.E., President
D.C. Society of Professional Engineers



**District of Columbia Society
of Professional Engineers**

A state society of the National Society of Professional Engineers

September 30, 2002

Mr. John Cogbill, Chairman
National Capital Planning Commission
401 9th Street NW, Suite 500
Washington, DC 20576

Subject: National Urban Design and Security Plan Should NOT Be Adopted

Dear Mr. Cogbill:

The National Urban Design and Security Plan should NOT be adopted because it includes breaking up Pennsylvania Avenue in front of the White House and putting in gravel. Pennsylvania Avenue should instead be reopened immediately for automobiles. As you are aware from my previous testimony before NCPC, my engineering analysis has shown that there is no danger to White House occupants from a car bomb blast on Pennsylvania Avenue.

Seven years ago Pennsylvania Avenue was closed. There was no reason for the closure from an engineering standpoint. The claimed security justification - a hypothetical car bomb - would not really threaten the White House structure. The White House was completely rebuilt, as a virtual fortress, during the Truman administration with 660 tons of steel and concrete around a nest of heavy I-beams. President Truman said, "Only an earthquake or an atomic bomb could wreck the old building now." With laminated tempered glass in the windows, there is no danger to the White House occupants.

Currently, there are counterproductive proposals to make the closure of streets around the White House more permanent. The National Capital Planning Commission has asked for \$6.1 million to break up the pavement of Pennsylvania Avenue and put in gravel. There is also a \$5 million proposal for a study of building an E Street tunnel at the White House. The estimated cost of the tunnel is \$100 million. These proposals were described in an article on Page B3 of the Washington Post of Monday September 16, 2002. These proposals are clearly wasteful, since the street closures and tunnel are unnecessary. E Street has a setback distance even greater than Pennsylvania Avenue. Everyone recognized this fact, and E Street was kept open during years when Pennsylvania Avenue remained closed. The proposed E Street tunnel would create an attractive new target for a car bomb attack since it would concentrate the blast for anyone unfortunate enough to be in the tunnel. In daily driving, it would keep citizens from looking at their White House, while

subjecting them to the atmosphere of concentrated air pollution inherent in tunnels. All this, after \$100 million and years of tunnel construction. This is wrong.

The D.C. Society of Professional Engineers has consistently stood for reopening Pennsylvania Avenue. In 1996, we sent a letter to The Alliance to Reopen Pennsylvania Avenue, stating that from an engineering standpoint, the continued closing of Pennsylvania Avenue could not be justified. I testified in favor of reopening at the National Capital Planning Commission Hearings of March 23, 2001, December 5, 2001, and September 4, 2002.

To conclude, I'll tell you my sequel to "The Three Little Pigs." As you recall, the three little pigs had a house that was made of brick and it was shown to be completely wolf-proof. The three little pigs were getting along fine, except that one day they heard screaming outside. They went outside and saw that it was Chicken Little. He was running around in circles and screaming, "Your house is falling in! Your House is falling in!"

They asked him, "Where'd you find this out?"

And he said, "Oh, I might have heard some classified data someplace that once upon a time there was this pig that had this house made of straw and a wolf blew it down."

The practical pig said, "Well, our house is made of brick. What does this mean for us? It doesn't mean anything."

His two foolish brothers said, "Two against one. Two against one." They voted him down and they said, "Okay, we're going to follow what Chicken Little said." They closed off the street in front of the house and broke up the pavement and put in gravel. What happened next was what you'd expect. All the neighbors were mad that their street was blocked off and there were all these delays and nobody would come to see the three little pigs anymore. They became very lonely and very poor. They lived unhappily ever after.

So don't let what happened to the three little pigs happen to us. If somebody tells you that they're going to take Pennsylvania Avenue and break up the pavement and put in gravel, just say NO.

Very truly yours,



Dr. Robert L. Hershey, P.E., President
D.C. Society of Professional Engineers



**District of Columbia Society
of Professional Engineers**

A state society of the National Society of Professional Engineers

January 13, 2003

Mr. Jack Van Dop
FHWA
21400 Ridgetop Circle
Sterling, Virginia 20166

Dear Mr. Van Dop:

The District of Columbia Society of Professional Engineers urges FHWA to take no action on the ill-conceived NCPC plan for breaking up Pennsylvania Avenue in front of the White House and putting in gravel. We will testify to this effect at the January 15 public scoping session. As you aware from the material we have already submitted for this hearing, we have shown that Pennsylvania Avenue should instead be reopened immediately for automobiles. If your orders do not presently permit you to reopen the street, you should take no action until your orders do allow reopening.

FHWA's own analyses have shown that 26,000 cars per day must be rerouted because of the closing. As the agency charged with planning for roads, you are aware how serious this is. There are substantial delays to drivers and huge economic losses. It is ironic that FHWA is now being asked to consider plans that make the street closing more permanent.

Our previously submitted engineering analysis has shown that there is no danger to White House occupants from a car bomb blast on Pennsylvania Avenue (see attached article from *Engineering Times*). Since the White House is a fortress of steel reinforced concrete, assuming there are laminated tempered glass windows, there would be no danger to the people inside. It was completely unnecessary to close the street, in the first place. There is now redundant protection, in light of the banning of truck traffic from several adjacent streets. A truck can't get within three blocks of the White House. It makes no sense to destroy a perfectly good pavement and put in gravel, all at great expense. This would just delay the street reopening that is needed. First, do no harm.

To discuss this, you can reach me at (202) 659-9529 or hershey@cpcug.org.

Very truly yours,

Dr. Robert L. Hershey, P.E., President
D.C. Society of Professional Engineers

KUMAR VASWANI
P.O. BOX 15350
CHEVY CHASE, MARYLAND 20825

February 1, 2003

VIA FAX (703 404-6217) AND FIRST-CLASS MAIL

Mr. Jack Van Dop
Federal Highway Administration
21400 Ridgetop Circle
Sterling, VA 20166

Re: Request for Comments: Modifications to Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C.

Dear Mr. Van Dop:

I am responding to your request for comments regarding proposed modifications to Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C., adjacent to the White House. Please enter these comments into the public record.

It is a relief to learn that after years of controversy regarding the closing of Pennsylvania Avenue in front of the White House, the Federal Government plans to transform the roadway into a park-like setting befitting this important historic area. For too long, pro-traffic interests have attempted, through the use of a variety of specious arguments, to hijack the planning process and re-open Pennsylvania Avenue to vehicle traffic. For instance, under the pretense of protecting the District's economic well-being, some groups have claimed that with this section of Pennsylvania Avenue closed, traffic in the District is gridlocked and that economic growth is being impeded. To date, these groups have offered no evidence or data for this proposition.¹ If anything, reduction of traffic will likely enhance the economy of the District by improving its livability, quality of air (which translates into lower medical costs for residents), and accessibility.

Arguments that democracy is somehow being subverted by the lack of "access" to the White House are likewise ridiculous, given that the closure of Pennsylvania Avenue has opened up the area in front of the White House, Lafayette Park, and the Renwick Gallery to scores of pedestrians, roller bladers, and runners. A stroll during lunchtime will confirm this, even on the coldest of days. This area is much more pleasing now that traffic has been removed.

Just as important to the debate, however, is the extreme danger posed to the White House--arguably the most famous house in America--by the presence of vehicles within a few hundred feet. It is absurd in this day and age to argue that this area should be open to traffic. The FHWA should strive to make the closure permanent. Elimination of traffic will also enhance

¹ In addition, many of the individuals and groups making these arguments have no interest whatsoever in serving the interests of the District of Columbia. See Kumar Vaswani, letter to the editor, "Kansas Man Inside the Beltway," The Washington Post, April 2, 2001 (enclosed).

the air quality downtown and will likely save money by eliminating wear and tear (caused by traffic vibration) on historic structures in this area.

As the FHWA and other authorities develop plans for this area, I hope you will take into consideration not just security and traffic concerns, but also environmental, historic, and aesthetic values. For instance, the roadway should be removed and replaced with walking paths, landscaping, and other features that enhance the park-like atmosphere. I look forward to an improved Pennsylvania Avenue in the near future. Please enter the attached letter to the editor into the public record. Thank you.

Sincerely yours,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Kumar Vaswani".

Kumar Vaswani



**District of Columbia Society
of Professional Engineers**

A District Society of the National Society of Professional Engineers

March 7, 2003

Mr. John Cogbill, Chairman
National Capital Planning Commission
401 9th Street NW, Suite 500
Washington, DC 20576

**Subject: Pennsylvania Avenue at the White House Concept
Design Plan Should NOT Be Adopted**

Dear Mr. Cogbill:

The concept design plan for Pennsylvania Avenue in front of the White House should NOT be adopted because it makes the closure more permanent and delays reopening the street. The proposed plan destroys the perfectly good pavement of Pennsylvania Avenue in front of the White House at great expense and puts in something less desirable.

Pennsylvania Avenue should instead be reopened immediately for automobiles, since there is no danger to White House occupants from a car bomb blast on Pennsylvania Avenue. The street was unnecessarily closed eight years ago in an overreaction to the Oklahoma City bombing, even though the structural configurations are completely different. The White House is a virtual fortress with 660 tons of steel-reinforced concrete. The factor of safety at the White House against a car bomb blast are millions of times greater. With laminated tempered glass windows, a car bomb blast wouldn't even cause window breakage.

The District of Columbia Society of Professional Engineers, of which I am president, has consistently stood for reopening Pennsylvania Avenue. In 1996, DCSPE sent a letter to The Alliance to Reopen Pennsylvania Avenue, stating that from an engineering standpoint, the continued closing of Pennsylvania Avenue could not be justified. The proposed concept design plan should be rejected. First do no harm.

Very truly yours,

Dr. Robert L. Hershey, P.E., President
D.C. Society of Professional Engineers



**District of Columbia Society
of Professional Engineers**

A state society of the National Society of Professional Engineers

March 7, 2003

Mr. John Cogbill, Chairman
National Capital Planning Commission
401 9th Street NW, Suite 500
Washington, DC 20576

Subject: Pennsylvania Avenue at the White House Concept
Design Plan Should NOT Be Adopted

Dear Mr. Cogbill:

The concept design plan for Pennsylvania Avenue in front of the White House should NOT be adopted because it makes the closure more permanent and delays reopening the street. The proposed plan destroys the perfectly good pavement of Pennsylvania Avenue in front of the White House at great expense and puts in something less desirable.

Pennsylvania Avenue should instead be reopened immediately for automobiles, since there is no danger to White House occupants from a car bomb blast on Pennsylvania Avenue. The street was unnecessarily closed eight years ago in an overreaction to the Oklahoma City bombing, even though the structural configurations are completely different. The White House is a virtual fortress with 660 tons of steel-reinforced concrete. The factor of safety at the White House against a car bomb blast are millions of times greater. With laminated tempered glass windows, a car bomb blast wouldn't even cause window breakage.

The District of Columbia Society of Professional Engineers, of which I am president, has consistently stood for reopening Pennsylvania Avenue. In 1996, DCSPE sent a letter to The Alliance to Reopen Pennsylvania Avenue, stating that from an engineering standpoint, the continued closing of Pennsylvania Avenue could not be justified. The proposed concept design plan should be rejected. First do no harm.

Very truly yours,

Dr. Robert L. Hershey, P.E., President
D.C. Society of Professional Engineers

The Washington Post

AN INDEPENDENT NEWSPAPER

CLOSE TO HOME

Reopen America's Street

In 1995 the Secret Service closed Pennsylvania Avenue in front of the White House. It was a big mistake, because the fortress-like White House already provides good protection against car bombs.

In 1996 the National Park Service wanted to make the closing permanent and put in grass, but fortunately that proposal died.

In 2000 the Park Service was back with a plan to put VIP parking under the closed portion of Pennsylvania Avenue between 15th and 17th streets NW. Again, this proposal didn't go forward.

Now it's 2003, and the feds are asking for \$6.1 million in the current budget to test and develop a \$15 million construction plan to make the avenue closing more permanent. This time the plan is to break up the pavement and put in gravel [Style, March 1].

This latest idea goes back to misguided thinking behind the original decision to close the avenue—a decision precipitated by the bombing of the Alfred P. Murrah Building in Oklahoma City in 1995. But the situations are not analogous.

The Oklahoma City bomb was in a truck parked 10 feet from the federal building. The White House is 350 feet from Pennsylvania Avenue. Blast pressure decreases roughly with the square of the distance. This means that pressure on the White House would be far less than one-thousandth of the pressure to which the Murrah Building was exposed. The buildings also are not comparable. The White House was rebuilt for security in the '50s with heavy steel girders, 660 tons of steel reinforced concrete and walls roughly a foot thick. By contrast, most of the walls in the Oklahoma City building were quarter-inch glass.

Because stress decreases with wall thickness, stresses at the White House would be a factor of several thousand less than at Oklahoma City if they were subjected to the same pressure. When the effects of distance and wall thickness are combined, the White House is safer from bomb blasts than the Oklahoma City building by a factor of several million. What's more, trucks aren't even allowed within a three-block radius of the White House. Assuming that the White House windows are laminated tempered glass, the president's house probably wouldn't even suffer a broken window from a car bomb.

Pennsylvania Avenue should be reopened now. Citizens who want to speak against the plan to keep it closed should make their views known at the 12:30 p.m. Wednesday meeting of the National Capital Planning Commission at 401 9th St. NW, Suite 500.

—Robert L. Hershey

*is president of the D.C. Society of
Professional Engineers.*



MARK N. HENDRIX
Executive Vice President
and Chief Marketing Officer

Riggs Bank N.A.
800 17th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20006-3944
(202) 835-5162

File No. 6132
Primary Stat. PLTD
Due Date _____

March 5, 2003

Ms. Elizabeth Miller
Project Manager
The National Capital Planning Commission
401 9th Street, NW, Suite 500
Washington, DC 20576

Copies:

CHAIRMAN _____	DEPUTY DIR. _____
EXEC DIR. _____	GOO _____
ORA _____	PRD _____
GEN COUNSEL _____	TRD _____
SECRETARIAT _____	UTR _____
ADMIN. _____	TDAD _____

Dear Ms. Miller:

Riggs Bank N.A. ("Riggs") respectfully submits these comments regarding the proposed concept design for the Pennsylvania Avenue area improvements. Riggs supports the NCPC's and the Federal Government's objective of fostering security while reclaiming the dignity of the area around the White House. However, Riggs requests that the NCPC decline to adopt the concept design, *as presently proposed*, for the area between Madison Place and 15th Street because this concept design would have a profound, negative economic and operational impact upon Riggs.

Riggs' Corcoran Branch is the only commercial establishment that fronts on the closed portion of Pennsylvania Avenue. The Corcoran Branch is Riggs' most prominent location, and the branch is located in the eastern half of the area between Madison Place and 15th Street. The closure of Pennsylvania Avenue has harmed the Branch. It is therefore important that the concept design not exacerbate this situation by interfering with reasonable customer and business access to the front entrance of 1503 Pennsylvania Avenue. Specifically, Riggs needs access for cash deliveries and sufficient turn around space for customer drop off, reception of guests and valet parking.

At present, customer and commercial vehicles (such as armored cars making cash deliveries to the Branch) can still access the Branch's entrance from 15th Street. The existing jersey barrier, which precludes vehicular traffic on Pennsylvania Avenue, is located to the west of the Branch, in the area of the Treasury Annex. As proposed, the concept design will deploy a bollard line at the edge of 15th Street. This will effectively cut off all customer vehicular access and will preclude all armored car deliveries from 15th Street. This bollard line will also interfere with pedestrian customer access. The bollard line will create a barrier, in effect, between the Branch's customers in the downtown area and the Branch's entrance.

Ms. Elizabeth Miller
March 5, 2003
Page 2

Riggs certainly appreciates the importance of security in today's environment. But given the profound negative effect this concept design will have on the Branch, we ask that the Commission take a hard, critical look at whether moving the existing vehicle barrier from its present location into the area between Riggs and 15th Street is, in fact, necessary. Riggs also requests that the NCPC consider whether the barrier line can be placed at the eastern edge of the Treasury Annex or the western edge of Riggs' property. Placing the bollard line in either of these locations would enhance security from the status quo.

In addition, the current concept design depicts trees that would block the visual prominence of the building. The stature of the Corcoran Branch is an important feature of this historic property, and Riggs remains concerned that the trees will obstruct the view of the property and will isolate the property from the commercial downtown area and our customers. Accordingly, we request that the NCPC consider eliminating the trees in the area from 15th Street to the western edge of Riggs's property, and that the designers consider employing shrubs (or some other appropriate, smaller landscaping feature) in their place.

In conclusion, Riggs supports the objective of the NCPC and the Federal Government here. However, because the proposed concept design will seriously harm Riggs, we request that the NCPC *not* adopt this design (as it relates to the area between Madison Place and 15th Street) and refer the concept design back to the designers for further consideration or refinement consistent with these comments. Thank you for the opportunity to provide commentary and for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,


Mark N. Hendrix



MARK N. HENDRIX
Executive Vice President
and Chief Marketing Officer

Riggs Bank N.A.
800 17th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20006-3944
(202) 835-5162

NCPC

File No. 0132
Primary Stat. 017
Due Date _____

December 4, 2002

Copies:

CHAIRMAN	ASST. EXEC. DIR. (PRGRMS)	/
EXEC. DIR.	ASST. EXEC. DIR. (MGMT)	/
PUB. AF.	LONG RANGE PLNG.	/
GEN. COUNSEL	PLANS REVIEW	/
SECRETARIAT	TDA SERVICES	/

Ms. Elizabeth Miller
Project Manager
National Capital Planning Commission
401 9th Street, NW, Suite 500
Washington, DC 20576

Dear Ms. Miller:

I wanted to thank the National Capital Planning Commission for including Riggs in its October 31 and November 14, 2002 meetings with Michael Van Valkenburgh & Associates in the verification study for Pennsylvania Avenue. Likewise, we appreciate the positive approach the team demonstrated in listening to our concerns.

Riggs supports NCPC's primary objectives of improving security and reclaiming the dignity around the White House. At the same time, Riggs has been uniquely affected by the closure of Pennsylvania Avenue. The Corcoran Branch is our most prominent location and is a special property that reflects Riggs' significant, historical role in the Nation's Capitol. As the only commercial establishment that fronts on the closed portion of Pennsylvania Avenue, Riggs' business interest has been harmed by the street closure. It is therefore very important to Riggs that the future development and improvement of the area not hinder access to the Corcoran Branch.

To protect our interests, the design first should allow for reasonable customer and business access to the front entrance of 1503 Pennsylvania Avenue. Specifically, Riggs needs access for cash deliveries and sufficient turn around space for customer drop off, reception of guests and valet parking.

Second, the current drawings depict large trees that would block the visual prominence of the building. The stature of the Corcoran Branch is an important feature of this location, and we are concerned that the trees would obstruct the view of the property and isolate the property from the commercial downtown area. Similarly, we request that the design facilitate the view of the property from northbound traffic on 15th Street.

Third, Riggs would be concerned by a design that places bollards in line with the Corcoran Branch's front entrance. A bollard line in front of the Corcoran Branch's



United States Department of the Interior

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE
National Capital Region
White House Liaison
1100 Ohio Drive, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20242

IN REPLY REFER TO:
D-2215 (NCR-WHL)

MAR 3 2003

VIA FACSIMILE

Ms. Elizabeth Miller, Project Manager
National Capital Planning Commission
401 9th Street, NW
Suite 500, North Lobby
Washington, D.C. 20576

Dear Ms. Miller:

Representatives of White House Liaison, National Park Service attended the stakeholders working session on February 20, 2003, and we have reviewed the Concept Design Proposal for Pennsylvania Avenue at the White House. We are very pleased to see progress on improving the appearance of Pennsylvania Avenue in front of the White House.

Our congratulations to Michael Van Valkenburgh and his staff for addressing what we know to be a complex and demanding site. You and your associates at National Capital Planning Commission have done a masterful job of coordinating the development of the design with all the neighbors and stakeholders. As you continue with the planning, we suggest that the following are areas of concern for the final design:

1. The mix of pedestrian traffic and vehicular traffic on the avenue raises concern. We believe that there should be some demarcation for the vehicle travel areas so that pedestrians are made aware of where they may expect to see and encounter traffic. This may be accomplished by a change in texture or color of the pavement or by subtle visual elements.
2. The location of the new gatehouses on Jackson and Madison Places raises concern with their adjacency to the statues on the northeast and northwest corners of Lafayette Park. The visual impact of the gatehouses within view of the statues could detract from the context of these historic statues. We urge care in the design of the new gatehouses to minimize the intrusion on the historic statues.
3. We would also urge care in the design where Jackson and Madison Places meet H Street. The visual quality of the site as a whole, and especially the views from H Street towards the White House, could be diminished if barrier elements near the intersections are not carefully designed.

4. We suggest that there be a visual indication designating the change from the sidewalk to the street on the south side of Pennsylvania Avenue. Because visitors are focused on the White House and on getting their pictures taken, they do not pay close attention to the street traffic, any curbs behind them, or their own safety.
5. With the placement of the gatehouses on Jackson Place, there are concerns with the ability to make deliveries to the White House Historical Association (WHHA) and Decatur House. Presently, WHHA deliveries can be made at their front door without having to go through the security checkpoint. Some provision will need to be made for deliveries to WHHA or delivery trucks will be forced to block travel lanes on H Street in order to make their deliveries. The amount of time the trucks will block the lanes will lengthen with the longer distances to transport the large deliveries of ornaments and books.
6. We have concerns about pedestrian safety at the intersection crosswalks at both 15th and 17th Streets. With the bollard line at the inside edge of the crosswalks, this will encourage taxis and delivery vehicles to stop in the crosswalks thus causing conflicts with pedestrians crossing the intersection.
7. We would like to ensure that new tree plantings along the northern sidewalks of Pennsylvania Avenue will be appropriate and in keeping with the historic landscape of Lafayette Park. The center vista of the White House looking from both outside and inside the grounds is an important visual element to retain. Because trees have been missing from the southern side of Pennsylvania Avenue for many years, a simulation of the vista to and from the White House showing the trees to be planted may be important to the final design decisions and approvals.
8. We have concerns that the proposed re-grading of the Avenue will not work as drawn in your cross-sections without substantially altering the sidewalk at Lafayette Park. Our staff advises that with a minimum slope of 1/4" for drainage, there would be a minimum 15" change in grade at the curb at Lafayette Park. Any change in the Lafayette Park sidewalk slope would have to meet ADA compliance.

We appreciate the opportunity to participate in the design development process for this exciting project. We remain ready to coordinate with you as it may be helpful. If you have questions, please contact me at (202) 619-6344 or Assistant Director for Design and Construction Michael Summerlin at (202) 219-6529.

Sincerely,



Ann Bowman Smith
Director
White House Liaison



DOWNTOWN DC
Business Improvement District

December 13, 2002

NCPC
File No. 6132
Priority Set: OK
Due Date: _____

Copies:

Mr. William Dowd
Director
Plan and Project Implementation Division
National Capital Planning Commission
401 9th St. NW, Suite 500
Washington, DC 20576

CHAIRMAN _____ ASST. EXEC. DIR. (PROGRAMS) _____
EXEC. DIR. _____ ASST. EXEC. DIR. (INTEGRATION) _____
PUB. AF. _____ LONG RANGE PLANNING _____
GEN. COUNSEL _____ PLANS REVIEW _____
SECRETARIAT _____ TDA SUPPORT _____
ADMIN. _____

Dear Mr. Dowd:

We were pleased to be invited to the Pennsylvania Avenue design briefing on November 14, 2002. This session provided an excellent opportunity to review and evaluate the concept plans being prepared by Michael Van Valkenburg and his design staff. We are impressed with the level of commitment that has been made by NCPC and the other governmental agencies responsible for formulating a plan for Pennsylvania Avenue between 15th and 17th Streets.

At the session, we shared some specific thoughts regarding the design plan for this important public space. These thoughts have been recorded so that they may be of benefit to NCPC and the urban design team responsible for evolving a more definitive plan for this historically significant space.

We wish to offer the following observations and recommendations regarding the design plans for Pennsylvania Avenue:

Environmental Design Continuity

The roadway paving and pedestrian walks should provide the unifying physical fabric between 15th and 17th Streets. When a pedestrian enters this lineal space from the east or west, they should sense the continuity of the paving materials and curb lines, rather than the segmentation of the space into three separate zones. The recommended special textured street paving that has been used so successfully in London should be used to add richness and texture in the roadway. It is also much more economical to install, and could be removed later if a tunnel or sub-surface parking structure is constructed.

We would prefer to see granite paving in the walks rather than in the roadway. The precast granite pavers that have been used in front of the Old Executive Building also


Dowd
Page 3
December 13, 2002

An alternative solution to bollards may need to be considered. The use of impressive gates and railings similar to those being installed at the entrances to the East and West Executive Park roadways may be the most design-sensitive solution to the security requirements that exist at the east and west gateways to Pennsylvania Avenue.

We would like to recommend that the urban design consultants evaluate an alternative design concept that utilizes gates and railings to define the entryways to this historic street and open space. We believe the most appropriate solution will evolve from an analysis of the various alternatives for these two gateways to Pennsylvania Avenue. We would also like to explore opportunities to animate and energize this large public space.

We look forward to meeting with you and the NCPC team to discuss the observations and recommendations outlined in this letter.

Sincerely,



Richard Bradley
Executive Director

Cy Paumier

cc: Elizabeth Miller,
George Toop
Michael Van Valkenburgh

JOHN CARL WARNECKE FAIA

ARCHITECTS AND PLANNING CONSULTANTS
300 BROADWAY SUITE 16 SAN FRANCISCO CALIFORNIA 94133 TEL (415) 397-4200 FAX (415) 397-4207

March 6, 2003

Elizabeth Miller, Project Manager
National Capital Planning Commission
401 - 9th St., NW
No. Lobby, Suite 500
Washington, DC 20576

File No. 6132
Primary Stat. PLP
Due Date _____

Copies:

CHAIRMAN _____
EXEC DIR _____
OPA _____
GEN COUNSEL _____
SECRETARIAL _____
ADMIN _____

DEPUTY DIR _____
COO _____
PRD _____
PEO _____
UDER _____
TDAD _____

Dear Elizabeth:

The improvements made in this new plan dated 2/11/03 as a result of Van Valkenburgh and his team of associates meeting with many of those representing important segments of the client; i.e., the Secret Service, etc., are most impressive, and I agree that the overall layout and plan should now be approved and made ready for various detailed studies required in the next phase of this work as related in the conceptual plan report. I give my vote of approval for Michael Van Valkenburgh's latest conceptual plan subject to investigating and studying the following.


Make a quick study of adding cross-axis elements of design in the center of this very large 84-foot wide masonry plaza area that runs from Jackson Place to Madison Place in front of the White House. With a 34-foot wide added lawn and red flower beds and two movable fountains at the end of both sides of this cross-axis space, one can construct two 25-foot wide roadways running in opposite directions. These roadways can also be used for vintage touring cars or horse and buggies at special times should this be desirable in the future. The roadways can also be used for pedestrians promenading and viewing the White House when the streets are not used for this potential historic vehicular use or for use to enter the front of the White House. As part of this quick study, list the pros and cons of this concept in comparison to the all-paved plaza concept.

Looking forward to the future of the historic people's place - Lafayette Park and Square, is not a part of this current task of redesigning the existing Pennsylvania Avenue, and it is most important to keep this current project on track. However, it is wrong to design and construct a plan and project that will not embrace the vision of bringing life, joy, and history back to this overall dead area which now resembles a morgue or fort under siege.

No plan will work in the long run without a clear view of our future. L'Enfant, with the axis and cross-axis concepts of our capital, made Washington an exceptional city. Let's do our best to keep it that way.

I regret that funds still are not available to pay my expenses so I could attend this meeting of March 12, but as a member of the NCPC task force, I approve this plan as an important major step forward in creating a final solution that will work not only now but also in our future.

Sincerely,



John Carl Warnecke, FAIA

JCW/bb:emiller030603

cc: Patti Gallagher
Richard Friedman
William Cogbill III
Ann Heilgenstein
Tom Dougherty
Barbara Riggs

JOHN CARL WARNECKE FAIA

ARCHITECTS AND PLANNING CONSULTANTS
300 BROADWAY SUITE 16 SAN FRANCISCO CALIFORNIA 94133 TEL (415) 397-4200 FAX (415) 397-4207

February 20, 2003

Patricia E. Gallagher, AICP
Executive Director
NCPC
401 - 9th St., NW
North Lobby, Suite 500
Washington, DC 20576


Dear Patti:

Thank you for the very thoughtful and detailed review of my recent reports, "Part III, Looking back to obtain a vision of the future of the White House, and "Part IV, Critique and comparison establishing the best design criteria for the redesign of Pennsylvania Avenue," on the redesign of Pennsylvania Avenue. My other comments to you and to those you sent copies of your letter to and others who are supporting my overall concept of Lafayette Square and Park are as follows:

Prior to the final NCPC vote that then went public and announced Michael Van Valkenburgh as the winner of the design competition, I made it clear to the NCPC task force that there were two very different design criteria that the NCPC had presented to the competing landscape architects. 1) Use of the full existing Pennsylvania Avenue street space and 2) creating a 60-foot wide space based on the very minimum width of sixty feet which an inaugural parade might try to function. In the Warnecke Institute critique, we made it clear that utilizing the existing full Pennsylvania Avenue street space and treating this space as a void with only low flowerbeds, lawn, and fountains was far superior than creating 3-dimensional objects such as rows of trees that would become over years ever more powerful and what would separate the people's place - Lafayette Park and Lafayette Square - from the White House.

In light of the above, I would hope that the staff of the NCPC and the NCPC task force would work with Michael Van Valkenburgh and his office and associates in studying these two basic design criteria with preliminary design studies of both concepts and a comparison of the pros and cons of the design criteria. I would hope that this type of preliminary design studies of these two basic criteria and design concepts would clarify the many pros and cons of each concept, but in this process it is hoped that they would come up with the very best design criteria for this important project in this historic and symbolic place - the White House with our presidents in relation to the people's park and people's place.

The second main message is that by planning to construct one or two cross town tunnels, Pennsylvania Avenue can remain permanently closed to heavy cross-city commuting traffic and a long-term historic vision of this space can be achieved. This is my primary goal and a requirement for bringing life, joy, and history back to the people's place - Lafayette Park and Square. Only with a long-term vision can we plan ahead and achieve our long-term goals.



WHITE HOUSE HISTORICAL ASSOCIATION

February 24, 2003

NCPD

File No. 6132
Primary Stat. MD
Due Date _____

Elizabeth Miller
National Capital Planning Commission
401 9th Street, NW, Suite 500
Washington, DC 20576

Copies:

CHAIRMAN _____	DEPUTY DIR _____
VICE CHA _____	DIR _____
ADM _____	ASST DIR _____
FINANCIAL _____	PLANNING _____
INFORMATION _____	OPERATIONS _____
ADMIN _____	TRAINING _____

Dear Elizabeth:

Thank you for the opportunity to provide comments from the White House Historical Association on the Concept Design Proposal for Pennsylvania Avenue. Our concerns remain primarily those that we noted at the verification study meeting.

These relate to the proposed relocation of the security barrier on Jackson Place from its current location to a point north of our public entrance at 740 Jackson Place. As noted in your meeting minutes, "The WHHA desires a friendly and visually welcoming entrance to their facilities, even when portions of Lafayette Park are closed due to Head of State visits at Blair House."

Specifically, under the new scheme, when Jackson Place is closed to traffic and pedestrians, the public will be unable to reach our retail facility. When the street and sidewalk are not closed, the relocation of the security barrier to the north diminishes the visual link to our entrance. As noted in the meeting, our retail program is of paramount importance to the association and its responsibility to fund the maintenance and refurbishing of the public rooms of the White House and the acquisition and conservation of the White House collection of fine and decorative arts. Any restriction on public access to the retail facility will have a negative effect on our financial resources.

Additionally, there appears to be no provision for delivery trucks to reach our door without going through the first security checkpoint. Is this correct? Assuming they go through the first checkpoint, is there a place to park trucks for deliveries?

If I can provide any additional information, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Neil W. Horstman
President

cc: Henry Dudley, Chairman



Smithsonian Institution

Office of Facilities Planning & Resources
Facilities Master Planning

NCPC

File No. 6132
Priority Set. NCPS
Due Date _____

Patricia E. Gallagher, AICP
Executive Director
National Capital Planning Commission
401 9th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20576

Copy to:
CIVILIAN _____
CONGRESS _____
GENERAL _____
SECURITY _____
MILITARY _____
DEFENSE _____
DOD _____
DIA _____
RFD _____
OER _____
OASD _____

March 11, 2003

Re: Comments on the proposed Landscape and Security Improvements for Pennsylvania Avenue in front of the White House

Dear Ms. Gallagher:

As stakeholders, we are delighted to participate in the development of this important project. The Renwick Gallery, part of the Smithsonian American Art Museum, is located on Pennsylvania Avenue at 17th Street, within the area of the proposed changes. We provided input at and comments following the stakeholders meeting in October. Also, we worked closely with NCPC staff on the perimeter security guidelines included in the National Capital Urban Design and Security Plan adopted by NCPC in October 2002. We thank you and your designers, Michael Van Valkenburgh Associates, as well as FHWA, for listening to our comments and accommodating them in the current proposal. We believe that the plan, as it continues to be refined, will greatly enhance the experience of this urban landscape for visitors and neighbors alike, while providing for the safety and security of visitors, the President and staff housed in buildings within the area. It should improve circulation downtown by adding the Circulator. Certainly, the plan will improve the appearance of the street by eliminating the existing accumulation of planters and vehicle barriers.

We have viewed presentations of the revised concept at a meeting on February 20 and the Section 106 Consultation meeting on February 25. Those attending included representatives from the Smithsonian American Art Museum and the Smithsonian Office of Facilities Engineering and Operations. Those in the latter office represented our protection services, historic preservation, and facilities master planning groups. Our comments, which follow, are based on the discussion at those meetings and on the Pennsylvania Avenue at the White House Concept Design Proposal dated 11 February 2003.

We request that the designers continue to refine the design by:

- Relocating the eastern line of bollards composing the "sally port" containment area further east, aligned with the Renwick's eastern building line. This will enhance the plaza in front of the building, making the entrance even more attractive and accessible for visitors to the museum. It will also provide for better access and maneuvering of the 48 foot long trucks (not 40 foot) used for delivery of art objects and construction materials for exhibitions. As stated previously, the Renwick has no location for delivery of large objects other than the front door.
- Aligning trees, if any, symmetrically around the entrance to the Renwick, and eliminating trees in front of the steps in order to make the museum entrance more visible.
- Using paving material like penetration macadam, not granite, in the plaza area in front of the Renwick, that is more historic and minimizes slipping hazards.

750 9th Street NW Suite 5200 MRC 908
P O Box 37012
Washington DC 20013-7012
202.275.0250 Telephone
202.275.0083 Fax

- The transition between vehicular and pedestrian surfaces needs to be designed with sensitivity to accessibility for people with disabilities, including identifying changes in elevation with sufficiently contrasting colors. It would be helpful to have a curb cut for deliveries.
- We encourage the designers to proceed with design of the security booth similar to the White House gatepost pediment design.

Again, thank you for including us in the process. We look forward to working with your staff as design work proceeds, and to coordinate development of the Smithsonian plan for perimeter security at the Renwick Gallery with the Pennsylvania Avenue plan.

Sincerely,



Harry Rombach, R.A.
Associate Director for Facilities Master Planning

cc: William W. Brubaker, Director of Facilities Engineering & Operations, S.I.
Clair Gill, Director of Facilities Planning and Resources, S.I.
Elizabeth Broun, Director of the Smithsonian American Art Museum
Cynthia Field, Associate Director for Architectural History & Historic Preservation

750 9th Street NW Suite 5200 MRC 908
P O Box 37012
Washington DC 20013-7012
202.275.0250 Telephone
202.275.0883 Fax

STATEMENT OF
COMMITTEE OF 100 ON THE FEDERAL CITY
AND
THE NATIONAL COALITION TO SAVE OUR MALL
ON THE
CONCEPT DESIGN
PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE AT THE WHITE HOUSE
BEFORE THE
NATIONAL CAPITAL PLANNING COMMISSION
MARCH 12, 2003

Mr. Chairman and members of the Commission, my name is George Oberlander, a retired former 31 year staff member of this Commission. Today I am representing two community organizations very much concerned with the planning and historic preservation of our National Capital, the Committee of 100 on the Federal City and the National Coalition to Save Our Mall.

You have previously heard from these two groups about their **opposition** to restricting public vehicular traffic on Pennsylvania Avenue between 15th and 17th Streets, NW. The environmental documentation and historic preservation process currently underway for this project design, (by the Federal Highway Administration in cooperation with your staff, the DC Dept. of Transportation, the Commission of Fine Arts and the National Park Service), explicitly states that "Actions taken...to restrict public vehicular traffic... will not be reevaluated for this project". **This is very unfortunate and in our judgment pre judges and compromises the environmental and preservation processes.**

Although the Federal Highway Administration is taking this approach, the Commission is not precluded from re-examining its position on the vehicular traffic issue on the Avenue. We strongly urge you to give further consideration of the impacts of closing the Avenue to traffic in the central area beyond the criteria of including a Circulator and permitting the possible future reopening of Pennsylvania Avenue. Such consideration should include alternatives as partial closing and/or closing to large/tall (truck) vehicles, which really are the primary concern.

Some time ago, nationally recognized Washington architect Arthur Cotton Moore FAIA, a member of the Committee of 100, discussed these and other security concepts with your staff and security representatives. He is still willing to work with the Commission and its staff to further elaborate on these alternatives. There are current security technology devices that should be examined and tested if need be.

The "Traffic Alternatives Analysis" prepared for the Commission in October 2001 **did** include an At-Grade Alternative, which would re-open the closed portion of the Avenue. This At-Grade Alternative provides **less** traffic delays than the recommended No Build with Transportation System Management (TSM) Strategies.

I believe you know that the White House was strengthened during the Truman Administration with steel girders, steel reinforced concrete and stronger window glass. It is

set back from the Avenue over 300 feet and at much greater distances from 17th, 15th and E Streets. An additional option in regulating traffic could include weight sensitive gates on the Avenue, and if needed, on E Street.

As you also know, the segment of the Avenue in front of the White House is designated a contributing element of the historic street plan of Washington DC. Any physical change in the character and/or function of the street must be evaluated within this unique historic character designation. For the processes to be complete and adequate, and for the Commission to be able to make well informed independent findings and recommendations upon which design approvals may be granted, securing the White House with a re-opened to through traffic Pennsylvania Avenue should be among the alternatives equally examined.

The project as presented to you today, is defined as, or limited to “the development and evaluation of alternatives to **create a pedestrian-oriented, landscaped civic space on the Avenue**, and improve the appearance at H Street and Jackson and Madison Places”. **This appears to us to be a very subjective limited approach.**

There are numerous, now considered more secure, Federal public buildings in Washington DC that are closer to existing vehicular traffic streets than the White House. Examples are the Old Executive Office Building along 17th Street (now named the Eisenhower Office Building) and the Treasury Dept. building along 15th Street. The standoff distance of these buildings is much closer than the White House from Pennsylvania Avenue.

The security agencies have to be able to find a threat standoff design solution for the White House that does not require restricting all public traffic on the Avenue from 15th to 17th Streets.

This statement will not repeat the comments made earlier on the security issue(s) or the traffic impacts, except to refer to the on-file statements made by the Committee and the current concerns of the Coalition.

The concept design before you addresses the Commission’s Task Force design criteria established in “The National Capital Urban Design and Security Plan” approved in October 2002. The design criteria require, among others, (page 4 of the EDR) that the proposal:

- Respect and enhance the historic setting and views of the White House
- Reflect a clear memory of Pennsylvania Avenue’s historic use.

The Plan’s criteria did not provide any guidance as to how the White House and its occupants could be made secure enough from terrorist bomb threat standoff without restricting traffic on the Avenue. This is a major shortcoming of the Security Plan.

Today’s concept design has been improved, within the street’s historic setting, from the earlier October 2002 design. However, the current concept segments the civic space it tries to redesign into three portions containing monolithic granite paving slabs and penetration

macadam with stabilized crushed stone on top. **Segmenting the right-of-way in this manner tends to reduce the streetscape appearance and extent of this space as a continuing monumental street image or vision.**

In addition, the security booths have no relationship to the Avenue's historic character or memory.

The concept suggests a double row of trees on the south side of the Avenue in front of the Eisenhower and Treasury Buildings. Why is this needed? The only explanation given is it would "create an invitational space with a strong perspective draw towards the sidewalk in front of the White House". The perspective draw should be the entire length (1600 feet) and width (140 feet) of the Avenue from 17th to 15th Streets or the reverse. The extra row of trees will only add clutter and obstructions, particularly if yet unspecified additional pedestrian amenities are provided. One continuous row of trees on the south side and one row of trees in front of the Renwick Gallery and the Treasury Annex and Riggs Bank buildings would articulate the Avenue as it was formally made a public street in 1824.

Replanting the historic singular row of trees directly in front of the White House is very appropriate. However, it is necessary to relate this row of trees to the location (width) of the presidential inaugural parade-reviewing stand and the axis cross views from 16th Street. Has this been done? The scale of the drawings in the booklet does not make this clear.

The other concern is the variety of bollard designs proposed within this portion of the Avenue. The Commission has identified contextual areas, monumental streets and memorials within the comprehensive urban design and security plan. The purpose of this approach is to "ensure that improvements along streets are complementary and enhance the special character of each precinct, while addressing the required security measures for individual buildings".

Along this special portion of monumental Pennsylvania Avenue, it seems to us, **all bollards should look alike.** Having different designs for fixed versus removable bollards and the existing bollards to remain, adds visual confusion, and creates additional visual attraction and attention as well as street clutter. The necessary special or different types of bollards do not have to be expressed in different designs. **One uniform (simple) design should be able to provide for the different functions.** For operational needs, either subtle pavement markings or special (bollard) markings can identify vehicle lanes in front of retractable bollards.

Any physical change to the Avenue should not intrude into the primary open historic street character whether or not it has vehicles traversing it.

To conclude and summarize our concerns, they are:

- We continue to be opposed to restricting public vehicular traffic to Pennsylvania Ave. in front of the White House.

- Not including the alternative of reopening traffic on the Avenue pre judges and compromises the environmental and historic preservation documents and process.
- There must be a security design solution to protect the White House, its occupants and grounds that would alter the current temporary appearance and function of the Avenue into an aesthetic historic streetscape.
- The design solution should not only try to create a pedestrian-oriented landscaped civic space but reflect the historic streetscape in keeping with security needs.
- The design solution for the central part of the Avenue **should not be incorporated** into Lafayette Park. (The new paving tries to unify the Avenue with the Park).
- The design solution currently segments the 1600-foot portion of the Avenue. The design should re-establish the Avenue as one complete and continuous entity.
- One row of trees **only, on the north and on the south**, in their original locations, will better re-establish the historic character of the street.
- One uniform (simple) design for the proposed bollards should be able to provide for the different bollard functions.
- Re-grading the Avenue, as proposed, must include the preservation of the healthy and mature trees.
- The primary open historic streetscape (memory) character should be maintained whether or not the Avenue (a street with its normal characteristics) has vehicles traversing on it. (The staff EDR makes that clear on page 16). "...and to ensure that the two-block length remains physically, visually, and symbolically linked to the rest of the city's street plan."
- In the EDR, we suggest moving above the asterisks, the recommendation concerning the security booths and bollards, found in the evaluation on page 12.
- We also suggest moving the recommendation concerning the route of the Circulator (page 16) above the asterisks.
- We agree with the Commission's Security Plan comprehensive approach to "ensure that improvements along streets... are complementary and that they enhance the unique character of each precinct while accommodating the special security needs of individual facilities". **The concept design before you today has not yet reached the approved plan's standard.**
- We strongly concur with the staff initial determination (page 20 of the EDR) that the proposed design "**will have adverse effect on historic resources...**". The 106 process will determine **if the adverse effect identified** can be mitigated.

Thank you for considering the views of the Committee of 100 and the Coalition to Save the Mall. I would be happy to answer any questions you may have.

NPC Public Affairs

From: Brian [bheller@arenastage.org]
Sent: Tuesday, March 11, 2003 5:24 PM
To: info@ncpc.gov
Subject: RE: testimony

To: National Capital Planning Commission
Re: File #6132, Pennsylvania Avenue in front of the White House

Once again, the city of Washington sees the future of one of its streets, and one of America's symbolic thoroughfares, discussed in a hearing. Once again, the specter of permanent closure hangs like a dark cloud on the horizon. This street was closed in haste by paranoid elements of the government too long ago. The justification for that closure has never received adequate public debate; it was accomplished by fiat. Even for this very hearing, too little information has percolated into public knowledge via the Washington Post or any other forum. The ever-growing police-state vision of "security" promoted by the paranoid in government the Secret Service et al is too little challenged, and too quickly accepted. The default action for Pennsylvania Avenue and other areas of Washington should be toward greater openness, not greater security. Pennsylvania Avenue in particular should embody America. Just as we should resist a closed society, we should resist a closed Pennsylvania Avenue. I ask the Commission and to not give in to fear and paranoia. Pennsylvania Avenue should be reopened.

I am nothing more than a freedom-loving American. I thank the commission for hearing my comment.

Brian Heller
2960 Fox Lair Dr.
Woodbridge VA 22191



DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20220

March 24, 2003

Mr. John V. Cogbill
Chairman
National Capital Planning Commission
North Lobby, Suite 500
401 9th Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20576

RE: Section 106 Process, Proposed Modifications to Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC

Dear Mr. Cogbill:

As the Chief Management and Administrative Programs Officer, and designee to the Assistant Secretary for Management and Chief Financial Officer, I wish to comment on the impact of the "Proposed Modifications to Pennsylvania Avenue, NW." My comments are concerned with the project, as it specifically impacts the U.S. Treasury Building, a National Historic Landmark.

Overall, the proposed design is sympathetic to the historical character of 1500 Pennsylvania Avenue, the Treasury Department's official address. The landscape design, choice of materials and historical integrity speak to the issues that we at Treasury are concerned about. It is the impact of the bollards at 15th street that I wish to comment, specifically its impact on the Pennsylvania Avenue façade of the Treasury Building.

The "Detail Plan of Security Threshold at 15th Street and Pennsylvania Avenue" indicates the placement of bollards, bisecting the steps of the main Treasury entrance, physically segregating Treasury's only public entrance. Additionally, the bollards adversely impact Treasury's streetscape in that they both physically and visually cut off the historical iron fence, granite posts and cast iron light fixture, thereby destroying the integrity of the building's frontage on Pennsylvania Avenue. Operationally, this location also impairs the perimeter visitor screening process by Secret Service, adversely diminishing building security.

We would recommend moving the bollards to the east of the entrance gate post and light fixture. This will allow building façade, plaza, and main gate to remain physically and visually open, respecting the integrity of the monumental Treasury Building as a prime Pennsylvania Avenue landmark. This would also be consistent with the treatment found on the 17th Street side of the avenue, the Eisenhower Executive Office Building, whose entrance façade is not compromised by bollards.

The Treasury Building is a key Washington landmark and most certainly one of the three most important buildings on Pennsylvania Avenue. I hope that NCPC and its design team will concur that the principal façade entrance for Treasury should remain unencumbered.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "W. Earl Wright, Jr.", written in a cursive style.

W. Earl Wright, Jr.
Chief Management & Administrative Programs Officer

PUBLIC COMMENT FORM

Development and evaluation of temporary and permanent modifications to Pennsylvania Avenue between 15th and 17th Streets, NW to create a pedestrian oriented space is being coordinated by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) in cooperation with the District of Columbia Department of Transportation, the National Capital Planning Commission, the National Park Service, and the Commission of Fine Arts. Considerable work has yet to be accomplished before final recommendations are made for any modifications. The needs and comments of local residents, interest groups, stakeholders and public agencies are important considerations for this project. Please feel free to submit any concern or comment utilizing this form. We ask that you please submit your comments to the address provided below by February 3, 2003.

Please print clearly

Name: MARK HENDRIX EVP, RIGGS BANK
 Address: 900 17th STREET N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006
Street Apt./Suite City State Zip
 Email address: MARK_HENDRIX@RIGGSBANK.COM

Comments: As the only commercial establishment on the closed portion of Pennsylvania Avenue, Riggs' business interest has been harmed by the street closure. It is very important to Riggs that future development not hinder access to the Corcoran Branch. To protect our interest the design should allow for customer and business access to the front entrance of 1503 Penn Ave. we need access for cash deliveries and sufficient turn around space for customer drop off and reception of guests and valet parking. The current drawings depict large trees that would block the visual prominence of the building. The design should facilitate access of the property from the northbound traffic on 15th Street. Riggs is also concerned by the design which places bollards in line with our front entrance. This would have a significant negative impact on customer and business access.

- If you want to be included on our mailing list to be notified of future meetings please check the box.
- If you want to participate in the historic preservation Section 106 review process, please check the box.

For further information, please contact:

Jack Van Dop
 Federal Highway Administration
 21400 Ridgeway Circle
 Sterling, VA 20166
 Phone: (703) 404-6282 Fax: (703) 404-6217
 e-mail jack.van.dop@fhwa.dot.gov

PUBLIC COMMENT FORM

Development and evaluation of temporary and permanent modifications to Pennsylvania Avenue between 15th and 17th Streets, NW to create a pedestrian oriented space is being coordinated by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) in cooperation with the District of Columbia Department of Transportation, the National Capital Planning Commission, the National Park Service, and the Commission of Fine Arts. Considerable work has yet to be accomplished before final recommendations are made for any modifications. The needs and comments of local residents, interest groups, stakeholders and public agencies are important considerations for this project. Please feel free to submit any concern or comment utilizing this form. We ask that you please submit your comments to the address provided below by **February 3, 2003**.

Please print clearly

Name: GEORGE H.F. OBERLANDER, AICP
Address: % COMMITTEE OF 100 ON THE FEDERAL CITY
1222 G ST. N.W. WASHINGTON D.C.
Street Apt./Suite City State Zip
Email address: goberland@erols.com

Comments: • SCOPING SHOULD INCLUDE NEW OR ADDITIONAL
ALTERNATIVES TO THOSE ALREADY PUT FORTH BY THE N.P.S.
& NCPE.
• NEW EXAMINATION OF L'ENFANT PLAN INTENT
DIRECTLY NORTH OF "THE HOUSE OF THE PRESIDENT" - WHITE HOUSE.
DID L'ENFANT INTEND A STREET OR PLAZA/SQUARE
OR PARK OR WHAT? PENN. AVE IS HISTORIC - PARK IS
HISTORIC, WHITE HOUSE IS HISTORIC. ANY CHANGES MUST
SHOULD? REFLECT HISTORIC INTENT.
• WILL PROVIDE ADDITIONAL COMMENTS
BY EMAIL TO

- If you want to be included on our mailing list to be notified of future meetings please check the box.
- If you want to participate in the historic preservation Section 106 review process, please check the box.

For further information, please contact:

Jack Van Dop
Federal Highway Administration
21400 Ridgetop Circle
Sterling, VA 20166
Phone: (703) 404-6282 Fax: (703) 404-6217
e-mail jack.van.dop@fhwa.dot.gov

PUBLIC COMMENT FORM

Development and evaluation of temporary and permanent modifications to Pennsylvania Avenue between 15th and 17th Streets, NW to create a pedestrian oriented space is being coordinated by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) in cooperation with the District of Columbia Department of Transportation, the National Capital Planning Commission, the National Park Service, and the Commission of Fine Arts. Considerable work has yet to be accomplished before final recommendations are made for any modifications. The needs and comments of local residents, interest groups, stakeholders and public agencies are important considerations for this project. Please feel free to submit any concern or comment utilizing this form. We ask that you please submit your comments to the address provided below by **February 3, 2003**.

Please print clearly

Name: Patsy Fletcher

Address: PO Box 34002 DC 20043
Street Apt./Suite City State Zip

Email address patsymfletcher@aol.com

Comments: 1) Where can we see an example of the surfacing
proposed (granular paving) around the District to
get an idea of the proposal
2) How will the modifications affect the
current traffic/parking patterns on 15th, 17th &
H streets

- If you want to be included on our mailing list to be notified of future meetings please check the box.
- If you want to participate in the historic preservation Section 106 review process, please check the box.

For further information, please contact: Jack Van Dop
Federal Highway Administration
21400 Ridgetop Circle
Sterling, VA 20166
Phone: (703) 404-6282 Fax: (703) 404-6217
e-mail jack.van.dop@fhwa.dot.gov

PUBLIC COMMENT FORM

Development and evaluation of temporary and permanent modifications to Pennsylvania Avenue between 15th and 17th Streets, NW to create a pedestrian oriented space is being coordinated by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) in cooperation with the District of Columbia Department of Transportation, the National Capital Planning Commission, the National Park Service, and the Commission of Fine Arts. Considerable work has yet to be accomplished before final recommendations are made for any modifications. The needs and comments of local residents, interest groups, stakeholders and public agencies are important considerations for this project. Please feel free to submit any concern or comment utilizing this form. We ask that you please submit your comments to the address provided below by **February 3, 2003**.

Please print clearly

Name: WILLIAM HENDERSON

Address: 17 Randolph Pl NW WDC 20001
Street Apt./Suite City State Zip

Email address wmhenrder@verizon.net

Comments: I would like to know what type of
vegetation is being use and if the trees and
shrubs complement existing vegetation.

If you want to be included on our mailing list to be notified of future meetings please check the box.

If you want to participate in the historic preservation Section 106 review process, please check the box.

For further information, please contact:

Jack Van Dop
Federal Highway Administration
21400 Ridgetop Circle
Sterling, VA 20166
Phone: (703) 404-6282 Fax: (703) 404-6217
e-mail jack.van.dop@fhwa.dot.gov

PUBLIC COMMENT FORM

Development and evaluation of temporary and permanent modifications to Pennsylvania Avenue between 15th and 17th Streets, NW to create a pedestrian oriented space is being coordinated by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) in cooperation with the District of Columbia Department of Transportation, the National Capital Planning Commission, the National Park Service, and the Commission of Fine Arts. Considerable work has yet to be accomplished before final recommendations are made for any modifications. The needs and comments of local residents, interest groups, stakeholders and public agencies are important considerations for this project. Please feel free to submit any concern or comment utilizing this form. We ask that you please submit your comments to the address provided below by February 3, 2003.

Please print clearly

Name: MARK HENDRIX EVP, RIGGS BANK

Address: 900 17th STREET N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006

Email address: Mark_Hendrix@RiggsBank.com

Comments: As the only commercial establishment on the closed portion of Pennsylvania Avenue, Riggs' business interest has been harmed by the street closure. It is very important to Riggs that future development not hinder access to the Corcoran Branch. To protect our interest the design should allow for customer and business access to the front entrance of 1503 Penn Ave. We need access for cash deliveries and sufficient turn around space for customer drop off and reception of guests and valet parking. The current drawings depict large trees that would block the visual prominence of the building. The design should facilitate a view of the property from the northbound traffic on 15th Street. Riggs is also concerned by the design which places bollards in line with our front entrance. This would have a significant negative impact on customer and business access.

If you want to be included on our mailing list to be notified of future meetings please check the box.

If you want to participate in the historic preservation Section 106 review process, please check the box.

For further information, please contact:

Jack Van Dop
Federal Highway Administration
21400 Ridgetop Circle
Sterling, VA 20166
Phone: (703) 404-6282 Fax: (703) 404-6217
e-mail jack.van.dop@fhwa.dot.gov

PUBLIC COMMENT FORM

Development and evaluation of temporary and permanent modifications to Pennsylvania Avenue between 15th and 17th Streets, NW to create a pedestrian oriented space is being coordinated by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) in cooperation with the District of Columbia Department of Transportation, the National Capital Planning Commission, the National Park Service, and the Commission of Fine Arts. Considerable work has yet to be accomplished before final recommendations are made for any modifications. The needs and comments of local residents, interest groups, stakeholders and public agencies are important considerations for this project. Please feel free to submit any concern or comment utilizing this form. We ask that you please submit your comments to the address provided below by **February 3, 2003**.

Please print clearly

Name: Sharron Hines

Address: 843 Brightseat Rd Rear Landover, MA. 20785
Street Apt./Suite City State Zip

Email address N/A

Comments: request milestones calendar + NEPA information
be placed on the web site. All information viewed
is interesting + important. Availability on the
Internet would be very helpful.

If you want to be included on our mailing list to be notified of future meetings please check the box.

If you want to participate in the historic preservation Section 106 review process, please check the box.

For further information, please contact:

Jack Van Dop
Federal Highway Administration
21400 Ridgetop Circle
Sterling, VA 20166
Phone: (703) 404-6282 Fax: (703) 404-6217
e-mail jack.van.dop@fhwa.dot.gov

PUBLIC COMMENT FORM

Development and evaluation of temporary and permanent modifications to Pennsylvania Avenue between 15th and 17th Streets, NW to create a pedestrian oriented space is being coordinated by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) in cooperation with the District of Columbia Department of Transportation, the National Capital Planning Commission, the National Park Service, and the Commission of Fine Arts. Considerable work has yet to be accomplished before final recommendations are made for any modifications. The needs and comments of local residents, interest groups, stakeholders and public agencies are important considerations for this project. Please feel free to submit any concern or comment utilizing this form. We ask that you please submit your comments to the address provided below by **February 3, 2003**.

Please print clearly

Name: Alan Harwood
Address: 601 Prince Street Alexandria, VA 22314
Street Apt./Suite City State Zip
Email address: harwooda@edaw.com

Comments: Coordinate the Pennsylvania Avenue concepts and EA process with Ken Caden of DC Dept. of Transportation. DOT is preparing a corridor management plan for Pennsylvania Avenue Urban Scenic Byway.
Also, please use drawings and graphics that clearly and accurately portray the concepts - including locations of guard houses, lane dimensions, etc. Some of the graphics appear misleading with foreshortened distances. These are good ideas that should be portrayed clearly.

- If you want to be included on our mailing list to be notified of future meetings please check the box.
- If you want to participate in the historic preservation Section 106 review process, please check the box.

For further information, please contact:

Jack Van Dop
Federal Highway Administration
21400 Ridgetop Circle
Sterling, VA 20166
Phone: (703) 404-6282 Fax: (703) 404-6217
e-mail jack.van.dop@fhwa.dot.gov

PUBLIC COMMENT FORM

Development and evaluation of temporary and permanent modifications to Pennsylvania Avenue between 15th and 17th Streets, NW to create a pedestrian oriented space is being coordinated by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) in cooperation with the District of Columbia Department of Transportation, the National Capital Planning Commission, the National Park Service, and the Commission of Fine Arts. Considerable work has yet to be accomplished before final recommendations are made for any modifications. The needs and comments of local residents, interest groups, stakeholders and public agencies are important considerations for this project. Please feel free to submit any concern or comment utilizing this form. We ask that you please submit your comments to the address provided below by February 3, 2003.

Please print clearly

Name: John Deatrick, Chief Engineer

Address: DDOT, 2000 14th St, NW, DC 20003
Street Apt./Suite City State Zip

Email address: john.deatrick@dc.gov

Comments: A noble task, but I feel the design is a combination of missed opportunities to have a plan that better integrates the plan view, vertical hardscape elements (bollards, guard houses) and very strange choices of materials for the street. DDOT still has a number of non-glamorous tasks to do ^{here} including snow removal and the idea of large stone slabs and gravel would not be ^{either} historically accurate or durable. The sidewalks are a very conventional "historic" streetscape which is really simply rooted in the 1970's.

If you want to be included on our mailing list to be notified of future meetings please check the box.

If you want to participate in the historic preservation Section 106 review process, please check the box.

For further information, please contact:

Jack Van Dop
Federal Highway Administration
21400 Ridgetop Circle
Sterling, VA 20166
Phone: (703) 404-6282 Fax: (703) 404-6217
e-mail jack.van.dop@fhwa.dot.gov

Integrating the circulator is a great idea.

PUBLIC COMMENT FORM

Development and evaluation of temporary and permanent modifications to Pennsylvania Avenue between 15th and 17th Streets, NW to create a pedestrian oriented space is being coordinated by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) in cooperation with the District of Columbia Department of Transportation, the National Capital Planning Commission, the National Park Service, and the Commission of Fine Arts. Considerable work has yet to be accomplished before final recommendations are made for any modifications. The needs and comments of local residents, interest groups, stakeholders and public agencies are important considerations for this project. Please feel free to submit any concern or comment utilizing this form. We ask that you please submit your comments to the address provided below by **February 3, 2003**.

Please print clearly

Name: ROBERT L. HERSHEY, P.E.
Address: 1255 NEW HAMPSHIRE AVE. #1033, WASH., DC 20036
Street Apt./Suite City State Zip
Email address hershey@cpcug.org

Comments: THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA SOCIETY OF PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS RECOMMENDS NO ACTION ON THE NCPC PLAN. THERE IS NO REASON TO BREAK UP THE PAVEMENT AND PUT IN GRAVEL. THIS IS A WASTE OF MONEY AND MERELY MAKES THE STREET CLOSING MORE PERMANENT. THERE WAS NO REASON TO CLOSE THE STREET IN THE FIRST PLACE SINCE THE WHITE HOUSE OCCUPANTS ARE PROTECTED BY A STRUCTURE REINFORCED WITH 660 TONS OF STEEL AND CONCRETE, BECAUSE OF THE CONTINUED STREET CLOSING 26,000 CARS PER DAY MUST BE REROUTED.

- If you want to be included on our mailing list to be notified of future meetings please check the box.
- If you want to participate in the historic preservation Section 106 review process, please check the box.

For further information, please contact: Jack Van Dop
Federal Highway Administration
21400 Ridgetop Circle
Sterling, VA 20166
Phone: (703) 404-6282 Fax: (703) 404-6217
e-mail jack.van.dop@fhwa.dot.gov

SECTION 106 CONSULTATION MEETING

February 25, 2003

3:00 pm to 5:00 pm

PUBLIC COMMENT FORM

Development and evaluation of temporary and permanent modifications to Pennsylvania Avenue between 15th and 17th Streets, NW to create a pedestrian oriented space is being coordinated by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) in cooperation with the District of Columbia Department of Transportation, the National Capital Planning Commission, the National Park Service, and the Commission of Fine Arts. Considerable work has yet to be accomplished before final recommendations are made for any modifications. The needs and comments of local residents, interest groups, stakeholders and public agencies are important considerations for this project. Please feel free to submit any concern or comment utilizing this form. We ask that you please submit your comments to the address provided below by **March 11, 2003**.

Please print clearly

Name: Cynthia R. Field

Address: Smithsonian Institution

Street Apt./Suite City State Zip

Email address fieldcy@SOE.SI.EDU

Comments:

1 Granite pavers inappropriate at west end - macadamized surface appropriate to blocks (Renwick and Exec. Office Bldg) and continuous with paving in front of White House. Granite pavers.

2. Placement of bollards skews facade of Renwick - could be moved back a bit to align with architecture and reinforce Renwick building entrance.

Tree spacing should take in consideration entrance to Renwick. Ideally we would prefer to eliminate trees in rear allowing visitors to see museum entrance clearly.

Jack Van Dop
Federal Highway Administration
21400 Ridgetop Circle
Sterling, VA 20166
Phone: (703) 404-6282 Fax: (703) 404-6217
e-mail jack.van.dop@fhwa.dot.gov

SECTION 106 CONSULTATION MEETING
February 25, 2003
3:00 pm to 5:00 pm

PUBLIC COMMENT FORM

Development and evaluation of temporary and permanent modifications to Pennsylvania Avenue between 15th and 17th Streets, NW to create a pedestrian oriented space is being coordinated by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) in cooperation with the District of Columbia Department of Transportation, the National Capital Planning Commission, the National Park Service, and the Commission of Fine Arts. Considerable work has yet to be accomplished before final recommendations are made for any modifications. The needs and comments of local residents, interest groups, stakeholders and public agencies are important considerations for this project. Please feel free to submit any concern or comment utilizing this form. We ask that you please submit your comments to the address provided below by **March 11, 2003**.

Please print clearly

Name: LONNIE J. HOVEY AIA, DIRECTOR OF PRESERVATION
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATION, EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
Address: 1724 F ST. NW, SUITE 600, WASHINGTON DC 20503
Street Apt./Suite City State Zip
Email address: LONNIE_HOVEY@OA.EOP.GOV

On behalf of the Office of Administration for the Executive Office of the President, here are my comments:

- Please coordinate revision of the bollard configuration at 17th Street and PA Avenue, because the bollard construction at 17th Street is rapidly underway and nearly half complete.
- While removal of parking from Jackson Place and Madison Place will accommodate two-way primary traffic routes into WH Complex, improve visual sight lines, complies with the District's urban plan and improves the park's spatial character, the loss of the parking is a major impact. How will this be mitigated? There's no other place for this parking to be placed.
- Revise the names on certain plans: White House Conference Center is in the wrong place and should be changed to read "Jackson Place Townhouses." "Old Executive Office Building" should be changed to read "Eisenhower Executive Office Building." "OEEOB" should be changed to read "EEEOB."
- The eastern line of bollards for the sallyport at the west end of PA Avenue should be moved to the east to align in a symmetrical relationship with the Renwick Gallery and the EEEOB fence line.
- Should the paving surface for Jackson and Madison Places be the same as PA Avenue, as proposed, despite the hierarchical differences? Can the current paved surfaces on Jackson and Madison Places be left alone and reduce the cost of the proposed project?
- Thank you for retaining the curb lines on Jackson and Madison Places to continue their historical street/sidewalk relationships.
- Thank you for removing the dedicated circulator lane and modifying the rows of double trees.

For further information, please contact:

Jack Van Dop
Federal Highway Administration
21400 Ridgetop Circle
Sterling, VA 20166
Phone: (703) 404-6282 Fax: (703) 404-6217
e-mail jack.van.dop@fhwa.dot.gov

This page intentionally left blank.

VIII

APPENDICES

Pennsylvania Avenue At The White House

Federal Lands Highway





Appendix A: List of Tables

Table 2.1	Comparative Matrix	33
Table 2.2	Comparative Matrix	34
Table 2.3	Comparative Matrix	35
Table 2.4	Comparative Matrix	36
Table 2.5	Mitigation Matrix	37
Table 2.6	Mitigation Matrix	38
Table 2.7	Mitigation Matrix	39
Table 3.1	Potentially Affected Historic Structures, Sites and Districts in and near President's Park	47
Table 3.2	District of Columbia Vegetative Changes And Associated Benefits	52
Table 4.1	Street and Sidewalk Maintenance Management Practices	76
Table 4.2	List of Actions Requiring Review By Historic Preservation Officer or Advisory Council on Historic Preservation	81
Table 4.22.1	Construction Effects Discussion and Mitigations – Land Use and Zoning	93
	4.1.1 Land Use	
	4.1.2 Relationship to Existing Zoning	
	4.1.3 Security	
Table 4.22.2	Construction Effects Discussion and Mitigations: Land Use and Zoning (Con't)	94
	4.1.4 Security	
Table 4.22.3	Construction Effects Discussion and Mitigations: Socio – Economic Impacts	95
	4.2.1 Impacts on Institutions and Businesses	
Table 4.22.4	Construction Effects Discussion and Mitigations: Socio – Economic Impacts (Con't)	96
	4.2.1 Impacts on Institutions and Businesses	
Table 4.22.5	Construction Effects Discussion and Mitigations: Socio – Economic Impacts (Con't)	97
	4.2.2 Impacts on Visitor Use and Experience	



Table	4.22.6	Construction Effects Discussion and Mitigations: Socio – Economic Impacts (Con't)	98
	4.2.3	Impacts on the News Media	
Table	4.22.7	Construction Effects Discussion and Mitigations: Impacts on Recreational Users and Pedestrians	99
	4.3	Long Term Impacts	
Table	4.22.8	Construction Effects Discussion and Mitigations: Air Quality	100
	4.4	Long Term Impacts	
		Construction Effects Discussion and Mitigations: Noise Impacts	
	4.5	Long Term Impacts	
		Construction Effects Discussion and Mitigations: Farmland Impacts	
	4.6		
		Construction Effects Discussion and Mitigations: Relocation Impacts	
	4.7		
		Construction Effects Discussion and Mitigations: Joint Development Impacts	
	4.8		
		Construction Effects Discussion and Mitigations: Water Quality Impacts	
	4.9	Long Term Impacts	
Table	4.22.9	Construction Effects Discussion and Mitigations: Permits	101
	4.10	Construction	
		Construction Effects Discussion and Mitigations: Wetlands	
	4.11		
		Construction Effects Discussion and Mitigations: Floodplains Impacts	
	4.12		
		Construction Effects Discussion and Mitigations: Wild and Scenic Rivers	
	4.13		



Table 4.22.9	Construction Effects Discussion and Mitigations: Costal Barriers	101
	4.14	
	Construction Effects Discussion and Mitigations: Coastal Zone Impacts	
	4.15	
	Construction Effects Discussion and Mitigations: Threatened or Endangered Species	
	4.16	
	Construction Effects Discussion and Mitigations: Historic Preservation	
	4.17 Long Term Impacts	
	Construction Effects Discussion and Mitigations: Archeological preservation	
	4.18 Long Term Impacts	
	Construction Effects Discussion and Mitigations: Environmental Justice	
	4.19	
	Construction Effects Discussion and Mitigations: Hazardous Waste	
	4.20 Long Term Impacts	
Table 4.22.10	Construction Effects Discussions and Mitigations: Visual and Aesthetic Qualities	102
	4.21 Long Term Impacts	
	Construction Effects Discussion and Mitigations: Construction Impacts	
	4.22 Long Term Impacts	
	Construction Effects Discussion and Mitigations: Transportation	
	4.23 Long/Short Term Impacts	
	Construction Effects Discussion and Mitigations: Utilities	
	4.24 Public Utilities	
	Construction Effects Discussion and Mitigations: Biotic Communities	
	4.25 Vegetation/Wildlife	



Table 4.22.10 Construction Effects Discussion and Mitigations: Consistency With Local Plans	102
---	-----

4.26 Long Term Impacts

Table 4.22.11 Construction Effects Discussion and Mitigations: Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitment of Resources	103
---	-----

4.27 Impacts on Visitor Use and Experience



Appendix B: List of Figures

Figure 1.1	Pennsylvania Avenue looking West	1
Figure 1.2	Jackson Place sidewalk looking south	3
Figure 1.3	Existing conditions and project location	4
Figure 1.4	Overall Site Plan	5
Figure 1.5	Overview of Existing Conditions for President's Park	7
Figure 2.1	Jackson Place Security Barrier Detail	10
Figure 2.2	Madison Place Security Detail	11
Figure 2.3	17th Street Barrier Detail	12
Figure 2.4	15th Street Security Barrier Detail	13
Figure 2.5	Diagrams of Circulator Route Options and Circulation Diagram	14
Figure 2.6	MVVA Competition Plan – May 2002	17
Figure 2.7	Competition Detail Cross Section of Circulator Lane – May 2002	17
Figure 2.8	17 th Street Threshold Looking East – May 2002	18
Figure 2.9	17 th Street Threshold Existing Conditions	18
Figure 2.10	MVVA Guard Booth Location Alternatives	19
Figure 2.11	Operational Criteria Matrix for Guard Booth Locations	20
Figure 2.12	Jackson Place Existing Conditions	21
Figure 2.13	Balmori Concept Design Site Plan	22
Figure 2.14	Balmori Concept Elevation View	22
Figure 2.15	EDAW Concept Design Site Plan	23
Figure 2.16	EDAW Concept Elevation Plan	23
Figure 2.17	Peter Walker and Associates Concept Design Plan	24
Figure 2.18	Peter Walker and Associates Concept Elevation View	25
Figure 2.19	Rendering View of the White House From Lafayette Park	25



Figure 3.1	Lafayette Park	43
Figure 3.2	St. John's Episcopal Church – H Street, NW	44
Figure 3.3	Historic Districts Map	48
Figure 3.4	Memorials/Monuments Map	49
Figure 4.1	Project Area Zoning Map	54
Figure 4.2	Detail Plan of security threshold at 17 th Street and Pennsylvania Avenue	56
Figure 4.3	Detailed Section of security threshold at 15 th Street entry	56
Figure 4.4	Detail Plan of Security Threshold at 15 th Street and Pennsylvania Avenue	57
Figure 4.5	Detail Plan of Security Threshold at Jackson Place and H Street	57
Figure 4.6	Detail Plan of Security Threshold at Madison Place and H Street	58
Figure 4.7	Illustration Section View of Fixed, Retractable, and Removable Bollards	58
Figure 4.8	Decatur House	60
Figure 4.9	View looking north on Jackson Place	60
Figure 4.10	Eisenhower Executive Office Building	61
Figure 4.11	Renwick Gallery	62
Figure 4.12	Blair-Lee House	62
Figure 4.13	The Treasury Building	63
Figure 4.14	The Treasury Annex	64
Figure 4.15	North Portico of the White House	64
Figure 4.16	Rendering of View of Riggs Bank	66
Figure 4.17	Existing bollards in front of the White House	67
Figure 4.18	Illustration showing primary and secondary emergency access routes on Jackson and Madison Places; bleacher layout along Lafayette Park adjacent to Pennsylvania Avenue; and proposed location for permanent structural foundation for the Presidential viewing stand	69



Figure 4.19	Proposed Security Improvements at Madison Place	70
Figure 4.20	Rendering of View of Jackson Place from H Street	71
Figure 4.21	Photograph of Penetration Macadam	79
Figure 4.22	Illustration of Turn-of-the-Century Installation Methods for Penetration Macadam	79
Figure 4.23	Dated Photograph Illustrating Compaction of Macadam	80
Figure 4.24	Dated Photograph Illustrating Asphalt Application on Layer Of Crushed Stone	80
Figure 4.25	Photograph of Gateway and Fence on the North Grounds of The White House and Early Concept of Proposed Security Booth Architecture	82
Figure 4.26	Original Twin Lamp Head Designed for Washington, DC by Henry Bacon	83
Figure 4.27	Photograph of one of a variety of Lamp Head Types on Pennsylvania Avenue	83
Figure 4.28	A mix of various Cast Iron Light Poles located on Pennsylvania Avenue	83
Figure 4.29	Rendering of Proposed Streetscape Improvements in front of The White House	87
Figure 4.30	Proposed Paving Materials for Project Area	87
Figure 4.31	View of Proposed Pedestrian Threshold from the 17 th Street Crosswalk	88
Figure 4.32	Proposed Threshold Allee at the Eisenhower Executive Office Building	88
Figure 4.33	View of Pennsylvania Avenue from the Vantage Point of A Car Approaching the 17 th Street Threshold	89
Figure 4.34	Photograph showing View of Pennsylvania Avenue looking West from 17 th Street	91
Figure 4.35	Section Rendering of the White House Sidewalk and Proposed Profile of Pennsylvania Avenue	92
Figure 4.36	Map of Average Weekday Traffic Volumes	107
Figure 4.37	Map of Street Levels of Service for AM Peak Period	109
Figure 4.38	Map of Street Levels of Service Midday	110



Figure 4.39	Map of Street Levels of Service PM Peak Hour	111
Figure 4.40	Illustration of Proposed Primary and Secondary Circulation Entrances in the Project Area	112
Figure 4.41	Illustration showing One-Way Circulator Routes on H Street And the North Side of Pennsylvania Avenue and Two-way Circulator Route on the South Side of Pennsylvania Avenue	113
Figure 4.42	Utilities Map of President's Park	115
Figure 4.43	Photograph of America Elm tree	118
Figure 4.44	Photograph of Common Hackberry tree	119
Figure 4.45	Photograph of Willow Oak tree	120
Figure 4.46	Photograph of Thornless Honeylocust tree	121
Figure 4.47	Photograph of Kentucky Coffeetree	122
Figure 4.48	Photograph of Swamp White Oak tree	123
Figure 4.49	Photograph of Sycamore tree	124
Figure 4.50	Photograph of Common Horsechestnut tree	125
Figure 4.51	Photograph of Yellow Buckeye tree	126
Figure 4.52	Photograph of Littleleaf Linden tree	127
Figure 4.53	Photograph of Crimean Linden tree	128
Figure D-1	Michael Van Valkenburgh, Associates, INC. Early Concept Site Plan	214
Figure D-2	Michael Van Valkenburgh, Associates, INC. Early Concept Site Plan	215
Figure D-3	Balmori Associates Concept Rendering 17 th Street at Pennsylvania Avenue	216
Figure D-4	Balmori Associates Concept Plan View 17 th Street at Pennsylvania Avenue	216
Figure D-5	EDAW Concept Rendering 17 th Street at Pennsylvania Avenue	217
Figure D-6	EDAW Concept Rendering Pennsylvania Avenue at White House Fence	217
Figure D-7	EDAW Concept Rendering View of White House From Lafayette Park	218
Figure D-8	Peter Walker and Associates Concept Rendering View of Jackson Place From Lafayette	219
Figure D-9	Peter Walker and Associates Concept Rendering View of 17 th Street At Pennsylvania Avenue	220



Appendix C: List of Acronyms

AASHTO	American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials
ACHP	Advisory Council on Historic Preservation
ADA	Americans with Disabilities Act
CDP	Comprehensive Design Plan
CE	Categorical Exclusion
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
COD	Chemical Oxygen Demand
dB	Decibel
DC	District of Columbia
DDOT	District Department of Transportation
EA	Environmental Assessment
EFLHD	Eastern Federal Lands Highway Division
EIS	Environmental Impact Statement
FAR	Federal Acquisition Regulations
FHWA	Federal Highway Administration
FONSI	Finding of No Significant Impact
GSA	General Services Administration
LOS	Level of Service
MVVA	Michael Van Valkenburgh Associates
N/A	Not Applicable
NCPC	National Capital Planning Commission
NCUDSP	National Capital Urban Design and Security Plan
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
NPS	National Park Service
NW	Northwest



P _b	Lead
Sally Port	A secure area formed by two lines of bollards
TMDLs	Total Maximum Daily Loads
TSS	Total Suspended Solids
Typ.	Typical – the same for all similar objects, situations and conditions
USC	United States Code
USSS	United States Secret Service
WHHA	White House Historical Association
Zn	Zinc
106	Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act



Appendix D: Conceptual Renderings and Drawings

Michael Van Valkenburgh, Associates, INC.




Figure D-1

Michael Van Valkenburgh, Associates, INC. – Early Concept Site Plan View




Figure D-2

Michael Van Valkenburgh, Associates, INC – Early Concept Site Plan View



Balmori Associates

Balmori Associates Concept Rendering – 17th Street at Pennsylvania Avenue



Figure D-3

Balmori Associates Concept Plan View – 17th Street at Pennsylvania Avenue




Figure D-4



EDAW

EDAW Concept Rendering – 17th Street at Pennsylvania Avenue

Figure D-5



EDAW Concept Rendering – Pennsylvania Avenue at White House

Figure D-6





Figure D-7

EDAW Concept Rendering – View of White House From Lafayette Park



Peter Walker and Associates

Figure D-8



Peter Walker and Associates Concept Rendering – View of Jackson Place From Lafayette Park



Figure D-9



Peter Walker and Associates Concept Rendering – View of 17th Street at Pennsylvania Avenue

This page intentionally left blank.